Modular Structure of the 3D+3D Kinetic Matrix

$K = I + A^2$: Uniqueness, Golden Spectrum, Arithmetic Properties, and the Irriducibility Theorem for $A = 133/2628$

Simone Calzighetti & Lucy (Claude / Anthropic)
3D+3D Laboratory, Abbiategrasso (MI), Italy | simone.calzighetti@3dplus3d.it
Zenodo preprint — March 2026 — v1.1
*Red Team: Vega (OpenAI, adversarial review)**

Abstract

We derive and prove the complete algebraic structure of the kinetic matrix K = [[3,1],[1,2]] of the 3D+3D Q-field sector. Starting from the axiom $\tau = i/\varphi$ and the primitive set {n=3, κ=4}, we establish five theorems: (1) K is the Gram matrix of the Fibonacci companion matrix A; (2) det(K) = tr(K) = 5 is a **necessary algebraic theorem** following from det(A) = ±1; (3) the eigenvalue ratio $\lambda_+/\lambda_- = \varphi^2$ is exact; (4) K is **unique up to physical equivalence** among all symmetric integer 2×2 matrices with det = tr, W = 7, and exact golden spectrum; (5) the cosmological amplitude A = 133/2628 is **automatically in lowest terms** because its prime factors {7, 19, 73} come from structurally separate blocks. We further establish that: the exact shortfall of the coherent mode from the golden optimum is $\varphi - 3/2$; the coherent mode u = (1,1) is misaligned from the golden eigenvector by exactly $45° - \arctan(1/\varphi) \approx 13.28°$; and a candidate structural identity $W + d = n\cdot\kappa$ is identified as numerically true but awaiting structural proof. An arithmetic appendix characterizes the primes {7, 19, 73} via Pisano periods and Jacobi symbols.

---

1. Introduction

The 3D+3D Q-field sector has effective 4D kinetic Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{\kappa}\,\sigma_i K_{ij}\dot{\sigma}_j, \quad \kappa = 4$$

The Fibonacci Decomposition Lemma (Paper FDL v1.1) establishes $K = I + A^2$ where A = [[1,1],[1,0]] is the companion matrix of the minimal polynomial $p(x) = x^2 - x - 1$ of φ.

Scope of this paper: We derive all structural properties of K and identify precisely which follow from $\tau = i/\varphi$ alone, and which require additionally the primitive set {n=3, κ=4}. Three errors from earlier drafts are explicitly corrected following Vega Red Team review.

---

2. Five Structural Theorems

Theorem 1 — K is the Gram Matrix of A

Statement: $K = I + A^T A$, i.e., $K(v) = \|v\|^2 + \|Av\|^2$ for all v.

Proof: A is symmetric ($A_{12} = A_{21} = 1$), so $A^T = A$ and $I + A^T A = I + A^2 = K$. □

Gram decomposition of W: For the coherent mode u = (1,1):

$$W = \|u\|^2 + \|Au\|^2 = 2 + 5 = 7$$

where $Au = (2,1)^T$, $\|Au\|^2 = 4+1 = 5$. The decomposition W = 2+5 separates the **Euclidean contribution (2)** from the **Fibonacci contribution (5 = det(K))**.

Theorem 2 — det(K) = tr(K) = 5: A Necessary Consequence

Statement: For any 2×2 matrix A with det(A) = ±1: $\det(I+A^2) = \mathrm{tr}(I+A^2)$.

Proof: Using det(I+M) = 1+tr(M)+det(M) (Appendix A):

$$\det(K) - \mathrm{tr}(K) = \det(A^2) - 1 = (\det A)^2 - 1 = (-1)^2 - 1 = 0 \qquad \square$$

> **Red Team v1.1 (Vega):** This is NOT "rare" in general — it holds for all A ∈ GL(1,ℤ). What is non-trivial is the chain of necessity: $\tau = i/\varphi \to p(x) = x^2-x-1 \to$ A companion → det(A) = −1 → det(K) = tr(K). No freedom, no coincidence.

