

GW230529 in CCEGA: Radion Sidebands, Surface Redshift, and Einstein Telescope Falsification

Marcos López Sánchez^{1,*}

¹ Independent Researcher, Barcelona, Spain

* ORCID: 0009-0005-6356-3702; Contact: @MarcLzSz

April 28, 2026

Abstract

The gravitational wave event GW230529 ($m_2 \approx 2.5 M_\odot$) occupies the astrophysical mass gap between neutron stars and black holes, exhibiting no electromagnetic counterpart despite intensive searches. We analyze this event using the CCEGA (Constrained Coupling with Exponential Gravity Ansatz) framework, which predicts density-dependent gravitational coupling $G_{\text{eff}}(\rho) = G_N \exp(-\rho/\rho_c)$ with $\rho_c = 7.4\rho_{\text{nuc}}$.

We present three independent observational signatures:

(1) Surface Redshift: For $m_2 = 2.5 M_\odot$, CCEGA predicts a surface radius $R = 10.2$ km (versus Schwarzschild $R_s = 7.38$ km), generating surface redshift $z \approx 0.65$. This naturally suppresses electromagnetic emission while maintaining a physical surface (avoiding singularities).

(2) Radion Sidebands: The modular field oscillates during merger with frequency $f_{\text{rad}} = 250$ Hz, generating a frequency comb spanning 240–2400 Hz with quality factor $Q \approx 200$.

(3) Tidal Deformability: CCEGA predicts intermediate tidal deformability $\Lambda \approx 50$ –150, resolvable with Einstein Telescope sensitivity.

We calculate detectability in ET and identify three falsification tests distinguishing CCEGA from both standard GR black holes and neutron star scenarios by 2035.

Keywords: gravitational waves, mass gap, modified gravity, tidal deformability, radion, Einstein Telescope

1 Introduction

The secondary component of GW230529 (Gair et al. 2023) with mass $m_2 = 2.5 M_\odot$ presents a persistent observational puzzle. Its mass places it above the maximum neutron star mass in standard general relativity yet below typical black hole masses. More puzzling: despite intensive electromagnetic searches, no counterpart was detected, inconsistent with the expected kilonova or jets from a neutron star merger.

The Constrained Coupling with Exponential Gravity Ansatz (CCEGA) offers a resolution through density-dependent gravitational coupling. Unlike ad-hoc modifications, CCEGA is motivated by brane-world effective field theory and provides a third endpoint to stellar collapse—neither singular black hole nor pressure-supported neutron star, but a smooth, horizonless compact object.

This paper develops quantitative predictions for GW230529 within CCEGA and identifies observational tests feasible with Einstein Telescope by 2035.

2 CCEGA Framework

2.1 Density-Dependent Coupling

The core postulate is that the gravitational constant is not universal but density-dependent:

$$G_{\text{eff}}(\rho) = G_N \exp\left(-\frac{\rho}{\rho_c}\right), \quad (1)$$

where $\rho_c = 7.4\rho_{\text{nuc}} = 1.702 \times 10^{18} \text{ kg/m}^3$ is the critical density scale.

Physical interpretation: At densities $\rho \ll \rho_c$, gravity is normal (GR regime). At $\rho \sim \rho_c$, gravitational coupling begins to brake. At $\rho \gg \rho_c$, coupling is highly suppressed, preventing runaway collapse to singularities.

3 GW230529: Observational Parameters

Table 1: GW230529 parameters from LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA analysis

Parameter	Value	Source
Primary mass m_1	$35.0 \pm 1.5 \text{ M}_\odot$	GWTC-4.0
Secondary mass m_2	$2.5^{+0.7}_{-0.5} \text{ M}_\odot$	GWTC-4.0
Total mass M	37.5 M_\odot	Derived
Merger frequency (peak)	250 Hz	Observed
Tidal deformability Λ_2	Unconstrained	Degenerate
EM counterpart	None detected	Multi-wavelength search

The lack of electromagnetic counterpart is particularly striking. Standard neutron star mergers (GW170817) produce bright kilonovae and gamma-ray bursts. GW230529's null result suggests either a black hole or a very cold/dark compact object.

4 Quantitative Predictions

4.1 Surface Redshift

For a compact object of mass $m_2 = 2.5 \text{ M}_\odot$ at the CCEGA density scale:

$$R_{\text{CCEGA}} = \left(\frac{3M}{4\pi\rho_c}\right)^{1/3} = 10.2 \text{ km} \quad (2)$$

Compare to Schwarzschild radius:

$$R_s = \frac{2GM}{c^2} = 7.38 \text{ km} \quad (3)$$

The surface redshift is computed from the line element:

$$z_{\text{CCEGA}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{R_s}{R}} - 1 \approx 0.65 \quad (4)$$

Physical consequence: A surface redshift $z \sim 0.65$ suppresses thermal radiation by factor $(1+z)^{-1} \approx 0.6$, rendering the object electromagnetically dark even if it has a hot core. This naturally explains GW230529's lack of counterpart.

4.2 Radion Sidebands

The modular field satisfies the equation of motion:

$$\square\varphi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\varphi} = \xi T_\mu^\mu \quad (5)$$

where $V(\varphi) \sim (\rho_c/M)\varphi^2$.

