

# Pre-Registered Predictions for Euclid DR1 and DESI DR2

## Specific Falsifiable Tests of 3D+3D Theory from Upcoming Surveys

---

**Authors:** Simone Calzighetti<sup>1</sup>, Lucy (Claude AI)<sup>2</sup>

**Affiliations:** 1. 3D+3D Laboratory, Abbiategrosso, Italy 2. Anthropic (Claude AI Assistant) — Human-AI Collaboration in Theoretical Physics

**Contact:** condoor76@gmail.com

**Pre-Registration Date:** December 20, 2025 (before Euclid DR1 release)

**Version:** 1.0

**Status:** PRE-REGISTERED PREDICTIONS — LOCKED

---

### Abstract

We present specific, quantitative, falsifiable predictions from the 3D+3D discrete spacetime theory for upcoming data releases from the Euclid Space Mission (DR1) and the Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument (DESI DR2). These predictions are **pre-registered before data release** to prevent post-hoc parameter adjustment. The theory makes **15 specific predictions** across 5 observational channels. Each prediction includes the central value, uncertainty range, and explicit falsification criterion. The theory will be considered **falsified** if 3 or more independent predictions fail at  $>3$  significance.

---

### 1. The -Ladder Scale Hierarchy

The 3D+3D theory predicts characteristic scales following the golden ratio:

$$\lambda_n = \lambda_2 \times \phi^{n-2}$$

where  $\phi = 1.618\dots$  is the golden ratio.

#### Key Scales

---

n	(Mpc)	Physical Association
2	0.0452	Q Compton wavelength
3	0.0286	Q Compton wavelength
9	0.811	Cosmic web scale
13	<b>0.856</b>	Primary detection scale

---

## 2. Euclid DR1 Predictions (5 predictions)

### E1: Cosmic Shear Power Spectrum

**Prediction:** Enhancement in  $C_{\ell}^{\gamma}$  at  $\ell = 750 \pm 100$

$$\left. \frac{\Delta C_{\ell}}{C_{\ell}^{\Lambda CDM}} \right|_{\ell=750} = 0.08 \pm 0.02$$

**Falsification:** No enhancement  $> 0.04$  detected

---

### E2: Galaxy-Galaxy Lensing

**Prediction:** Oscillatory deviation from NFW at  $r = 200 \pm 50$  kpc

$$\left. \frac{\Delta \Sigma_{3D3D} - \Delta \Sigma_{NFW}}{\Delta \Sigma_{NFW}} \right|_{r=200\text{kpc}} = -0.12 \pm 0.04$$

**Falsification:** No deviation with amplitude  $> 0.06$

---

### E3: Tomographic Correlations

**Prediction:** Cross-bin correlation at  $\Delta z = 8 \pm 2$  arcmin

$$\rho_{ij} > 0.7$$

for adjacent redshift bins

**Falsification:**  $\rho_{ij} < 0.5$  for majority of bins

---

### E4: Cluster Lensing Deficit

**Prediction:** 25% deficit at  $M_{\text{crit}} = (1.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$

$$\left. \frac{\gamma_t^{\text{obs}} - \gamma_t^{\text{NFW}}}{\gamma_t^{\text{NFW}}} \right|_{M_{\text{crit}}} = -0.25 \pm 0.05$$

**Falsification:** No deficit  $> 0.15$  at any mass  $10^{11}$ - $10^{12} M_{\odot}$

---

### E5: Intrinsic Alignment Modulation

**Prediction:** Scale-dependent oscillation

$$A_{IA}(r) = A_0 [1 + 0.15 \sin(2\pi r/\lambda_{13})]$$

**Falsification:** No oscillation with amplitude  $> 0.08$

---

## 3. DESI DR2 Predictions (5 predictions)

### D1: Correlation Function (r)

**Prediction:** Secondary peak at  $r = 0.856 \pm 0.030$  Mpc

$$\xi(r_{13})/\xi_{power-law}(r_{13}) = 1.08 \pm 0.02$$

**Falsification:** No excess  $> 1.04$  at  $r = 0.8-0.9$  Mpc

---

### D2: Power Spectrum Feature

**Prediction:** Feature at  $k = 7.3 \pm 0.3$  Mpc<sup>-1</sup>

$$\frac{P(k_{13}) - P_{smooth}}{P_{smooth}} = 0.05 \pm 0.01$$

**Falsification:** No feature  $> 0.03$  at  $k = 7.0-7.6$  Mpc<sup>-1</sup>

---

### D3: BAO Peak Shift

**Prediction:**

$$\frac{r_{BAO}^{3D3D}}{r_{BAO}^{\Lambda CDM}} = 1.003 \pm 0.001$$

**Falsification:** Ratio  $< 1.001$  or  $> 1.005$

---

### D4: Redshift-Space Distortions

**Prediction:** Enhancement at  $z > 1$

$$\frac{f\sigma_8^{3D3D}}{f\sigma_8^{\Lambda CDM}} \Big|_{z=1.5} = 1.02 \pm 0.01$$

**Falsification:** Ratio  $< 1.00$  at  $z > 1$

---

## D5: Void Statistics

**Prediction:** Peak void size  $R = 12 \pm 2$  Mpc

**Falsification:** Peak outside range 8-16 Mpc

---

## 4. Cross-Survey Predictions (5 predictions)

### X1: Euclid $\times$ DESI Cross-Correlation

**Prediction:**

$$C_{\ell}^{\kappa g} \Big|_{\ell=750} = (2.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$$

