

# THE RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS: A HILBERT-SCHMIDT OPERATOR

JASON MULLINGS BSC

ABSTRACT. Building on the *Singularity Proof* (Mullings, March 2026) and the formal programme of *Volume I: Formal Reduction* of the Analyst's Problem, we introduce and rigorously analyse a Hilbert-Schmidt Operator that is the natural operator-theoretic resolution of the Analyst's Problem. The central object is the self-adjoint, compact, positive semidefinite Hilbert-Schmidt operator  $\mathbf{T} : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , whose matrix kernel is the  $\text{sech}^4$ -Bochner-repaired Fourier symbol  $\hat{k}_H(\ln m - \ln n)$  evaluated at logarithmic frequencies and generated by the  $\varphi$ -Ruelle soliton amplitude

$$\Gamma(t) = A \cdot \text{sech}^p\left(\frac{\Phi(t)}{H}\right) \otimes \Psi_{9D}(t).$$

We prove, *strictly without any use of the logarithm operator inside the operator definition or its core properties* (the *log-free protocol*), that  $\mathbf{T}$  is bounded, self-adjoint, Hilbert-Schmidt, and positive semidefinite on  $\ell^2$ . Its Gram matrix representation is consistent across finite truncations, confirming a single well-defined operator on the infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. We further show that the physical Dirichlet vector  $x^{\text{phys}} = (n^{-1/2})_{n \geq 1}$ , though lying outside  $\ell^2$ , is in the form domain of  $\mathbf{T}$  as a quadratic form, and that the associated quadratic form evaluation  $\langle \mathbf{T}f, f \rangle_{\ell^2}$  recovers the Toeplitz functional  $Q_H(x; T_0)$  of Volume I. The  $\varphi$ -Ruelle weight structure (nine branches, golden-ratio bi-Lorentzian decay) renders  $\mathbf{T}$  Hilbert-Schmidt unconditionally. Numerical and analytic certifications — all log-free within the operator calculus — confirm every required Hilbert operator axiom across tested dimensions. The Analyst's Problem is thereby reduced, in its operator-theoretic formulation, to the question of whether the quadratic form  $Q_H^\infty(x^{\text{phys}}) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathbf{T}_N x_N^{\text{phys}}, x_N^{\text{phys}} \rangle_{\ell_N^2}$  remains strictly positive, which is equivalent to the Riemann Hypothesis via the Weil explicit formula.

---

*Date:* April 2026.

MSC 2020: Primary 11M06, 11M26; Secondary 47B10, 47B32, 42A38, 30B50.

Keywords: Riemann zeta function · Hilbert-Schmidt Operator ·  $\text{sech}^4$ -weighted kernel ·  $\varphi$ -Ruelle transfer operator · Hilbert-Schmidt operator · Analyst's Problem · Toeplitz quadratic form · log-free protocol.

*Dedicated to my wife, Ms. Lovell Mullings, who has kept me stocked with tea and biscuits throughout this entire endeavour.*

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction and Programme Context	3
1.1. Preceding work	3
1.2. The present contribution	3
1.3. Structure of this paper	3
Epistemic tiers	3
2. Notation and Functional-Analytic Preliminaries	4
2.1. Hilbert spaces	4
2.2. Operator classes	4
2.3. Toeplitz operators on logarithmic spectra	4
3. The Log-Free Kernel and Its Fourier Symbol	4
3.1. The base window and Bochner repair	4
3.2. The Fourier symbol (log-free)	5
4. The $\varphi$ -Ruelle Weight Structure	5
4.1. Golden-ratio bi-Lorentzian weights	5
5. The Hilbert-Schmidt Operator $\mathbf{T}$	6
5.1. The $\text{sech}^p$ Generating Form	6
5.2. Definition of presented Hilbert-Schmidt Operator	7
5.3. Finite-dimensional truncations	7
5.4. Fundamental operator properties	8
6. Connection to the Toeplitz Quadratic Form	9
6.1. The physical vector and its form domain	9
6.2. Parseval bridge and Toeplitz recovery	9
6.3. The $\sigma$ -selectivity	9
7. Analytic Argument Verification (Log-Free)	9
7.1. Kernel symmetry and self-adjointness	10
7.2. Compactness via spectral truncation	10
7.3. Uniform boundedness across $N$	10
7.4. Single-operator consistency (the key diagnostic)	10
8. Numerical Certification	10
8.1. Summary of numerical results	11
8.2. Analytic verification summary	11
9. The Operator-Theoretic Analyst's Problem and Conditional RH	11
9.1. Operator formulation of the Analyst's Problem	11
9.2. Why the limit is hard	11
9.3. Conditional equivalence with RH	12
9.4. The Hilbert-Pólya connection	12
10. Conclusion and Roadmap	12
Exit conditions for this paper	12
Roadmap to subsequent volumes	13
References	14

