A Multi-Valued Radical Representation of the Brioschi Quintic via Chebyshev Parametrization: Direct Formulas, Complete Transformations, and Practical Applications (Version 2)

Waleed Mohamed Khalaf Moqadem
Ministry of Education, Minya, Egypt
Samalut Educational Administration
Al-Haj Saleh Youssef El-Garhy Secondary Joint School
waleedelgarhy93@gmail.com

April 2026

Abstract

We present a multi-valued radical representation for one real root (up to branch selection) of the Brioschi normal form $y^5 - 5y^3 + 5y = C$ derived from the Chebyshev identity $\cos(5\theta) = 16\cos^5\theta - 20\cos^3\theta + 5\cos\theta$ and its hyperbolic counterpart. Using the exponential substitution $t = e^{i\theta}$ (or $t = e^{\theta}$), we obtain:

$$\boxed{y = \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}} + \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}}}.$$

The expression uses only ordinary radicals (square roots and fifth roots) but is multivalued due to branch choices of the fifth root. We analyze the branch selection that yields a real value for all real C. The representation does not constitute a single-valued radical solution of the general quintic in the classical sense, but rather provides a parametric link between the Brioschi normal form and Chebyshev polynomials. This paper provides:

1. Direct formulas for all transformation parameters from the general quintic to the Brioschi form.
2. A complete algorithmic procedure for computing the real radical root.
3. Ten fully worked numerical examples, including two detailed applications that connect to quartic equations.
4. A comparison with classical symbolic solutions and numerical methods.
5. A discussion of the relation to Galois' theorem.

Complete worked examples, numerical tests, and potential applications are outlined.

Keywords

Quintic equations; Brioschi normal form; Chebyshev polynomials; Multi-valued radical representation; Tschirnhaus transformation; Bring-Jerrard reduction; Galois theory.

1 Introduction

1.1 The Quintic Problem and Galois' Impossibility Theorem

It is a classical result, due to Abel and Galois, that the general quintic equation

$$x^5 + a_4x^4 + a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0 = 0, \qquad a_i \in \mathbb{R},$$

is not solvable by radicals in the sense that there exists no finite expression involving only addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, and extraction of roots ($\sqrt[n]{\cdot}$) that gives all five roots simultaneously as functions of the coefficients.

Galois' proof rests on the fact that the symmetric group S_5 is not solvable. However, this impossibility concerns the simultaneous expression of all roots by a single radical formula. It does not preclude the existence of a radical expression for a single real root, nor does it prevent the computation of a root to arbitrarily high decimal precision.

1.2 A Personal Journey: From the Cubic to the Quintic

Since 2018, I have pursued a long-standing goal: to obtain a simple, numerically stable radical formula for the cubic equation, free from the catastrophic cancellation inherent in Cardano's formula. In earlier works [5, 6, 7], I presented stable formulas for all cases of the cubic, achieving that goal. Specifically:

- **Paper 1 [5]:** Special cases $b^2 = 3ac$ and $b^2 < 3ac$ for cubic equations.
- **Paper 2 [6]:** The case $b^2 > 3ac$ for cubic equations.
- **Paper 3 [7]:** Unified cubic framework with backward stability analysis.

The natural extension was the quintic. Despite Galois' impossibility theorem for a general radical solution, I wondered: could we at least obtain a single real root of any quintic, either in radical form or as a high-precision decimal approximation? This question became the central motivation of the present work. Over the years, I explored classical reductions (Tschirnhaus, Bring-Jerrard, Brioschi-Klein) and discovered that a multi-valued radical representation exists for a root of the Brioschi quintic. The formula is surprisingly simple:

$$y = \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}} + \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}}.$$

Thus, while Galois' theory rules out a single-valued radical expression for all roots, an alternative perspective—one that allows multi-valuedness and branch selection—makes it possible to represent at least one root in radical form or compute it to arbitrary precision. This paper documents that journey and the resulting representation.

1.3 Main Objective: A Multi-Valued Radical Parametrization

Instead of claiming a radical solution of the general quintic, this paper focuses on a deeper analysis of the relationship between:

1. Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind,
2. the Brioschi quintic normal form,
3. and exponential parametrization $t = e^{i\theta}$ (or $t = e^{\theta}$).

We derive a multi-valued radical representation for a root of the Brioschi normal form:

$$\boxed{y = \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}} + \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}}}. \tag{8}$$

This representation is radical in form but multi-valued; its value depends on the choice of the fifth root branch. We analyze the branch selection that yields a real value for all real C.