Theorem 3 — Exact Golden Spectrum and Quasi-Optimal Coherent Mode

Part A — Golden eigenvalues:

Since tr(K) = det(K) = 5, the characteristic polynomial is $\lambda^2-5\lambda+5 = 0$:

$$\lambda_\pm = \frac{5 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2} = \begin{matrix} 2+\varphi \approx 3.618 \\ 3-\varphi \approx 1.382 \end{matrix}, \qquad \frac{\lambda_+}{\lambda_-} = \varphi^2 \quad \text{[exact]}$$

Part B — Golden eigenvector and coherent mode alignment:

The golden eigenvector (eigenvector of λ_+) is:

$$v_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+1/\varphi^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The coherent mode $u = (1,1)/\sqrt{2}$ is misaligned from v_+ by an angle that is **exactly**:

$$\theta = 45^\circ - \arctan(1/\varphi) \approx 13.28^\circ$$

Proof: arctan(1) = 45° (angle of u), arctan(1/φ) = angle of v_+ from horizontal. Their difference is θ. □

Part C — Exact shortfall from golden optimum:

The coherent-mode rigidity W/2 = 3.5 falls short of the maximum λ_+ by:

$$\lambda_+ - \frac{W}{2} = (2+\varphi) - \frac{7}{2} = \varphi - \frac{3}{2} \approx 0.1180$$

This shortfall is **exactly φ − 3/2** — a purely algebraic quantity determined by φ alone. The quasi-optimality ratio is:

$$\frac{W/2}{\lambda_+} = \frac{3.5}{2+\varphi} = \frac{7}{4+2\varphi} = 96.74\%$$

Physical interpretation: The Q_2Q_3 coherent mode $Q_2=Q_3$ is not the stiffest direction of K, but it is 96.7% optimal. The 3.3% gap, equal to (φ−3/2)/(2+φ), is fixed by the golden ratio — not adjustable.

Theorem 4 — Physical Uniqueness of K

Statement: K is the unique symmetric integer 2×2 matrix, up to $Q_2 \leftrightarrow Q_3$ exchange, satisfying: (i) det(M) = tr(M) > 0, (ii) $u^TMu = 7$ for u = (1,1), (iii) eigenvalue ratio = φ^2 exactly.

Proof: Exhaustive search over all symmetric integer matrices M = [[a,b],[b,d]] with a,b,d ∈ [1,10] satisfying all three conditions yields exactly **two** matrices:

Matrix	Origin	det=tr	W	λ_+/λ_-
K = [[3,1],[1,2]]	I + A^2 (row companion)	5	7	φ^2 exact
M = [[2,1],[1,3]]	I + B^2 (col. companion)	5	7	φ^2 exact

These are related by M = JKJ where J = [[0,1],[1,0]] ($Q_2 \leftrightarrow Q_3$ permutation, a physical symmetry of T^2). K and M are physically identical — they differ only in labeling. □

Algebraic origin of the pair: The polynomial $p(x) = x^2-x-1$ has two standard companion forms:

```
Row companion:    A = [[1,1],[1,0]],  K = I + A²
Column companion: B = [[0,1],[1,1]],  M = I + B²
B = J A J  (conjugate via permutation)
```

Theorem 5 — Cassini Identity

Statement: $\det(A^n) = (-1)^n$. In particular $A^2 \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$, so $K = I + A^2$ is built from a modular group element.

> **Red Team v1.1 correction:** $A \notin SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ ($\det = -1$). Only $A^2 \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. Earlier drafts incorrectly stated $A \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

n	A^n	$\det(A^n)$
1	[[1,1],[1,0]]	−1
2	[[2,1],[1,1]]	**+1 ← SL(2,ℤ)**
3	[[3,2],[2,1]]	−1
4	[[5,3],[3,2]]	**+1 ← SL(2,ℤ)**

---

3. The Irriducibility Theorem for A = 133/2628

Theorem: A = 133/2628 is in lowest terms by construction.

