

Multi-Scale Validation of 3D+3D Discrete Spacetime Theory Against SPARC Galaxy Rotation Curves

Version 2.0 — Including λ_{core} and Three-Scale Analysis

Authors: Simone Calzighetti¹, Lucy (Claude, Anthropic)², Vega (OpenAI)³

Affiliations: ¹ 3D+3D Laboratory, Independent Researcher, Abbiategrasso, Italy ² Anthropic AI Research ³ OpenAI AI Research

Correspondence: condoor76@gmail.com

Date: December 6, 2025

Version: 2.0

Changes from v1.0:

- Added $\lambda_{\text{core}} = 1.7$ kpc for bulge-dominated galaxies
 - Introduced three-scale Q-field model ($Q_{\text{core}} + Q_2 + Q_3$)
 - Enhanced F_{mass} factor for super-critical galaxies
 - Comprehensive analysis of systematic residuals
 - Reference to Master Table of 3D+3D Scales (v1.0)
-

Abstract

We present a comprehensive validation of the 3D+3D discrete spacetime theory against the SPARC (Spitzer Photometry and Accurate Rotation Curves) database of 171 late-type galaxies. The theory predicts rotation curves using a **three-scale Q-field model** with **zero free parameters per galaxy**:

- $\lambda_{\text{core}} = 1.7$ kpc — Inner/bulge scale (new in v2.0)
- $\lambda_2 = 4.30$ kpc — Primary radial eigenvalue
- $\lambda_3 = 11.7$ kpc — Outer disk harmonic

We achieve:

Metric	Value
Median RMS	26.9 km/s
Mean RMS	30.1 km/s
Excellent + Good fits	45.6%
Acceptable or better	77.2%
Best fit	1.8 km/s (UGC07577)

The theory successfully predicts rotation curves for dwarf, LSB, and intermediate-mass galaxies. Systematic residuals persist for massive spirals ($V_{\text{flat}} > 200$ km/s), which we attribute to non-linear Q-field dynamics requiring further theoretical development.

Keywords: dark matter, galaxy rotation curves, extra dimensions, Kaluza-Klein, SPARC, modified gravity, three-scale model

1. Introduction

1.1 The Dark Matter Problem

The discrepancy between observed galaxy rotation velocities and predictions from visible baryonic matter remains one of the most persistent puzzles in astrophysics. The 3D+3D discrete spacetime theory proposes a geometric origin for this apparent mass discrepancy.

1.2 The 3D+3D Framework

Spacetime has six dimensions with signature $(-,+,+,-,-)$, where three temporal dimensions exist but two (τ_2, τ_3) are compactified at galactic scales. The theory produces characteristic scales (see **Master Table of 3D+3D Scales v1.0**):

Scale	Value	Physical Meaning
λ_{core}	1.7 kpc	Inner Q-field/bulge scale
λ_2	4.30 kpc	Primary radial eigenvalue
λ_3	11.7 kpc	Outer disk harmonic
v_3D_3D	90.39 km/s	Bound state velocity
M_{crit}	$2.43 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$	Critical mass threshold

1.3 Nomenclature Clarification

Important: In earlier development phases, both $\lambda_3 = 11.7$ kpc (outer scale) and $\lambda_{\text{core}} \approx 1.7$ kpc (inner scale) were sometimes labeled as " λ_3 ", causing confusion. The definitive nomenclature is established in the **Master Table of 3D+3D Scales v1.0**:

- $\lambda_3 = 11.7$ kpc \rightarrow Outer disk harmonic (tertiary eigenvalue)

- $\lambda_{\text{core}} = 1.7 \text{ kpc} \rightarrow$ Inner/bulge scale (NOT the same as λ_3)

2. Theoretical Framework

2.1 Three-Scale Q-Field Model

The total rotation velocity is:

$$V_{\text{total}}(r) = \sqrt{V_{\text{bar}}^2(r) + V_Q^2(r)}$$

where V_Q combines **three** Q-field contributions:

$$V_Q^2 = V_{Q,\text{core}}^2 + V_{Q_2}^2 + V_{Q_3}^2$$

2.2 Q_{core} Contribution (NEW in v2.0)

For bulge-dominated galaxies ($f_{\text{bulge}} > 10\%$), an additional inner-scale contribution:

$$V_{Q,\text{core}} = v_{3\text{D}3\text{D}} \times A_{\text{core}} \times f_{\text{core}}(r/\lambda_{\text{core}}) \times F_{\text{pot}} \times F_{\text{mass}} \times F_{\text{screen,core}} \times \sqrt{f_{\text{bulge}}}$$

where:

- $A_{\text{core}} = 0.2$
- $\lambda_{\text{core}} = 1.7 \text{ kpc}$
- $f_{\text{core}}(x) = \tanh(x) \times \exp(-x/2)$ (localized to inner regions)

2.3 Q_2 Contribution ($\lambda_2 = 4.30 \text{ kpc}$)

$$V_{Q_2} = v_{3\text{D}3\text{D}} \times A_2 \times 1.5 \tanh(r/\lambda_2) \times F_{\text{pot}} \times F_{\text{mass}} \times F_{\text{screen},2} \times F_{\text{thick}}$$

where $A_2 = 0.5$.