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PROGRAMME CONTEXT

The Riemann Hypothesis (RH) asserts that every non-trivial zero  $\rho$  of the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  satisfies  $\Re(\rho) = 1/2$ . The approach developed in this series of papers does not attack RH via classical contour integration or moment estimates on  $\zeta(s)$ ; instead, it encodes RH into a real-line positivity problem for a smoothed,  $\text{sech}^2$ -weighted curvature functional, and progressively sharpens that encoding through operator-theoretic tools.

## 1.1. Preceding work.

- **The Singularity Proof** (March 2026, [1]). Unconditionally established an algebraic curvature singularity at  $\sigma = 1/2$  for finite Dirichlet sums via the Fourier–Mellin decomposition, the Hard Algebraic Identity  $4(\ln m)(\ln n) + (\ln m - \ln n)^2 = (\ln mn)^2$ , and spectral suppression of Riemann–Siegel cross-terms (Theorem A). Conditional reduction of RH to the universal positivity of the  $\text{sech}^2$ -weighted large-sieve curvature integral (the Analyst’s Problem, Theorem B) was achieved, with the off-line zero contradiction mechanism provided by Theorem C.
- **Volume I: Formal Reduction** (March 2026, [2]). Introduced the Bochner-repaired kernel  $k_H(t) = (6/H^2) \text{sech}^4(t/H)$ , proved the Parseval/convolution bridge equating the integral form  $\int k_H(t) |D_N(T_0 + t)|^2 dt$  to the arithmetic Toeplitz sum  $Q_H(x; T_0)$ , established finite- $N$  positivity as unconditional (T1), and formulated the Analyst’s Problem as the open T3 inequality  $Q_H^\infty > 0$ .

**1.2. The present contribution.** During the preparation of the Montgomery–Vaughan boundedness estimates planned for Volume VII of the twelve-volume programme, a decisive structural simplification presented itself: the Toeplitz operator associated to the kernel  $\hat{k}_H$  on logarithmic frequencies is a *bona fide Hilbert–Schmidt Operator* in the classical sense of functional analysis. Its properties —boundedness, self-adjointness, compactness, positive semidefiniteness, and Hilbert–Schmidt membership—follow from the  $\text{sech}^4$  positivity and  $\varphi$ -Ruelle weight decay *without invoking any logarithmic function inside the operator itself*.

This *log-free TAP-HO protocol* is not merely a computational convenience. In the framework of the Analyst’s Problem, the Fourier symbol  $\hat{k}_H(\xi)$  is evaluated at  $\xi = \ln(m/n)$ , i.e., at logarithmic frequency differences; however, the symbol itself, as a function of  $\xi$ , is expressed entirely via hyperbolic functions and polynomial operations in  $\xi$ . The prohibition on *log inside the operator definition* keeps the operator in the algebraic, Bochner-positive class established in Volume I, where all identities hold unconditionally (T1).

**1.3. Structure of this paper.** Section 2 fixes notation and recalls the required functional-analytic background. Section 3 presents the kernel and its Fourier symbol. Section 4 introduces the  $\varphi$ -Ruelle weight structure. Section 5 defines the Hilbert–Schmidt Operator **T** and proves its fundamental properties. Section 6 connects **T** to the Toeplitz quadratic form  $Q_H$  and the physical vector. Section 7 provides the analytic argument verification framework. Section 8 summarises the numerical certification. Section 9 states the operator-theoretic formulation of the Analyst’s Problem and its conditional equivalence with RH. Section 10 concludes with the roadmap to subsequent volumes.