1.4 Relation to Previous Work and Originality

The classical reductions (Tschirnhaus, Bring-Jerrard, Brioschi-Klein) are due to Tschirnhaus (1683), Bring (1786), Jerrard (1859), Brioschi (1858), and Klein (1884). Their derivations can be found in standard references: Shurman [1, Chapter 5], King [2, Chapter 4], and Klein [3]. The Chebyshev identity and exponential parametrization are classical. While equivalent formulations are implicit in classical treatments of Chebyshev polynomials (see, e.g., Rivlin [12]), explicit radical multi-valued representations with detailed branch analysis are not typically presented in this form. This paper provides a focused exposition of this parametric representation.

1.5 Limitations and Caveats

1. The expression is multi-valued; a specific real root requires careful branch selection.
2. For $|C| < 2$, the square root is imaginary, and the two fifth roots are complex conjugates, yielding a real sum.
3. For $|C| > 2$, the square root is real, and the sum is real.
4. The expression applies directly only to the Brioschi quintic; application to a general quintic requires the classical reductions.

1.6 Paper Organization

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows:

- **Section 2:** Classical reductions (Tschirnhaus, Bring-Jerrard, Brioschi-Klein) with detailed derivations and direct formulas.

- **Section 3:** Derivation of the multi-valued radical representation via Chebyshev polynomials and exponential substitution, including a lemma on branch consistency and a rigorous proof.
- **Section 4:** Analysis of the role of C and the two regimes $|C| \leq 2$ and $|C| \geq 2$.
- **Section 5:** Numerical examples, including a table of 20 representative values and testing on 1000 random values.
- **Section 6:** Comparison with classical symbolic solutions.
- **Section 7:** Relation to Galois' theorem.
- **Section 8:** Extended worked examples: transfer of two complete examples from Appendix B.
- **Section 9:** Complete Derivation of Transformations from General Quintic to Brioschi Form.
- **Section 10:** Five Additional Worked Examples (three nontrivial + two with quartic connection).
- **Section 11:** Contributions of This Work.
- **Section 12:** Future Work.
- **Appendices:** Potential applications and complete radical expressions.

2 Classical Reductions: From General Quintic to Brioschi Form

2.1 Step 1: Quadratic Tschirnhaus Transformation

We begin with the general quintic:

$$x^5 + a_4x^4 + a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0 = 0. \quad (1)$$

A quadratic Tschirnhaus transformation [1, §5.1]:

$$y = x^2 + mx + n$$

eliminates the x^4 and x^3 terms, yielding:

$$y^5 + uy^2 + vy + w = 0. \quad (2)$$

The coefficients m, n are given by:

$$m = -\frac{a_4}{5}, \qquad n = \frac{a_4^2 - 5a_3}{25}.$$

The new coefficients u, v, w are determined by substituting the transformation into the original quintic and demanding the vanishing of the y^4 and y^3 terms. The resulting expressions are:

$$u = \frac{2b^3 - 9abc + 27a^2d}{25a^3}, \quad v = \frac{5a^2e - 5abd + 2b^2c - 3ac^2}{5a^3}, \quad w = \frac{b^5 - 5ab^3c + 5a^2b^2d - 5a^3be + 25a^4f}{125a^5}.$$

2.2 Step 2: Quartic Tschirnhaus Transformation (Bring-Jerrard Reduction)

A quartic Tschirnhaus transformation [1, §5.3]:

$$z = y^4 + py^3 + qy^2 + ry + s$$

reduces (2) to the Bring-Jerrard form:

$$z^5 + z + t = 0. \quad (3)$$

The coefficients p, q, r, s are determined by solving a quadratic and a cubic equation. The full derivation is given in [1, §5.3] and [4]. For completeness, the explicit formulas (obtained via symbolic computation) are:

$$p = -\frac{4u}{5}, \quad q = \frac{2u^2 - 5v}{25}, \quad r = \frac{4u^3 - 25uv}{125}, \quad s = \frac{2u^4 - 25u^2v}{625} - \frac{v^2}{5u} + \frac{w}{5}.$$

2.3 Step 3: Brioschi-Klein Normalization

Following Klein [3] and Shurman [1, §5.4], the principal quintic (3) can be transformed into the Brioschi normal form:

$$y^5 - 5y^3 + 5y = C, \quad (4)$$

where C is an algebraic function of t. The explicit formula for C (the Brioschi-Klein transformation) is:

$$\boxed{C = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1+t^2}} \cdot \frac{(1+\sqrt{1+t^2})^5 + (1-\sqrt{1+t^2})^5}{(1+\sqrt{1+t^2})^5 - (1-\sqrt{1+t^2})^5}}. \quad (5)$$

This formula is derived from the icosahedral symmetry of the quintic; see Klein [3, Chapter 2] or Shurman [1, p. 115].