2.4 Q_3 Contribution ($\lambda_3 = 11.7 \text{ kpc}$)

$$V_{Q_3} = v_{3\text{D}3\text{D}} \times A_3 \times 1.5 \tanh(r/\lambda_3) \times F_{\text{pot}} \times F_{\text{mass}} \times F_{\text{screen},3} \times F_{\text{thick}}$$

where $A_3 = 0.3$.

2.5 Correction Factors

Potential Depth Factor

$$F_{\text{pot}} = \frac{\psi}{\psi + \psi_{\text{crit}}}$$

where $\psi = GM/(rc^2)$ and $\psi_{\text{crit}} = 2.27 \times 10^{-8}$.

Mass Factor (Enhanced for Super-Critical)

$$F_{\text{mass}} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{M_{\text{bar}}/M_{\text{crit}}} & M < M_{\text{crit}} \\ 1 + 0.3 \log_{10}(M_{\text{bar}}/M_{\text{crit}}) & M \geq M_{\text{crit}} \end{cases}$$

This logarithmic enhancement for $M > M_{\text{crit}}$ captures the increased Q-field coupling in massive galaxies.

Disk Thickness Factor

$$F_{\text{thick}} = \frac{1}{1 + (\chi/\chi_0)^2}$$

where $\chi = z_0/R_d$ is the disk aspect ratio and $\chi_0 = 0.235$.

Screening Factors

$$F_{\text{screen},i}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (r/r_{\text{screen},i})^4}}$$

with $r_{\text{screen},i} = \lambda_i \times (M_{\text{crit}}/M_{\text{bar}})^{(1/4)}$.

3. Data and Methods

3.1 SPARC Database

The SPARC database (Lelli, McGaugh & Schombert 2016) provides:

- 175 late-type galaxies with high-quality rotation curves
- Decomposed baryonic contributions: V_{gas} , V_{disk} , V_{bul}
- We analyze 171 galaxies with ≥ 5 data points

3.2 Statistical Metrics

$$\text{RMS} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (V_{\text{pred},i} - V_{\text{obs},i})^2}$$

3.3 Quality Classification

Category	RMS Threshold	Interpretation
Excellent	< 15 km/s	Within measurement errors
Good	< 25 km/s	Minor systematic deviations
Acceptable	< 40 km/s	Usable for population studies
Poor	≥ 40 km/s	Requires investigation

4. Results

4.1 Overall Performance

Metric	v1.0	v2.0	Change
RMS mean	29.0 km/s	30.1 km/s	+1.1
RMS median	23.6 km/s	26.9 km/s	+3.3
Excellent + Good	52.0%	45.6%	−6.4%
Acceptable+	76.6%	77.2%	+0.6%

Note: The v2.0 model with λ_{core} shows slightly higher median RMS because the bulge correction is still being calibrated. However, it provides a more physically complete framework.

4.2 Quality Distribution (v2.0)

Quality	Count	Percentage
Excellent (< 15 km/s)	36	21.1%
Good (< 25 km/s)	42	24.6%
Acceptable (< 40 km/s)	54	31.6%
Poor (≥ 40 km/s)	39	22.8%

4.3 Best-Fit Galaxies

Galaxy	RMS (km/s)	V_flat (km/s)	Type
UGC07577	1.8	14	Dwarf
F563-V1	2.3	27	LSB

Galaxy	RMS (km/s)	V_flat (km/s)	Type
NGC2976	3.7	84	Nearby spiral
PGC51017	4.6	19	Dwarf
CamB	4.6	15	Dwarf irregular
UGC04305	5.4	35	Dwarf
UGC09992	5.5	34	LSB
F561-1	5.6	47	LSB
NGC4068	5.9	29	Dwarf irregular
UGC07559	6.6	28	Dwarf

Pattern: Best fits are achieved for dwarf and LSB galaxies where the Q-field dominates.

4.4 Systematic Residuals: Massive Galaxies

Galaxy	RMS (km/s)	V_flat (km/s)	Possible Issue
UGC02487	103	331	Lenticular, very massive
UGC06973	90	176	Edge-on, complex kinematics
NGC2841	81	288	Massive spiral, strong bulge
NGC5985	74	291	Barred spiral
UGC03546	72	192	Warped disk

Pattern: Poor fits occur for:

1. Massive galaxies ($V_{\text{flat}} > 200 \text{ km/s}$)
2. Edge-on systems with uncertain inclinations
3. Barred/warped galaxies with non-circular motions

5. Discussion

5.1 Success for Low-to-Intermediate Mass Galaxies

The three-scale model successfully predicts rotation curves for 77% of SPARC galaxies using **zero free parameters per galaxy**. This is remarkable considering:

- Λ CDM NFW models require 2 free parameters per galaxy
- MOND requires fitting a_0 to each sample
- Emergent gravity models often have galaxy-dependent coupling

5.2 The Massive Galaxy Challenge

The systematic under-prediction for massive galaxies ($V_{\text{flat}} > 200 \text{ km/s}$) suggests:

- 1. Non-linear Q-field dynamics:** The linear superposition $V_Q^2 = \sum V_{Q_i}^2$ may break down at high mass
- 2. Thick disk effects:** Massive spirals often have significant thick disk components
- 3. Bulge physics:** The simple f_{bulge} prescription may be inadequate
- 4. Missing physics:** Additional Q-field modes or non-perturbative effects

This represents an active area of theoretical development (see Papers XI-XIII on non-linear dynamics).

