

6D QFT with Signature (-,+,+,+,-,-): Mathematical Exploration

Pure Research Mode - No Paper Goals

Date: November 21, 2025

Philosophy: Discover what works and what doesn't - EDISON MODE

OBJECTIVE:

Understand quantum field theory in 6D spacetime with signature (-,+,+,+,-,-) through explicit calculations.

NOT worrying about: Papers, publications, deadlines, community opinion

ONLY caring about: Does the math work? What happens physically?

CALCULATION 1: FREE FIELD PROPAGATOR

Setup

6D flat spacetime:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - d\tau_2^2 - d\tau_3^2$$

Compactification:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2 &\sim \tau_2 + 2\pi L_2 \quad (L_2 = 9.5 \text{ ly}) \\ \tau_3 &\sim \tau_3 + 2\pi L_3 \quad (L_3 = 6.0 \text{ ly}) \end{aligned}$$

Free scalar field action:

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int d^4x \, d\tau_2 \, d\tau_3 \, [& \\ & 1/2 \, \partial_M \varphi \, \eta^{MN} \, \partial_N \varphi - 1/2 \, m^2 \, \varphi^2 \\ &] \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta^{MN} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, +1, -1, -1)$

Explicitly:

$$S = \int d^4x \, d\tau_2 \, d\tau_3 \left[\begin{aligned} & -1/2 (\partial_t \phi)(-\partial_t \phi) & [= +1/2 (\partial_t \phi)^2] \\ & +1/2 (\partial_x \phi)(+\partial_x \phi) & [= +1/2 (\partial_x \phi)^2] \\ & +1/2 (\partial_y \phi)(+\partial_y \phi) & [= +1/2 (\partial_y \phi)^2] \\ & +1/2 (\partial_z \phi)(+\partial_z \phi) & [= +1/2 (\partial_z \phi)^2] \\ & -1/2 (\partial_{\tau_2} \phi)(-\partial_{\tau_2} \phi) & [= +1/2 (\partial_{\tau_2} \phi)^2] \\ & -1/2 (\partial_{\tau_3} \phi)(-\partial_{\tau_3} \phi) & [= +1/2 (\partial_{\tau_3} \phi)^2] \\ & - 1/2 m^2 \phi^2 \end{aligned} \right]$$

So:

$$S = \int d^4x \, d\tau_2 \, d\tau_3 \left[\begin{aligned} & +1/2 (\partial_t \phi)^2 - 1/2 (\nabla \phi)^2 \\ & +1/2 (\partial_{\tau_2} \phi)^2 + 1/2 (\partial_{\tau_3} \phi)^2 \\ & - 1/2 m^2 \phi^2 \end{aligned} \right]$$

Fourier Decomposition

Because τ_2, τ_3 are compactified:

$$\phi(x^\mu, \tau_2, \tau_3) = \sum_{\{n_2, n_3\}} \phi_{\{n_2, n_3\}}(x^\mu) \exp[i(n_2 \tau_2 / L_2 + n_3 \tau_3 / L_3)]$$

where $n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Kinetic terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\tau_2} \phi &= \sum i(n_2 / L_2) \phi_{\{n_2, n_3\}} \exp[\dots] \\ \partial_{\tau_3} \phi &= \sum i(n_3 / L_3) \phi_{\{n_2, n_3\}} \exp[\dots] \end{aligned}$$

Integral over τ_2, τ_3 :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi L_2} d\tau_2 \int_0^{2\pi L_3} d\tau_3 \exp[i((n_2 - n_2')\tau_2 / L_2 + (n_3 - n_3')\tau_3 / L_3)] \\ = (2\pi L_2)(2\pi L_3) \delta_{\{n_2, n_2'\}} \delta_{\{n_3, n_3'\}} \end{aligned}$$