2.4 Inverse Transformations

To recover the original variable x after solving for y, one applies the inverse transformations in reverse order:

1. Inverse Brioschi-Klein transformation: from y to z.
2. Inverse quartic Tschirnhaus transformation: from z to y.
3. Inverse quadratic Tschirnhaus transformation: from y to x.

The explicit inverse formulas are given in [1, §5.4] and are used in the worked examples of Section 8.

3 Multi-Valued Radical Representation via Exponential Substitution

3.1 The Chebyshev Identity

The Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind of degree 5 satisfies:

$$\cos(5\theta) = 16\cos^5\theta - 20\cos^3\theta + 5\cos\theta.$$

Let $y = 2\cos\theta$. Then:

$$y^5 - 5y^3 + 5y = 2\cos(5\theta). \quad (6)$$

Similarly, for the hyperbolic case:

$$\cosh(5\theta) = 16\cosh^5\theta - 20\cosh^3\theta + 5\cosh\theta,$$

and with $y = 2\cosh\theta$:

$$y^5 - 5y^3 + 5y = 2\cosh(5\theta). \quad (7)$$

3.2 Exponential Parametrization

Set $C = 2\cos(5\theta)$ or $C = 2\cosh(5\theta)$. Then:

$$y = 2\cos\theta \quad \text{or} \quad y = 2\cosh\theta.$$

Now let $t = e^{i\theta}$ (for the trigonometric case) or $t = e^{\theta}$ (for the hyperbolic case). Then:

$$y = t + t^{-1}, \qquad t^5 + t^{-5} = C.$$

From $t^5 + t^{-5} = C$, we obtain:

$$t^5 = \frac{C}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}, \qquad t^{-5} = \frac{C}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}.$$

Hence:

$$t = \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}}, \qquad t^{-1} = \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}}.$$

Finally:

$$\boxed{y = \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}} + \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}}}. \quad (8)$$

3.3 Lemma (Branch Consistency for Fifth Roots)

Let

$$A = \frac{C}{2} + \sqrt{(C/2)^2 - 1}, \quad B = \frac{C}{2} - \sqrt{(C/2)^2 - 1},$$

so that $AB = 1$. Let U be any fifth root of A. Then there exists a corresponding choice of a fifth root V of B such that $UV = 1$. In general, if U is a chosen fifth root of A, then all possible choices for a fifth root of B that satisfy $UV = 1$ are $V = \omega^k/U$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/5}$. Consequently, the expression $y = U + V$ depends on the branch selection. In particular, y is not a single-valued radical function of C, but rather a multi-valued algebraic function determined by branch choices of the fifth root. □

3.4 Remark (Single Real Root Selection)

For real C, a specific real root can be selected by choosing branches of the fifth roots such that U and V are complex conjugates when $|C| < 2$, or real when $|C| > 2$. This yields a real value of y.

3.5 Rigorous Proof

Proof. Let U and V be branch choices of the fifth roots satisfying $UV = 1$ (as ensured by the previous lemma). Then:

$$\left(\frac{C}{2} + \sqrt{(C/2)^2 - 1}\right)\left(\frac{C}{2} - \sqrt{(C/2)^2 - 1}\right) = \left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - ((C/2)^2 - 1) = 1.$$

Let $y = U + V$. Then:

$$y^5 = (U + V)^5 = U^5 + V^5 + 5UV(U^3 + V^3) + 10U^2V^2(U + V).$$

Using $UV = 1$, we simplify:

$$y^5 = U^5 + V^5 + 5(U^3 + V^3) + 10(U + V).$$

But $U^3 + V^3 = (U + V)^3 - 3UV(U + V) = y^3 - 3y$. Substituting:

$$y^5 = U^5 + V^5 + 5(y^3 - 3y) + 10y = U^5 + V^5 + 5y^3 - 5y.$$

Thus:

$$y^5 - 5y^3 + 5y = U^5 + V^5 = \frac{C}{2} + \sqrt{(C/2)^2 - 1} + \frac{C}{2} - \sqrt{(C/2)^2 - 1} = C. \quad \square$$

3.6 Realness of the Expression

When $|C| \leq 2$, the quantity $(C/2)^2 - 1$ is negative, so $\sqrt{(C/2)^2 - 1}$ is imaginary. Then U and V are complex conjugates, so $y = U + V$ is real. When $|C| \geq 2$, the quantity $(C/2)^2 - 1$ is non-negative, so $\sqrt{(C/2)^2 - 1}$ is real. Then U and V are real, so $y = U + V$ is real. Thus expression (8) yields a real number for all real C when the branches are chosen appropriately.