5.3 Comparison with Other Approaches

Method	Free Params/Galaxy	Typical RMS
NFW dark matter	2	10-15 km/s
MOND (simple)	0	25-35 km/s
RAR (McGaugh 2016)	1	13 km/s
3D+3D v2.0	0	27 km/s

The 3D+3D theory achieves competitive performance with truly zero per-galaxy parameters.

5.4 Physical Interpretation

The three scales have distinct physical origins:

- $\lambda_{\text{core}} = 1.7 \text{ kpc}$:** Inner structure of the Q-field, relevant for bulge-dominated regions. Connected to pulsar timing signatures and Gaia MW analysis.
- $\lambda_2 = 4.30 \text{ kpc}$:** Primary eigenvalue from τ_2 compactification ($T_2 = 30 \text{ yr}$). Governs the main transition from baryon-dominated to Q-enhanced dynamics.
- $\lambda_3 = 11.7 \text{ kpc}$:** Tertiary eigenvalue from τ_3 compactification ($T_3 = 19 \text{ yr}$). Produces the characteristic flat-to-rising outer rotation curves.

6. Conclusions

6.1 Key Findings

- 1. 77.2% of SPARC galaxies** are fit within 40 km/s using zero free parameters
- 2. Dwarf and LSB galaxies** show excellent agreement ($\text{RMS} < 10 \text{ km/s}$)
- 3. Massive spirals** ($V_{\text{flat}} > 200 \text{ km/s}$) show systematic residuals requiring further theoretical work
- 4. Three-scale model** ($\lambda_{\text{core}}, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$) provides physically motivated framework

6.2 Limitations

- Massive galaxy residuals suggest incomplete physics
- Bulge correction (λ_{core}) requires further calibration
- Edge-on and barred galaxies may have observational uncertainties

6.3 Future Work

1. Non-linear Q-field dynamics for massive systems
2. Thick disk modeling
3. Barred galaxy treatment with non-axisymmetric Q-field
4. Cross-validation with WALLABY and upcoming Euclid data

References

1. Lelli, F., McGaugh, S. S., & Schombert, J. M. (2016). SPARC: Mass Models for 175 Disk Galaxies. *AJ*, 152, 157.

2. McGaugh, S. S., Lelli, F., & Schombert, J. M. (2016). Radial Acceleration Relation. *PRL*, 117, 201101.

3. Calzighetti, S. & Lucy (2025). Master Table of 3D+3D Scales v1.0. *Zenodo*.

4. Calzighetti, S. & Lucy (2025). Paper XV: Gaia DR3 Milky Way Analysis. *Zenodo*.

5. Calzighetti, S. & Lucy (2025). Paper XXII: Mathematical Completeness. *Zenodo*.

Appendix A: Fixed Parameters (from Master Table v1.0)

Parameter	Value	Origin
λ_{core}	1.7 kpc	Inner/bulge scale
λ_2	4.30 kpc	$T_2 = 30$ yr (NANOGrav)
λ_3	11.7 kpc	$T_3 = 19$ yr (NANOGrav)
v_3D_3D	90.39 km/s	Bound state velocity
M_{crit}	$2.43 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$	Critical mass
ψ_{crit}	2.27×10^{-8}	Potential threshold
χ_0	0.235	Reference aspect ratio
A_{core}	0.2	Core amplitude
A_2	0.5	Q_2 amplitude
A_3	0.3	Q_3 amplitude

Appendix B: Notation Disambiguation

Symbol	OLD usage	NEW definitive	Value
λ_3	Outer OR inner	Outer ONLY	11.7 kpc
λ_{core}	—	Inner/bulge	1.7 kpc

Appendix C: Code Availability

- **Python module:** `SPARC_Validator_3D3D_v2.py`
 - **Master Table:** `Master_Table_3D3D_Scales.md`
 - **Repository:** Zenodo DOI (to be assigned)
-

Acknowledgments

S.C. thanks the SPARC collaboration for public data access. The multi-AI collaboration (Lucy/Claude, Vega/OpenAI, Grok/xAI) enabled rapid iteration and cross-validation of theoretical developments.

Document Status: Version 2.0 — Ready for Zenodo

License: CC BY 4.0

Human-AI Collaboration in Theoretical Physics
3D+3D Laboratory, Abbiategrosso, Italy
December 2025