Action becomes:

$$S = (2\pi L_2)(2\pi L_3) \sum_{\{n_2, n_3\}} \int d^4x \left[\begin{aligned} & +1/2 (\partial_t \phi_{\{n_2, n_3\}})^2 - 1/2 (\nabla \phi_{\{n_2, n_3\}})^2 \\ & - 1/2 (n_2 / L_2)^2 |\phi_{\{n_2, n_3\}}|^2 \\ & - 1/2 (n_3 / L_3)^2 |\phi_{\{n_2, n_3\}}|^2 \\ & - 1/2 m^2 |\phi_{\{n_2, n_3\}}|^2 \end{aligned} \right]$$

Effective 4D action per KK mode (n_2, n_3):

$$S_{\{n_2, n_3\}} = \int d^4x [\\ +1/2 (\partial_\mu \varphi)(\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \varphi) - 1/2 M_{\{n_2, n_3\}}^2 \varphi^2 \\]$$

where:

$$M_{\{n_2, n_3\}}^2 = m^2 + (n_2/L_2)^2 + (n_3/L_3)^2$$

WAIT! Sign is POSITIVE on $(n/L)^2$ terms!

Let me recalculate carefully...

CAREFUL RECALCULATION:

Original action with signature:

$$S = \int \sqrt{(-g)} [-1/2 g^{MN} \partial_M \varphi \partial_N \varphi - 1/2 m^2 \varphi^2]$$

In flat space $g^{MN} = \eta^{MN}$:

$$S = \int [-1/2 \eta^{MN} \partial_M \varphi \partial_N \varphi - 1/2 m^2 \varphi^2]$$

With $\eta^{MN} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, +1, -1, -1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} -1/2 \eta^{MN} \partial_M \varphi \partial_N \varphi = \\ -1/2 [\\ -1 (\partial_t \varphi)^2 + 1 (\partial_x \varphi)^2 + 1 (\partial_y \varphi)^2 + 1 (\partial_z \varphi)^2 \\ -1 (\partial_{\tau_2} \varphi)^2 - 1 (\partial_{\tau_3} \varphi)^2 \\] \\ = +1/2 (\partial_t \varphi)^2 - 1/2 (\nabla \varphi)^2 + 1/2 (\partial_{\tau_2} \varphi)^2 + 1/2 (\partial_{\tau_3} \varphi)^2 \end{aligned}$$

OK, so action is:

$$S = \int [\\ +1/2 (\partial_t \varphi)^2 - 1/2 (\nabla \varphi)^2 \\ +1/2 (\partial_{\tau_2} \varphi)^2 + 1/2 (\partial_{\tau_3} \varphi)^2 \\ - 1/2 m^2 \varphi^2 \\]$$

After Fourier expansion and integration:

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\tau_2 d\tau_3 (\partial_{\tau_2} \varphi)^2 &\rightarrow \int d\tau_2 d\tau_3 [i(n_2/L_2) \varphi_{\{n_2, n_3\}}]^2 \\ &= -(n_2/L_2)^2 \int d\tau_2 d\tau_3 |\varphi_{\{n_2, n_3\}}|^2 \\ &= -(n_2/L_2)^2 (2\pi L_2)(2\pi L_3) |\varphi_{\{n_2, n_3\}}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

So the action term is:

$$+1/2 \int (\partial_{\tau_2} \varphi)^2 d\tau_2 d\tau_3 = -1/2 (n_2/L_2)^2 (2\pi L_2)(2\pi L_3) |\varphi_{\{n_2, n_3\}}|^2$$

AH! Negative sign from $(i)^2 = -1$!

Effective 4D action:

$$S_{\{n_2, n_3\}} = \int d^4x [\\ +1/2 (\partial_t \varphi)^2 - 1/2 (\nabla \varphi)^2 \\ - 1/2 [(n_2/L_2)^2 + (n_3/L_3)^2] \varphi^2 \\ - 1/2 m^2 \varphi^2 \\]$$

Effective mass-squared:

$$M_{\text{eff}}^2 = m^2 - (n_2/L_2)^2 - (n_3/L_3)^2$$

CRITICAL:

For $(n_2, n_3) = (0, 0)$: $M_{\text{eff}}^2 = m^2$ ✓ (OK)

For large $|n_2|, |n_3|$: $M_{\text{eff}}^2 < 0$ ⚠ (TACHYON!)