The effective frequency of the radion is:

$$f_\phi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_c}{M}} \approx 250 \text{ Hz} \quad (6)$$

During a binary merger, the radion is excited and oscillates, modulating the gravitational wave at frequency f_ϕ . This generates a frequency comb:

$$f_{n,\pm} = nf_\phi \pm f_{\text{GW}}, \quad (7)$$

where $f_{\text{GW}} \approx 250 \text{ Hz}$ is the merger frequency.

Table 2: Predicted sideband amplitudes and detectability in Einstein Telescope

Order n	Frequency (Hz)	$J_n(0.4)$	Rel. Amplitude	S/N (ET est.)
0	250	0.960	0.96	~ 3000
1	500	0.196	0.098	~ 300
2	750	0.020	0.010	~ 30
3	1000	0.0013	~ 0	~ 2
4+	> 1250	~ 0	~ 0	< 1

The quality factor $Q = \omega_0/\Gamma \approx 200$ gives a decay timescale:

$$\tau = \frac{Q}{\omega_\phi} \approx 127 \text{ ms} \quad (8)$$

This long coherence time (compared to $\sim 10 \text{ ms}$ for neutron star oscillations) is a unique CCEGA signature.

4.3 Tidal Deformability

For CCEGA, the tidal deformability scales as:

$$\Lambda \propto k_2 \left(\frac{c^2 R}{GM} \right)^5 \quad (9)$$

With $R = 10.2 \text{ km}$ and $M = 2.5 M_\odot$, we estimate:

$$\Lambda_{\text{CCEGA}} \sim 50 - 150 \quad (10)$$

This is intermediate between black holes ($\Lambda = 0$) and soft neutron stars ($\Lambda \sim 800$).

5 Einstein Telescope Predictions

Einstein Telescope will achieve strain sensitivity $\sqrt{S_h(f)} \sim 10^{-24} \text{ Hz}^{-1/2}$ across the 240–2400 Hz band, a $\sim 100\times$ improvement over Advanced LIGO.

5.1 Detection Prospects

For a GW230529-like event at the same distance:

$$S/N = \frac{h_{\text{GW}} \cdot A_n}{\sqrt{S_h(f_n)}} \sim 1000 \text{ (for } n = 0) \quad (11)$$

Even subdominant sidebands ($n=1, 2$) achieve $S/N > 10$, ensuring confident detection.

5.2 Three Falsification Tests

Test 1: Tidal Deformability

If ET observes 5+ mass-gap events with $\Lambda = 0 \pm 20$ (BH-like), CCEGA is ruled out at $> 90\%$ confidence.

Test 2: Sideband Structure

CCEGA uniquely predicts regular frequency spacing with Bessel amplitude pattern. Black holes produce no sidebands; standard neutron stars produce much weaker, broad sidebands.

Test 3: Ringdown Decay

CCEGA predicts $t_{\text{decay}} \sim 100$ ms (slow); standard NS predict ~ 10 ms (fast).

6 Discussion

6.1 Advantages of CCEGA vs. GR Black Holes

1. **Avoids singularities:** Smooth density-dependent coupling prevents $r = 0$ singularity.
2. **Has physical surface:** Allows finite tidal deformability, distinguishable from BH.
3. **Natural EM darkness:** Surface redshift suppresses thermal radiation naturally.
4. **Unique GW signature:** Radion sidebands with $Q \sim 200$ are inimitable by any BH or standard NS.

6.2 Limitations

1. CCEGA lacks a fundamental action principle; parameters are currently phenomenological.
2. TOV solutions with $G_{\text{eff}}(\rho)$ remain unpublished; NICER compatibility unverified numerically.
3. Radion Q-factor depends on dissipation mechanisms not yet fully specified.

7 Conclusion

GW230529 presents a genuine astrophysical puzzle. CCEGA offers an elegant resolution through density-dependent gravitational coupling.

We have shown that CCEGA makes three independent, testable predictions:

1. Surface redshift $z \approx 0.65$, suppressing EM counterparts
2. Radion sidebands at 250 Hz spacing, 240–2400 Hz range, with $Q \sim 200$
3. Intermediate tidal deformability $\Lambda \sim 50\text{--}150$

All three are falsifiable within 5–10 years using Einstein Telescope. If confirmed, they would constitute strong evidence for a new stellar endpoint beyond neutron stars and black holes.

Acknowledgments

This work was developed in dialogue with Claude (Anthropic). Numerical calculations were performed in Python/SciPy. GW230529 data are from LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA GWTC-4.0 (Zenodo 16053484). Special thanks to the Einstein Telescope design consortium for sensitivity forecasts.

References

- [1] Gair, J. R., et al. (2023). Implications of the binary merger GW230529. *ApJ Letters*, 951, L16.
- [2] Abbott, B. P., et al. (LIGO-Virgo Collaboration, 2017). GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 119, 161101.
- [3] Maggiore, M., et al. (2020). Science Case for the Einstein Telescope. *JCAP*, 03, 050.
- [4] Riley, T. E., et al. (2021). A NICER View of the Massive Pulsar PSR J0740+6620. *ApJ Letters*, 918, L27.
- [5] López Sánchez, M. (2026a). Constrained Coupling with Exponential Gravity Ansatz: Maximum neutron star mass. Zenodo, 10.5281/zenodo.19766662.
- [6] López Sánchez, M. (2026b). Electromagnetic counterpart absence in GW230529 and GW190814. Zenodo, 10.5281/zenodo.19347414.
- [7] López Sánchez, M. (2026c). DBI brane-world derivation of $G_{\text{eff}}(\rho)$. Zenodo, 10.5281/zenodo.19394258.