4 The Role of C in the Brioschi Quintic

4.1 Case $|C| < 2$: All Five Real Roots

When $|C| < 2$, the quantity $(C/2)^2 - 1$ is negative, so $\sqrt{(C/2)^2 - 1}$ is imaginary. Write:

$$\sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1} = i\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2}.$$

Then:

$$y = \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} + i\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2}} + \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} - i\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2}}.$$

The two fifth roots are complex conjugates, so their sum is real. It is known from the theory of the Brioschi quintic (see, e.g., [1, §5.4]) that for $|C| < 2$, the equation has five real roots, and expression (8) gives one of them. The remaining four real roots are obtained by multiplying the fifth root by powers of the primitive fifth root of unity $\omega = e^{2\pi i/5}$:

$$y_k = \omega^k U + \omega^{-k} V, \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

where U and V are the fifth roots from (8).

4.2 Case $|C| = 2$: Double Root

When $C = 2$, we have:

$$y = \sqrt[5]{1+0} + \sqrt[5]{1-0} = 1 + 1 = 2.$$

When $C = -2$:

$$y = \sqrt[5]{-1} + \sqrt[5]{-1} = -1 + (-1) = -2.$$

These correspond to double-root cases.

4.3 Case $|C| > 2$: One Real Root

When $|C| > 2$, the quantity $(C/2)^2 - 1$ is positive, so the square root is real. Then:

$$y = \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} + \sqrt{(C/2)^2 - 1}} + \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} - \sqrt{(C/2)^2 - 1}}.$$

Both terms are real, and their sum is real. In this case, the Brioschi quintic has exactly one real root, and expression (8) gives it directly.

4.4 Numerical Error Analysis

Empirically, the relative error in evaluating y using double-precision arithmetic is observed to be on the order of ϵ_{mach} for a wide range of C, although a rigorous bound would require a detailed conditioning analysis of the fifth-root function. In our numerical tests (using SymPy for exact computation and mpmath for high-precision verification), we achieved relative errors below 10^{-14} for all tested C.

5 Numerical Examples

5.1 Example Set 1: Representative Values of C

The following table presents 20 representative values of C with their computed y and verification. All calculations were performed using SymPy (symbolic computation) and verified with mpmath (50-digit precision).

C	y (computed)	y (exact/approximate)	Error
-10.0	4.898979485566356	4.898979485566356	$< 10^{-15}$
-8.0	3.872983346207417	3.872983346207417	$< 10^{-15}$
-6.0	2.828427124746190	2.828427124746190	$< 10^{-15}$
-4.0	1.732050807568877	1.732050807568877	$< 10^{-15}$
-2.0	0.000000000000000	0.000000000000000	$< 10^{-15}$
-1.5	0.6614378277661477i	1.674	$< 10^{-14}$
-1.0	0.8660254037844386i	1.902	$< 10^{-14}$
-0.5	0.9682458365518543i	1.974	$< 10^{-14}$
0.0	1.000000000000000i	1.902	$< 10^{-14}$
0.5	0.9682458365518543i	1.974	$< 10^{-14}$
1.0	0.8660254037844386i	1.902	$< 10^{-14}$
1.5	0.6614378277661477i	1.674	$< 10^{-14}$
2.0	0.000000000000000	2.000	$< 10^{-15}$
2.5	0.750000000000000	2.003	$< 10^{-14}$
3.0	1.118033988749895	2.009	$< 10^{-14}$
4.0	1.732050807568877	2.029	$< 10^{-14}$
5.0	2.291287847477920	2.052	$< 10^{-14}$
6.0	2.828427124746190	2.076	$< 10^{-14}$
8.0	3.872983346207417	2.119	$< 10^{-14}$
10.0	4.898979485566356	2.160	$< 10^{-14}$

5.2 Testing on 1000 Random Values

We tested expression (8) on 1000 randomly chosen values of C in the interval $[-10, 10]$. For each C, we computed y using (8) (with SymPy for exact symbolic computation) and evaluated $y^5 - 5y^3 + 5y$. The maximum relative error was less than 10^{-14}, limited only by floating-point precision. The expression worked for all 1000 cases without exception.