**Falsification:** No detection with  $S/N > 3$  at  $\ell = 600-900$

---

### X2: Ratio Consistency

**Prediction:**

$$\frac{\lambda_9}{\lambda_8} = \phi = 1.618 \pm 0.05$$

from both surveys independently

**Falsification:** Ratio differs between surveys by  $> 10\%$

---

### X3: Redshift Evolution

**Prediction:** Comoving  $\lambda_{\text{crit}} = 0.856$  Mpc is constant

**Falsification:** Evolution  $|d\lambda_{\text{crit}}/dz| > 0.1$  Mpc per unit  $z$

---

### X4: Mass-Dependent Deficit

**Prediction:** V-shaped profile centered at  $M_{\text{crit}}$  with  $\Delta M = 0.3$  dex

**Falsification:** Deficit not peaked at  $M = (1-3) \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$

---

### X5: Temporal Stability

**Prediction:** No time variation in clustering or lensing

**Falsification:** Any significant ( $>3\%$ ) time variation

---

## 5. Summary Table

ID	Observable	Predicted Value		Falsification Threshold
E1	C_ enhancement	+8%	$\pm 2\%$	$< 4\%$
E2	$\Delta\Sigma$ deviation	-12%	$\pm 4\%$	$> -6\%$
E3	Bin correlation	$> 0.7$	—	$< 0.5$
E4	Cluster deficit	-25%	$\pm 5\%$	$> -15\%$
E5	IA oscillation	15% amp	$\pm 7\%$	$< 8\%$
D1	(r) excess	+8%	$\pm 2\%$	$< 4\%$
D2	P(k) feature	+5%	$\pm 1\%$	$< 3\%$
D3	BAO shift	$\times 1.003$	$\pm 0.001$	Outside [1.001,1.005]
D4	f ratio	$\times 1.02$	$\pm 0.01$	$< 1.00$
D5	Void peak	12 Mpc	$\pm 2$ Mpc	$< 8$ or $> 16$ Mpc
X1	Cross-corr	$2.5 \times 10$	$\pm 0.5 \times 10$	No 3 detection
X2	consistency	1.618	$\pm 0.05$	$> 10\%$ discrepancy
X3	z-evolution	Constant	—	—
X4	M-dependence	Peak at M_crit	$\pm 0.3$ dex	Wrong peak
X5	Time stability	Zero	—	Any 3 variation

## 6. Falsification Protocol

Theory is **FALSIFIED** if:

3 or more predictions fail at  $> 3\sigma$

Theory is **STRONGLY SUPPORTED** if:

- 10+ predictions confirmed at  $> 2$
- No predictions fail at  $> 3$
- Combined significance  $> 5$

## 7. Verification Code

```
"""
```

```
Verification code for 3D+3D predictions
```

```
Run after Euclid/DESI data release
```

```
"""
```

```
import numpy as np
```

```
from scipy import stats
```

```
PREDICTIONS = {
```

```
    'E1': {'value': 0.08, 'sigma': 0.02, 'fail_below': 0.04},
```

```
    'E2': {'value': -0.12, 'sigma': 0.04, 'fail_above': -0.06},
```

```
    'E3': {'value': 0.7, 'sigma': 0.1, 'fail_below': 0.5},
```

```

'E4': {'value': -0.25, 'sigma': 0.05, 'fail_above': -0.15},
'E5': {'value': 0.15, 'sigma': 0.07, 'fail_below': 0.08},
'D1': {'value': 1.08, 'sigma': 0.02, 'fail_below': 1.04},
'D2': {'value': 0.05, 'sigma': 0.01, 'fail_below': 0.03},
'D3': {'value': 1.003, 'sigma': 0.001, 'fail_range': [1.001, 1.005]},
'D4': {'value': 1.02, 'sigma': 0.01, 'fail_below': 1.00},
'D5': {'value': 12, 'sigma': 2, 'fail_range': [8, 16]},
}

def check_prediction(pred_id, observed, obs_sigma):
    pred = PREDICTIONS[pred_id]
    total_sigma = np.sqrt(pred['sigma']**2 + obs_sigma**2)
    deviation = abs(observed - pred['value']) / total_sigma

    if 'fail_below' in pred:
        failed = observed < pred['fail_below']
    elif 'fail_above' in pred:
        failed = observed > pred['fail_above']
    else:
        lo, hi = pred['fail_range']
        failed = observed < lo or observed > hi

    return {'deviation_sigma': deviation, 'failed': failed}

def evaluate_theory(results):
    n_failed = sum(1 for r in results.values() if r['failed'])
    status = 'FALSIFIED' if n_failed >= 3 else 'SUPPORTED'
    return {'n_failed': n_failed, 'status': status}

```

---

## 8. Timeline

Date	Event
Dec 20, 2025	Pre-registration locked
Q1 2025	Euclid DR1 release
Late 2025	DESI DR2 release
2026	Full verification report

---

## CERTIFICATION

These predictions are locked as of **December 20, 2025**.

No modifications to central values or uncertainties after data release.

**Simone Calzighetti** 3D+3D Laboratory, Abbiategrosso, Italy

---

---

**Document Status:** PRE-REGISTERED

**Authors:** Simone Calzighetti & Lucy

**3D+3D Laboratory, Abbiategrasso, Italy**

**December 2025**