**Epistemic tiers.** Following the convention of Volume I, every result is tagged: **[T1]** (unconditional algebra/functional analysis), **[T2]** (conditional on standard analytic inputs, e.g. the Weil explicit formula), **[T3]** (open gap).

## 2. NOTATION AND FUNCTIONAL-ANALYTIC PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Hilbert spaces.** We work with the separable complex Hilbert spaces:

$$\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) = \left\{ f = (f_n)_{n \geq 1} : f_n \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n|^2 < \infty \right\}, \quad \langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \overline{g_n},$$

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)|^2 dt < \infty \right\}.$$

**2.2. Operator classes.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert space and  $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  a bounded linear operator. We say  $T$  is:

- *bounded* if  $\|T\| := \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\| < \infty$ ;
- *self-adjoint* if  $T^* = T$ , i.e.,  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, Ty \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ;
- *Hilbert–Schmidt* if  $\|T\|_{HS}^2 := \sum_n \|Te_n\|^2 < \infty$  for some (equivalently, any) orthonormal basis  $(e_n)$ ;
- *compact* if the image of the unit ball is pre-compact;
- *positive semidefinite* (PSD) if  $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Every Hilbert–Schmidt operator is compact, and every compact self-adjoint operator satisfies the spectral theorem with a real, discrete spectrum accumulating only at 0.

**2.3. Toeplitz operators on logarithmic spectra.** Given a continuous, bounded, even function  $m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , the associated *Toeplitz-type* operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  is

$$(T_m f)_j = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(\ln j - \ln k) f_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m\left(\ln \frac{j}{k}\right) f_k.$$

The matrix entries are  $M_{jk} = m(\ln j - \ln k)$ . If  $m \geq 0$  and  $m \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $m$  is the Fourier transform of a positive-definite function, and  $M$  is positive semidefinite by Bochner’s theorem.

## 3. THE LOG-FREE KERNEL AND ITS FOURIER SYMBOL

**3.1. The base window and Bochner repair.** Recall from Volume I the base window

$$w_H(t) = \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{t}{H}\right), \quad H > 0,$$

and its second derivative

$$w_H''(t) = \frac{2}{H^2} \left[ 3 \tanh^2\left(\frac{t}{H}\right) - 1 \right] \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{t}{H}\right),$$

which changes sign at  $|t/H| = \operatorname{arctanh}(1/\sqrt{3}) \approx 0.6585$ .

**Lemma 3.1** (*sech<sup>4</sup> Identity [T1]*). *For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $H > 0$ , define the Bochner-corrected kernel with  $\lambda^* = 4/H^2$ :*

$$k_H(t) := -w_H''(t) + \frac{4}{H^2} w_H(t) = \frac{6}{H^2} \operatorname{sech}^4\left(\frac{t}{H}\right) > 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tau = t/H$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} -w_H''(t) + \frac{4}{H^2}w_H(t) &= \frac{2}{H^2} [1 - 3 \tanh^2 \tau] \operatorname{sech}^2 \tau + \frac{4}{H^2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \tau \\ &= \frac{2}{H^2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \tau [1 - 3 \tanh^2 \tau + 2] \\ &= \frac{6}{H^2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \tau [1 - \tanh^2 \tau] \\ &= \frac{6}{H^2} \operatorname{sech}^4 \tau, \end{aligned}$$

using the Pythagorean identity  $1 - \tanh^2 \tau = \operatorname{sech}^2 \tau$ . Strict positivity is immediate since  $\operatorname{sech} \tau > 0$  for all finite  $\tau$ .  $\square$

### 3.2. The Fourier symbol (log-free).

**Proposition 3.2** (Fourier Symbol [T1]). *The Fourier transform of  $k_H$ , defined by  $\hat{k}_H(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k_H(t) e^{-i\xi t} dt$ , satisfies*

$$\hat{k}_H(\xi) = \left( \xi^2 + \frac{4}{H^2} \right) \hat{w}_H(\xi), \quad \hat{w}_H(\xi) = \frac{\pi H^2 \xi}{\sinh(\pi H \xi / 2)},$$

with the convention that  $\hat{w}_H(0) = 2H$ . In particular:

- (i)  $\hat{k}_H(\xi) \geq 0$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (ii)  $\hat{k}_H$  is even and smooth;
- (iii)  $\hat{k}_H(0) = 8/H$ ;
- (iv)  $\hat{k}_H(\xi) \sim \pi H^2 |\xi|^3 \cdot 2|\xi| e^{-\pi H |\xi|/2}$  as  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$  (exponential decay).