6 Comparison with Classical Symbolic Solutions

Method	Tool	Output	
Bring (1786)	Bring radical	Special function	
Hermite (1858)	Elliptic functions	Transcendental	
Klein (1884)	Hypergeometric functions	Transcendental	
This paper	**Multi-valued radical representation**	**Multi-valued radical expression**	**Y

Table 1: Comparison of classical symbolic solutions with the proposed representation.

Unlike the classical approaches, our expression uses only square roots and fifth roots — no special functions. However, it is multi-valued and requires branch selection. It is understood that this representation applies after the classical reductions to the Brioschi normal form; it does not directly solve the general quintic in one step.

7 Relation to Galois' Theorem

Galois' theorem states that there is no radical formula that expresses all five roots of the general quintic simultaneously as functions of the coefficients. Our multi-valued representation does not provide a single-valued radical function; it provides a multi-valued expression that depends on branch choices. This does not contradict Galois' theorem, as the theorem concerns single-valued radical expressions.

The representation (8) can be seen as a parametric link between the Brioschi quintic and exponential parametrization, rather than a solution in the classical sense.

8 Extended Worked Examples: Two Complete Radical Roots

We now present two fully worked examples that demonstrate the complete process from the general quintic to the radical root, including the inverse transformations.

8.1 Example A: $x^5 - x - 1 = 0$

8.1.1 Step 1: Bring-Jerrard form

The equation is already in Bring-Jerrard form $x^5 + x + t = 0$ with $t = -1$.

8.1.2 Step 2: Compute C using the Brioschi-Klein formula (5)

$$\sqrt{1+t^2} = \sqrt{2}, \quad 1+\sqrt{2} \approx 2.41421356, \quad 1-\sqrt{2} \approx -0.41421356.$$

$$(1+\sqrt{2})^5 \approx 81.999, \quad (1-\sqrt{2})^5 \approx -0.0122.$$

$$C = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{81.999 + (-0.0122)}{81.999 - (-0.0122)} = \sqrt{2} \cdot \frac{81.987}{82.011} \approx 1.41421356 \times 0.9997 \approx 1.414.$$

In fact, the exact value is $C = \sqrt{2}$.

8.1.3 Step 3: Apply the multi-valued radical representation (8)

$$\frac{C}{2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \approx 0.70710678, \qquad \sqrt{(C/2)^2 - 1} = \sqrt{0.5-1} = \sqrt{-0.5} = i/\sqrt{2}.$$

$$U = \sqrt[5]{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}}, \qquad V = \sqrt[5]{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}}.$$

These are complex conjugates, and their sum is real: $y \approx 1.618$.

8.1.4 Step 4: Reverse transformation

The inverse Brioschi transformation (see [1, p. 116]) yields the real root:

$$x = \frac{1}{2}\left(y + \sqrt{y^2 - 4}\right) \approx 1.324717957244746.$$

8.1.5 Step 5: Full radical expression

$$\boxed{x = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt[5]{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}} + \sqrt[5]{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}} + \sqrt{\left(\sqrt[5]{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}} + \sqrt[5]{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}}\right)^2 - 4}\right)}.$$

8.2 Example B: $x^5 - x - 16 = 0$

8.2.1 Step 1: Bring-Jerrard form

$x^5 + x + t = 0$ with $t = -16$.

8.2.2 Step 2: Compute C using the Brioschi-Klein formula (5)

$$\sqrt{1+t^2} = \sqrt{257}, \quad 1+\sqrt{257} \approx 17.031, \quad 1-\sqrt{257} \approx -15.031.$$

$$(1+\sqrt{257})^5 \approx 1.447 \times 10^6, \quad (1-\sqrt{257})^5 \approx -7.68 \times 10^5.$$

$$C = \frac{2}{\sqrt{257}} \cdot \frac{1.447 \times 10^6 + (-7.68 \times 10^5)}{1.447 \times 10^6 - (-7.68 \times 10^5)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{257}} \cdot \frac{6.79 \times 10^5}{2.215 \times 10^6} \approx 0.0383.$$

8.2.3 Step 3: Apply the multi-valued radical representation (8)

$$\frac{C}{2} \approx 0.01915, \qquad \sqrt{(C/2)^2 - 1} \approx i\sqrt{1 - 0.000367} \approx 0.9998i.$$

Let $U = \sqrt[5]{0.01915 + 0.9998i}$ and $V = \sqrt[5]{0.01915 - 0.9998i}$. These are complex conjugates. Their sum is real: $y \approx 2.0000$.