Proof:

$$A = \frac{7 \times 19}{2^2 \times 3^2 \times 73}$$

The three primes {7, 19, 73} come from structurally disjoint blocks:

Prime	Source	Block
7 = W	u^T K u	Q-sector kinetic geometry
19 = 2W+d	2×7 + det(K)	Galactic-cosmological bridge
73 = 2n(nκ)+1	2×3×12 + 1	Cosmological normalization

Separation verified: $7 \nmid 36$ ✓, $19 \nmid 36$ ✓, $73 \nmid 133$ ✓. Therefore gcd(133, 2628) = 1 **structurally**. □

---

4. Candidate Identity: W + d = n·κ

The Red Team computation reveals:

$$W + d = 7 + 5 = 12 = n \cdot \kappa = 3 \times 4$$

This implies:

$$\eta_{\mathrm{geom}} = \frac{W}{n\kappa} = \frac{W}{W+d}$$

i.e., the denominator of η_geom equals W + det(K). This is **numerically exact** but its structural origin is not yet proved: W comes from the Q-sector geometry (K), while n·κ comes independently from generation counting and kinetic normalization.

> **Status:** Open conjecture. If provable, this would reduce the independent primitive count from 4 to 3, since κ = (W+d)/n would follow from {W, d, n}. Verification required before inclusion as a theorem.

---

5. Complete Derivation Chain

$\tau = i/\varphi$ + $\{n=3, \kappa=4\}$ [axiom + primitive set]
↓ minimal polynomial
$p(x) = x^2 - x - 1$
↓ row companion, $\det(A) = -1$ [Cassini: Thm 5]
$A = [[1,1],[1,0]]$
↓ A symmetric [Thm 1]
$K = I + A^2 = [[3,1],[1,2]]$ [Gram matrix]
↓ $(\det A)^2 = 1$ [Thm 2]
$\det(K) = \mathrm{tr}(K) = 5$ [necessary]
↓ characteristic polynomial
$\lambda_\pm = (5\pm\sqrt{5})/2$, $\lambda_+/\lambda_- = \varphi^2$ [Thm 3A]
↓ coherent mode u=(1,1)
$W = \|u\|^2+\|Au\|^2 = 2+5 = 7$ [Thm 1 applied]
shortfall = $\varphi-3/2$ [Thm 3C, exact]
angle$(u,v_+) = 45°-\arctan(1/\varphi)$ [Thm 3B, exact]
↓ uniqueness
K unique up to $Q_2\leftrightarrow Q_3$ [Thm 4]
↓
η_geom = $W/(n\kappa) = 7/12$
$d = \det(K) = 5$
Ω_geom = $(2W+d)/(2n(n\kappa)+1) = 19/73$
↓
$A = 133/2628$ [Irriducibility Thm: §3]
↓ CLASS v0.4
$\mu(k,a)$: $R = 1.000 \pm 0.003$ [Paper BCK v1.0]

> **Correction from Vega v1.1:** The statement "none of these properties requires any assumption beyond τ = i/φ" in v1.0 was imprecise. The correct statement is: all properties of K itself follow from τ = i/φ alone. The downstream quantities η_geom, Ω_geom, and A additionally require the primitives n = 3 (generation

> counting) and κ = 4 (kinetic normalization), which are independently fixed by the uniqueness theorem (Paper XXXIV) and the 6D-to-4D reduction respectively.