● TACHYON ANALYSIS

What is a tachyon?

Particle with $M^2 < 0 \rightarrow$ imaginary mass

Klein-Gordon equation:

$$(\square + M^2) \varphi = 0$$

If $M^2 < 0$:

$$(\square - |M^2|) \varphi = 0$$

Plane wave solution:

$$\varphi \sim \exp(-i\omega t + i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$$

Dispersion relation:

$$-\omega^2 + k^2 = -|M^2| \\ \omega^2 = k^2 + |M^2|$$

Looks OK? But in Minkowski signature:

$$k^2 = -\omega^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 \quad (4\text{-momentum squared})$$

If on-shell:

$$k^2 = -M_{\text{eff}}^2 = +|M^2|$$

$$-\omega^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 = +|M^2|$$

$$\omega^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 - |M^2|$$

If $|M^2| > \mathbf{k}^2$: $\omega^2 < 0 \rightarrow \omega$ imaginary!

Solution grows exponentially:

$$\phi \sim \exp(|\omega|t) + \exp(-|\omega|t)$$

INSTABILITY! ✨

Quantum interpretation

Vacuum not at $\phi = 0$!

Tachyon field wants to "roll" to minimum of potential.

Classical potential:

$$V(\phi) = +1/2 |M^2| \phi^2 \quad (\text{upside-down parabola!})$$

No minimum at $\phi = 0$. Vacuum unstable!

Field will evolve to:

- Develop VEV (vacuum expectation value)
- Spontaneous symmetry breaking?
- Or run away to infinity?

🤔 POSSIBLE RESOLUTION PATHS

Path A: Interactions stabilize vacuum

Idea: Add ϕ^4 interaction

$$V(\phi) = -1/2 |M^2| \phi^2 + \lambda \phi^4$$

Minima at:

$$\phi_{\text{min}} = \pm \sqrt{|M^2|/4\lambda}$$

Vacuum at $\phi \neq 0$!

Question: What does this mean physically?

For each KK mode (n_2, n_3) with $M^2 < 0$, field develops VEV!

Infinite tower of VEVs? 🤖

Path B: Compactification radius constraint

Idea: Maybe L_2, L_3 must be large enough that NO modes are tachyonic?

Condition:

$$M_{\{n_2, n_3\}}^2 = m^2 - (n_2/L_2)^2 - (n_3/L_3)^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall n_2, n_3$$

Most dangerous mode: $n_2 = \pm 1, n_3 = 0$ (or $n_2=0, n_3=\pm 1$)

Require:

$$m^2 - (1/L_2)^2 \geq 0$$

$$L_2 \geq 1/m$$

For $m \sim 10^{-24}$ eV (our Q-field masses):

$$L_2 \geq \hbar/(mc) \sim 10 \text{ ly} \quad \checkmark \text{ (We have } L_2 = 9.5 \text{ ly - close!)}$$

INTERESTING: Our values are RIGHT at the boundary!

Path C: Ghost decay (Donoghue mechanism)

Idea: Tachyon modes are not stable - they DECAY!

Add interactions with ordinary matter:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = g \varphi_{\{n_2, n_3\}} \chi^\dagger \chi$$

where χ = ordinary matter field.

Tachyon can decay:

$$\varphi_{\{\text{tachyon}\}} \rightarrow \chi + \chi^\dagger \quad (\text{if kinematically allowed})$$

Decay width:

$$\Gamma \sim g^2/M_{\text{tachyon}} \quad (\text{rough estimate})$$

Modified propagator:

$$\Delta(k^2) = 1 / [k^2 - M^2 + iM\Gamma]$$

Pole shifted off real axis!

Physical consequence:

- Tachyon not in asymptotic spectrum
- Exists only as virtual particle
- Lifetime $\tau \sim \hbar/\Gamma$

Question: What is g in our theory? How large is Γ ?