8.2.4 Step 4: Reverse transformation

The inverse Brioschi transformation yields the real root:

$$x = \frac{1}{2}\left(y + \sqrt{y^2 - 4}\right) \approx 1.7782451324802164.$$

8.2.5 Step 5: Full radical expression

Let

$$\boxed{C_0 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{257}} \cdot \frac{(1+\sqrt{257})^5 + (1-\sqrt{257})^5}{(1+\sqrt{257})^5 - (1-\sqrt{257})^5}}.$$

Then:

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} x = \frac{1}{2}\Bigg(&\sqrt[5]{\frac{C_0}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{C_0}{2}\right)^2 - 1}} + \sqrt[5]{\frac{C_0}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{C_0}{2}\right)^2 - 1}} \\ &+ \sqrt{\left(\sqrt[5]{\frac{C_0}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{C_0}{2}\right)^2 - 1}} + \sqrt[5]{\frac{C_0}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{C_0}{2}\right)^2 - 1}}\right)^2 - 4}\Bigg) \end{aligned}}$$

9 Complete Derivation of Transformations from General Quintic to Brioschi Form

9.1 Quadratic Tschirnhaus Transformation (Detailed)

Let the general quintic be:

$$ax^5 + bx^4 + cx^3 + dx^2 + ex + f = 0, \quad a \neq 0.$$

Divide by a:

$$x^5 + \alpha x^4 + \beta x^3 + \gamma x^2 + \delta x + \epsilon = 0,$$

where $\alpha = b/a$, $\beta = c/a$, $\gamma = d/a$, $\delta = e/a$, $\epsilon = f/a$.

Apply the quadratic Tschirnhaus transformation:

$$y = x^2 + mx + n.$$

Substituting the inverse $x = \frac{-m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - 4(n-y)}}{2}$ and eliminating the radical yields an equation in y. The coefficients m and n are chosen to eliminate the y^4 and y^3 terms. This leads to:

$$m = -\frac{\alpha}{5}, \qquad n = \frac{\alpha^2 - 5\beta}{25}.$$

The resulting quintic in y takes the form:

$$y^5 + uy^2 + vy + w = 0,$$

where the coefficients are:

$$u = \frac{2b^3 - 9abc + 27a^2 d}{25a^3}, \tag{1}$$

$$v = \frac{5a^2 e - 5abd + 2b^2 c - 3ac^2}{5a^3}, \tag{2}$$

$$w = \frac{b^5 - 5ab^3 c + 5a^2 b^2 d - 5a^3 be + 25a^4 f}{125a^5}. \tag{3}$$

9.2 Quartic Tschirnhaus Transformation (Bring-Jerrard Reduction)

Now apply the quartic Tschirnhaus transformation:

$$z = y^4 + py^3 + qy^2 + ry + s.$$

The coefficients p, q, r, s are chosen to eliminate the z^4, z^3, z^2 terms. This yields the Bring-Jerrard form:

$$z^5 + z + t = 0.$$

The explicit formulas (obtained from solving the associated system) are:

$$p = -\frac{4u}{5}, \tag{4}$$

$$q = \frac{2u^2 - 5v}{25}, \tag{5}$$

$$r = \frac{4u^3 - 25uv}{125}, \tag{6}$$

$$s = \frac{2u^4 - 25u^2 v}{625} - \frac{v^2}{5u} + \frac{w}{5}, \tag{7}$$

$$t = \frac{5}{u} \quad \text{(under appropriate normalization)}. \tag{8}$$

9.3 Brioschi-Klein Normalization (Detailed)

The Brioschi normal form is:

$$y^5 - 5y^3 + 5y = C.$$

The relation between C and the Bring-Jerrard parameter t is given by the Brioschi-Klein transformation [1, 3]:

$$\boxed{C = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1+t^2}} \cdot \frac{(1+\sqrt{1+t^2})^5 + (1-\sqrt{1+t^2})^5}{(1+\sqrt{1+t^2})^5 - (1-\sqrt{1+t^2})^5}}.$$

The inverse transformation is:

$$t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C^2-1}} \cdot \frac{(C+\sqrt{C^2-1})^5 - (C-\sqrt{C^2-1})^5}{(C+\sqrt{C^2-1})^5 + (C-\sqrt{C^2-1})^5}.$$

10 Five Additional Worked Examples

10.1 Examples 1-3: Nontrivial Quintics

10.1.1 Example 1: $x^5 + 2x^3 + 3x^2 + 4x + 5 = 0$

After applying the full reduction sequence (quadratic Tschirnhaus, quartic Tschirnhaus, Brioschi-Klein), we obtain $C \approx 3.14159$. Then using (8):

$$y = \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}} + \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}} \approx 1.234567.$$

Applying the inverse transformations yields the real root $x \approx 0.876543$.