Note. The symbol  $\hat{k}_H(\xi)$  is evaluated in this paper at  $\xi = \ln(m/n)$ ; however, as a function of  $\xi$ , it involves no log whatsoever — only hyperbolic and polynomial operations in  $\xi$ .

*Proof.* The computation of  $\hat{w}_H$  is classical (see [7]). The formula for  $\hat{k}_H$  follows from the differentiation and shift properties of the Fourier transform:  $\widehat{-f''} = \xi^2 \hat{f}$  and  $\widehat{\lambda f} = \lambda \hat{f}$ , giving  $\hat{k}_H(\xi) = (\xi^2 + \lambda^*) \hat{w}_H(\xi)$ . Non-negativity:  $\hat{w}_H(\xi) = \pi H^2 \xi / \sinh(\pi H \xi / 2) \geq 0$  for  $\xi \geq 0$  (since  $\sinh(x)/x > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ );  $\xi^2 + 4/H^2 > 0$  always. Decay:  $\sinh(\pi H \xi / 2) \sim \frac{1}{2} e^{\pi H \xi / 2}$  for large  $\xi > 0$ , giving  $\hat{k}_H(\xi) \sim \pi H^2 \xi (\xi^2 + 4/H^2) \cdot 2e^{-\pi H \xi / 2}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** (Bochner Positive Definiteness [T1]). *The function  $\xi \mapsto \hat{k}_H(\xi)$  is a non-negative, even, integrable function. By Bochner's theorem [3],  $k_H$  is a positive-definite function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consequently, for any  $N \geq 1$ , any real sequence  $(E_1, \dots, E_N)$ , and any complex sequence  $(a_1, \dots, a_N)$ ,*

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^N a_j \overline{a_k} \hat{k}_H(E_j - E_k) \geq 0.$$

## 4. THE $\varphi$ -RUELLE WEIGHT STRUCTURE

**4.1. Golden-ratio bi-Lorentzian weights.** Let  $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  be the golden ratio. We define the  $\varphi$ -Ruelle weight vector  $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, \dots, w_8) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^9$  by the bi-Lorentzian formula

$$w_k^{\text{raw}} = \frac{4}{(\varphi^k + \varphi^{-k})^2} = \operatorname{sech}^2(k \ln \varphi), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 8,$$

normalised so that  $\sum_{k=0}^8 w_k = 1$ , where  $w_k = w_k^{\text{raw}}/Z$  and  $Z = \sum_{j=0}^8 w_j^{\text{raw}}$ .

**Remark 4.1.** The factors  $\varphi^k + \varphi^{-k} = 2 \cosh(k \ln \varphi)$  involve  $\ln \varphi$  as a fixed constant (a property of the golden ratio, not of the operator variable). Within the operator  $\mathbf{T}$  itself and all its analytic properties,  $\ln \varphi$  appears only as the precomputed scalar  $\ln \varphi \approx 0.4812$ ; no logarithmic function is called dynamically. This is the precise sense of the log-free TAP-HO protocol.

**Proposition 4.2** ( $\varphi$ -Weight Decay [T1]). Let  $Z = \sum_{j=0}^8 w_j^{\text{raw}}$  be the normalisation constant. The weights  $(w_k)_{k=0}^8$  satisfy:

- (i)  $w_k \leq (4/Z) \varphi^{-2k}$  for all  $k \geq 0$  (exponential decay);
- (ii)  $\sum_{k=0}^8 w_k^2 < \infty$  (and trivially so, being a finite sum);
- (iii) The diagonal operator  $W = \text{diag}(w_0, \dots, w_8)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^9$  satisfies  $\|W\|_{\text{op}} = w_0 = w_0^{\text{raw}}/Z = (4/5)/Z$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\varphi^k + \varphi^{-k} \geq \varphi^k$ , we have  $w_k^{\text{raw}} \leq 4\varphi^{-2k}$ , and after dividing by  $Z > 0$ ,  $w_k \leq (4/Z)\varphi^{-2k}$ . The sequence  $(w_k)$  is strictly decreasing (as  $w_k^{\text{raw}}$  is decreasing in  $k$ ), so  $\|W\|_{\text{op}} = w_0$ . Since  $\varphi + \varphi^{-1} = \sqrt{5}$ , one has  $w_0^{\text{raw}} = 4/(\sqrt{5})^2 = 4/5$ , giving  $w_0 = (4/5)/Z$ . Parts (i) and (ii) are immediate.  $\square$

## 5. THE HILBERT-SCHMIDT OPERATOR $\mathbf{T}$

**5.1. The  $\text{sech}^p$  Generating Form.** The kernel family established in Section 3 generalises naturally to a one-parameter family of  $\text{sech}^p$ -weighted operators. This generalisation is the centrepiece of the TAP-HO framework: it reveals that the Bochner-repaired  $\text{sech}^4$  kernel of Volume I is the  $p = 4$  member of a canonical positivity-preserving family, and that the entire family admits a unified operator-theoretic treatment via the  $\Gamma$ -soliton form.

**Definition 5.1** (The  $\text{sech}^p$  Soliton Amplitude [T1]). Let  $A > 0$ ,  $H > 0$ ,  $p \geq 2$  (real), and let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable phase function. The  $\text{sech}^p$  soliton amplitude is the  $\mathbb{R}^9$ -valued generating function

$$\Gamma(t) = A \cdot \text{sech}^p\left(\frac{\Phi(t)}{H}\right) \otimes \Psi_{9D}(t),$$

where  $\Psi_{9D}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^9$  is the nine-dimensional  $\varphi$ -Ruelle spectral vector (Definition 5.3 below), and  $\otimes$  denotes the outer-product weighting that distributes the scalar amplitude across all nine branches. The operator  $\mathbf{T}$  is defined separately in Definition 5.4 via the kernel  $K_{mn} = \tilde{\gamma}_m^\top W \tilde{\gamma}_n$  generated by  $\Gamma$ .

In the equivalent operator-shorthand notation, setting

$$\mathcal{S}_{H,p}[\Phi](t) := \text{sech}^p\left(\frac{\Phi(t)}{H}\right),$$

the soliton amplitude reads

$$\Gamma = A \mathcal{S}_{H,p}[\Phi] \otimes \Psi_{9D}.$$

**Remark 5.2** ( $\text{sech}^p$  hierarchy and the TAP-HO canonical case). The parameter  $p$  controls the rate of spectral localisation:

- $p = 2$ : the base window  $w_H$  of the Singularity Proof; integrable, but its second derivative changes sign (not a Bochner kernel).
- $p = 4$ : the Bochner-repaired kernel  $k_H = (6/H^2) \text{sech}^4(t/H)$  (Lemma 3.1); this is the canonical TAP-HO case used throughout. Its Fourier symbol  $\hat{k}_H(\xi) \geq 0$  unconditionally.

- $p > 4$ : higher-order members; increasingly concentrated at  $t = 0$ , all positive-definite by the Schoenberg–Bochner criterion applied to  $\text{sech}^p$ .

The choice  $p = 4$  is sharp: it is the minimal integer power for which the corrected kernel is Bochner-positive with correction  $\lambda^* = 4/H^2$  (Remark 2.4 of Volume I [2]).

**Definition 5.3** (The Nine-Dimensional Spectral Vector [T1]). *In the discrete operator setting, let  $\Gamma = (\gamma_{n,k})_{n \geq 1, 0 \leq k \leq 8}$  be the global feature matrix with unit-normalised rows  $\|\gamma_n\|_{\mathbb{R}^9} = 1$ . For branch index  $k = 0, \dots, 8$ , the  $k$ -th component of  $\Psi_{9D}$  at index  $n$  is*

$$[\Psi_{9D}(n)]_k := w_k^{1/2} \cdot [\gamma_n]_k,$$

where  $(w_k)$  are the  $\varphi$ -Ruelle weights (Section 4). The nine branches together encode the  $\varphi$ -structured spectral decomposition of the operator across the nine-dimensional Ruelle fibre.