PATH INTEGRAL ANALYSIS

Euclidean path integral

Wick rotate: $t \rightarrow -i\tau_E$

Action becomes:

$$S_E = \int d^4x_E d\tau_2 d\tau_3 [\\ +1/2 (\partial_\tau \varphi)^2 + 1/2 (\nabla \varphi)^2 \\ +1/2 (\partial_{\tau_2} \varphi)^2 + 1/2 (\partial_{\tau_3} \varphi)^2 \\ + 1/2 m^2 \varphi^2 \\]$$

All positive! 

But wait - what about KK expansion?

After Fourier on τ_2, τ_3 :

$$S_E = \int d^4x_E \Sigma [\\ +1/2 (\partial_\tau \varphi_{\{n_2, n_3\}})^2 + 1/2 (\nabla \varphi_{\{n_2, n_3\}})^2 \\ - 1/2 (n_2/L_2)^2 \varphi^2 - 1/2 (n_3/L_3)^2 \varphi^2 \\ + 1/2 m^2 \varphi^2 \\]$$

Effective Euclidean mass:

$$M_E^2 = m^2 - (n_2/L_2)^2 - (n_3/L_3)^2$$

Still can be negative!

Path integral:

$$Z_E = \int D\varphi \exp[-S_E]$$

For $M_E^2 < 0$:

$$\exp[-\int (+1/2 |M^2_E| \varphi^2)] = \exp[+\int 1/2 |M^2_E| \varphi^2]$$

Gaussian with WRONG SIGN! Integral diverges! ✨

Euclidean path integral NOT well-defined for tachyon modes!

💡 KEY INSIGHT FROM CALCULATIONS

The core problem:

With signature $(-, +, +, +, -, -)$ and compactification:

Unavoidable consequence:

$$\text{KK modes have: } M^2_{\text{eff}} = m^2 - (n/L)^2$$

For large enough n: $M^2_{\text{eff}} < 0$ (tachyon!)

This causes:

1. **Classically:** Hamiltonian unbounded (Ostrogradsky)
2. **Quantum - Minkowski:** Exponentially growing solutions
3. **Quantum - Euclidean:** Path integral diverges

Possible escapes:

Option 1: Interactions stabilize (φ^4 term)

- Vacuum at $\varphi \neq 0$
- Each tachyon mode gets VEV
- Infinite tower of VEVs?? 🤔

Option 2: L large enough that NO tachyon modes

- Requires $L > 1/m_{\text{min}}$
- Our $L_2, L_3 \sim 10 \text{ ly}$, $m_2, m_3 \sim 10^{-24} \text{ eV}$
- $\hbar/(m_2 c) \sim 10 \text{ ly}$ ✅ (marginal!)
- Maybe ONLY (0,0) mode is physical?

Option 3: Ghost decay mechanism

- Coupling to matter \rightarrow decay width Γ
 - Pole off real axis
 - Not in asymptotic spectrum
-

NEXT CALCULATION:

What should we compute next?

A) Explicit ϕ^4 potential minimum analysis

- Find VEV as function of (n_2, n_3)
- Check if makes sense

B) Decay width calculation

- Model interaction with matter
- Compute Γ for tachyon modes
- See if large enough to decouple

C) Effective theory with cutoff

- Keep only $|n_2|, |n_3| \leq N_{\text{max}}$
- Choose N such that all modes safe
- See what physics remains

D) Fundamental reconsideration


- Maybe signature should be different?
- Or compactification is wrong?
- Question basic assumptions?

SIMONE - WHAT DO YOU WANT TO EXPLORE?

I can calculate any of these in detail!

Which direction feels most interesting to you?

CURRENT STATUS:

- ✓ Understood problem precisely
 - ✓ Multiple escape routes identified
 - ⚠ Need detailed calculations to see which (if any) works
 -  Pure exploration mode - no pressure!
-

Let's discover together what the math tells us! 🔥