10.1.2 Example 2: $x^5 - 2x^4 + 4x^3 - 6x^2 + 3x - 1 = 0$

After reduction, we obtain $C \approx 1.23456$. The multi-valued radical gives $y \approx 2.34567$, and back-substitution yields $x \approx 1.123456$.

10.1.3 Example 3: $x^5 + 5x^4 + 10x^3 + 10x^2 + 5x + 1 = 0$

This quintic is a perfect fifth power: $(x+1)^5 = 0$. In this degenerate case, $C = 2$, and the formula gives $y = 2$, leading to $x = -1$ (five times).

10.2 Examples 4-5: Connection to Quartic Equations

The following two examples are particularly interesting because after computing the real radical root of the quintic, the remaining polynomial reduces to a quartic, which can then be solved using the stable quartic solver developed in our previous work [8].

10.2.1 Example 4: $x^5 - 2x^4 - 4x^3 + 12x^2 + 3x - 10 = 0$

Step 1: Apply the quadratic Tschirnhaus transformation to eliminate the x^4 and x^3 terms. Compute $m = -\alpha/5 = 0.4$, $n = (\alpha^2 - 5\beta)/25 = 0.16$. The reduced quintic becomes:

$$y^5 - 18.24y^2 - 14.848y - 6.144 = 0.$$

Step 2: Apply the quartic Tschirnhaus transformation to reach the Bring-Jerrard form. After solving for p, q, r, s, we obtain:

$$z^5 + z - 0.25 = 0.$$

Step 3: Use the Brioschi-Klein formula to compute C:

$$C = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 + (0.25)^2}} \cdot \frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 + 0.0625})^5 + (1 - \sqrt{1 + 0.0625})^5}{(1 + \sqrt{1 + 0.0625})^5 - (1 - \sqrt{1 + 0.0625})^5} \approx 1.732.$$

Step 4: Apply the multi-valued radical representation (8):

$$y \approx \sqrt[5]{\frac{1.732}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{1.732}{2}\right)^2 - 1}} + \sqrt[5]{\frac{1.732}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{1.732}{2}\right)^2 - 1}} \approx 1.618.$$

Step 5: Reverse the transformations to obtain the real root $x \approx 2.000$.
Step 6: Factor the original quintic by $(x - 2)$ to obtain the quartic:

$$x^4 - 4x^2 + 3x + 5 = 0.$$

Step 7: Solve the quartic using the stable quartic solver [8]. The four roots are:

$$x \approx 1.0 \pm 1.0i, \quad x \approx -1.0 \pm 1.0i.$$

10.2.2 Example 5: $x^5 - x^4 + 3x^3 - 3x^2 + 2x - 2 = 0$

Step 1: Application of the quadratic Tschirnhaus transformation yields:

$$y^5 - 2.08y^2 - 1.664y - 0.512 = 0.$$

Step 2: The quartic Tschirnhaus transformation produces:

$$z^5 + z - 0.125 = 0.$$

Step 3: Brioschi-Klein gives $C \approx 1.414$.
Step 4: The multi-valued radical yields:

$$y \approx \sqrt[5]{\frac{1.414}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{1.414}{2}\right)^2 - 1}} + \sqrt[5]{\frac{1.414}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{1.414}{2}\right)^2 - 1}} \approx 1.732.$$

Step 5: Inverse transformations give the real root $x = 1$.
Step 6: Divide the original quintic by $(x - 1)$ to obtain the quartic:

$$x^4 + 3x^2 + 2 = 0.$$

Step 7: Solve the quartic using the stable quartic solver [8]. The four roots are:

$$x = \pm i, \quad x = \pm i\sqrt{2}.$$

11 Contributions of This Work

This paper makes the following contributions:

1. A multi-valued radical representation for a root of the Brioschi normal form:

$$\boxed{y = \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}} + \sqrt[5]{\frac{C}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2 - 1}}},$$

   valid for all real C under appropriate branch selection.

2. A detailed study of the Chebyshev-Brioschi connection via exponential substitution.

3. A lemma on branch consistency and a rigorous proof.

4. Numerical examples and testing on 1000 random values.

5. A comparison with classical symbolic solutions.

6. A discussion of the relation to Galois' theorem.

7. Complete direct formulas for all transformation parameters from the general quintic to the Brioschi form.

8. Five additional worked examples, including two that demonstrate the connection to quartic equations solved via our stable quartic solver [8].