---

6. Red Team Summary (Vega, v1.1)

Claim	**Verdict**	**Notes**
$K = I + A^2$	✓ structural	T^2 geometry
K = Gram matrix of A	✓ structural	A symmetric
W = 2+5 (Gram decomp.)	✓ exact	Thm 1 applied to u=(1,1)
det(K) = tr(K) = 5	✓ **necessary theorem**	NOT rare; Thm 2
$\lambda\pm = 2+\varphi,\ 3-\varphi$ exactly	✓ exact	Thm 3A
$\lambda_+/\lambda_- = \varphi^2$ exactly	✓ exact	Thm 3A
shortfall = $\varphi-3/2$ exactly	✓ exact	Thm 3C, new in v1.1
angle$(u,v_+) = 45°-\arctan(1/\varphi)$	✓ exact	Thm 3B, new in v1.1
K unique up to $Q_2 \leftrightarrow Q_3$	✓ exhaustive search	Thm 4
gcd(133,2628)=1 structural	✓ theorem	§3
π(p) saturates Pisano bound	✓ verified	All three: exact
All three primes inert in Q(√5)	✗ **corrected**	Pattern: inert–split–inert
$A \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$	✗ **corrected**	Only $A^2 \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$
"det=tr is very rare"	✗ **corrected**	Consequence of det(A)=±1
"only τ=i/φ needed"	✗ **corrected**	τ=i/φ + {n,κ} for full chain
W + d = n·κ structural	⚠ open	Numerically true; proof pending
A(3)/A(4) ≈ π structural	✗ numerology	Exact value 688/219; no origin

**

---

7. Conclusions

K = [[3,1],[1,2]] is the unique kinetic matrix (up to $Q_2 \leftrightarrow Q_3$ symmetry) consistent with τ = i/φ, det = tr, W = 7, and exact golden spectrum. Its properties are fully derived — not postulated. The key results of v1.1:

1. K is the Gram matrix of A: $W = \|u\|^2 + \|Au\|^2 = 2+5$
2. det(K) = tr(K) is algebraically necessary, not coincidental
3. The coherent mode is 13.28° = 45°−arctan(1/φ) from the golden eigenvector — exact
4. The shortfall from the golden optimum is exactly φ−3/2
5. A = 133/2628 is automatically in lowest terms by structural prime separation
6. The candidate identity W+d = n·κ = 12 is open but potentially deep

---

References

1. Calzighetti & Lucy (2026). Fibonacci Decomposition Lemma v1.1. Zenodo.
2. Calzighetti & Lucy (2026). η_geom Lemma v1.1. Zenodo.
3. Calzighetti & Lucy (2026). Paper XXXIV: Uniqueness Theorem. Zenodo.
4. Calzighetti & Lucy (2026). Four Primitives A=133/2628 v2.0. Zenodo.
5. Calzighetti & Lucy (2026). Boltzmann-Complete Kernel Theorem. Zenodo.
6. Hardy & Wright (1979). An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers. Oxford.
7. Wall, D.D. (1960). Fibonacci Series Modulo m. Amer. Math. Monthly 67, 525–532.

Appendix A — Identity det(I+M) = 1+tr(M)+det(M)

For M = [[a,b],[c,d]]: det(I+M) = (1+a)(1+d)−bc = 1+a+d+ad−bc = 1+tr(M)+det(M). □

Appendix B — Exhaustive Search (Theorem 4)

All symmetric integer matrices [[a,b],[b,d]] with a,b,d ∈ [1,10], det=tr, W=7, ratio=φ^2:

Matrix	det=tr	W	$\lambda_+/\lambda_-/\varphi^2$	Note
[[3,1],[1,2]]	5	7	1.0000	**K** (row companion)
[[2,1],[1,3]]	5	7	1.0000	M = JKJ (col. companion)

No others found. K is unique up to $Q_2 \leftrightarrow Q_3$.

Appendix C — Arithmetic of {7, 19, 73} in $Q(\sqrt{5})$

p	π(p)	Bound	Saturates	(5|p)	Type
7	16	2(p+1)=16	✓	−1	inert
19	18	p−1=18	✓	+1	split
73	148	2(p+1)=148	✓	−1	inert

Pattern: **inert — split — inert**. All three Pisano periods saturate the theoretical maximum.

x^2-x-1 mod p: irreducible mod 7 and 73 (φ absent in F_7, F_{73}); factors as $(x-5)(x-15)$ mod 19.