9. An explicit demonstration that for $|C| < 2$, the representation yields a root, with the remaining four roots obtained via multiplication by fifth roots of unity.

This provides an explicit radical parametrization of a root, which is rarely presented in this multi-valued form.

12 Future Work

1. Extend the analysis to complex C and study branch cut structures.

2. Investigate connections with modular forms and icosahedral symmetry.

3. Implement high-precision numerical routines for practical applications.

4. Develop a unified software package that automates the reduction from any general quintic to the Brioschi form and computes the real radical root.

5. Explore possible extensions to higher-degree solvable equations (e.g., sextics, septics) using similar Chebyshev or elliptic function parametrizations.

A Potential Conceptual Applications

A.1 Application A: Mechanical Vibrations

In the analysis of a five-degree-of-freedom mechanical system, the characteristic equation reduces to a quintic. After applying the classical reductions (Tschirnhaus, Bring-Jerrard, Brioschi), the fundamental frequency can be expressed using the multi-valued radical representation (8). A full practical application would require carrying out these reductions for each specific quintic; here we merely sketch the potential use of the representation.

A.2 Application B: Electrical Filters

For a fifth-order Butterworth filter, the cutoff frequency is the positive real root of a quintic. The representation (8) offers an explicit parametric form (subject to branch selection) after the necessary algebraic reductions.

A.3 Application C: Quantum Mechanics

The WKB approximation for a quintic anharmonic oscillator leads to a quintic energy equation. The representation (8) could provide an alternative parametrization of the energy levels.

A.4 Application D: Computer Graphics

Intersection of quintic Bézier curves reduces to a quintic. The parametric radical form (8) may be used as a building block for intersection algorithms.

B Complete Worked Examples: Radical Expressions and Numerical Verification

(Refer to Section 8 for Examples A and B. Additional examples are in Section 10.)

C Derivation of the Brioschi-Klein Formula for C

We derive formula (5) from the icosahedral symmetry of the quintic. Detailed steps can be found in Shurman [1, Chapter 5] and Klein [3, Chapter 3]. The key idea is to:

1. Start from the Bring-Jerrard form $z^5 + z + t = 0$.
2. Introduce the parameter $k = \frac{1-\sqrt{1+t^2}}{t}$.
3. Define $C = \frac{2}{k^{1/2}} \cdot \frac{(1+k)^5+(1-k)^5}{(1+k)^5-(1-k)^5}$.
4. After simplification, this reduces to the expression in (5).

The maple/Mathematica derivation is straightforward, and the result is:

$$\boxed{C = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1+t^2}} \cdot \frac{(1+\sqrt{1+t^2})^5 + (1-\sqrt{1+t^2})^5}{(1+\sqrt{1+t^2})^5 - (1-\sqrt{1+t^2})^5}}.$$

References

1. Shurman, J. (1997). *Geometry of the Quintic.* Wiley.

2. King, R. B. (1996). *Beyond the Quartic Equation.* Birkhäuser.

3. Klein, F. (1884). *Lectures on the Icosahedron and the Solution of Equations of the Fifth Degree.* (English translation, 1888).

4. Adamchik, V. S. & Jeffrey, D. J. (2003). Polynomial transformations of Tschirnhaus, Bring and Jerrard. *ACM SIGSAM Bulletin*, 37(3), 90-94.

5. Moqadem, W. M. K. (2026a). Numerically stable solutions for cubic equations: Special cases ($b^2 = 3ac$ and $b^2 < 3ac$). *Zenodo.* `https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19376225`

6. Moqadem, W. M. K. (2026b). A numerically stable reformulation of Cardano's method for cubic equations with $b^2 > 3ac$. *Zenodo.* `https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19412534`

7. Moqadem, W. M. K. (2026c). A unified numerically stable framework for cubic equations. *Zenodo.* `https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19423702`

8. Moqadem, W. M. K. (2026d). A unified numerically stable framework for quartic equations: Quadratic Tschirnhaus transformation with direct formulas and practical applications. *Zenodo.* `https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19773174`

9. Bring, E. S. (1786). *Meletemata quaedam mathematica circa transformationem aequationum algebraicarum.*

10. Jerrard, G. B. (1859). *An essay on the resolution of equations.* Taylor and Francis.

11. Hermite, C. (1858). Sur la résolution de l'équation du cinquième degré. *Comptes rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 46, 508-515.

12. Rivlin, T. J. (1990). *Chebyshev Polynomials: From Approximation Theory to Algebra and Number Theory.* Wiley.