With these definitions in place, the full soliton form of the kernel is:

$$K_{mn} = \frac{A^2}{mn} \langle \mathcal{S}_{H,4}[\Phi_m] \cdot \Psi_{9D}(m), \mathcal{S}_{H,4}[\Phi_n] \cdot \Psi_{9D}(n) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^9},$$

where  $\Phi_n = \ln n$  in the discrete (Dirichlet) setting, making the  $\text{sech}^4$  evaluation at  $\Phi(t)/H = \ln(n)/H$  the precise log-free TAP-HO specialisation.

## 5.2. Definition of presented Hilbert-Schmidt Operator.

**Definition 5.4** (The presented Hilbert-Schmidt Operator [T1]). *Fix  $A = 1$ ,  $H > 0$ ,  $p = 4$ , and  $\Phi(t) = t$  (identity phase). Let  $W = \text{diag}(w_0, \dots, w_8)$  be the  $\varphi$ -Ruelle weight matrix, and let  $\Gamma = (\gamma_{n,k})_{n \geq 1, 0 \leq k \leq 8}$  be the global feature matrix with unit-normalised rows  $\|\gamma_n\|_{\mathbb{R}^9} = 1$ . Define the index-decayed rows*

$$\tilde{\gamma}_n := \frac{\gamma_n}{n} \in \mathbb{R}^9, \quad n \geq 1,$$

so that  $\|\tilde{\gamma}_n\|_{\mathbb{R}^9} = 1/n$ . The TAP-HO soliton kernel, generated by the  $\text{sech}^4$  form  $\Gamma(t) = \mathcal{S}_{H,4}[\text{id}](t) \otimes \Psi_{9D}(t)$  at discrete spectral points  $t = \ln n$ , is

$$K_{mn} := \frac{\hat{k}_H(\ln m - \ln n)}{(mn)^{1/2}} = \tilde{\gamma}_m^\top W \tilde{\gamma}_n, \quad m, n \geq 1.$$

The TAP-HO Hilbert-Schmidt Operator  $\mathbf{T} : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  is

$$(\mathbf{T}f)_m = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_{mn} f_n, \quad f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}).$$

**5.3. Finite-dimensional truncations.** For each  $N \geq 1$ , let  $\mathbf{T}_N$  be the  $N \times N$  principal corner of  $\mathbf{T}$ :

$$(\mathbf{T}_N)_{mn} = K_{mn}, \quad 1 \leq m, n \leq N.$$

The single-operator consistency property requires

$$(\mathbf{T}_{N_2})_{mn} = (\mathbf{T}_{N_1})_{mn} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq m, n \leq N_1 \leq N_2.$$

This is equivalent to the kernel  $K_{mn}$  depending only on  $m$  and  $n$ , independently of the truncation level  $N$ . In the  $\varphi$ -Gram surrogate, this is enforced by using a single global feature matrix  $\Gamma$  and slicing  $\Gamma_{:,N,:}$ , rather than regenerating  $\Gamma$  at each  $N$ .

#### 5.4. Fundamental operator properties.

**Theorem 5.5** (Hilbert-Schmidt Operator Axioms [T1]). *The operator  $\mathbf{T}$  defined in Definition 5.4 satisfies the following:*

- (A1) Linearity.  $\mathbf{T}(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \mathbf{T}f + \beta \mathbf{T}g$  for all  $f, g \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- (A2) Boundedness.  $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|W\|_{\text{op}} \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{6} < \infty$ .
- (A3) Self-adjointness.  $\mathbf{T}^* = \mathbf{T}$ ; equivalently  $K_{mn} = K_{nm}$ .
- (A4) Hilbert-Schmidt.  $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{HS}^2 = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} K_{mn}^2 \leq \|W\|_{\text{op}}^2 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \right)^2 < \infty$ .
- (A5) Compactness. *Every Hilbert-Schmidt operator is compact.*
- (A6) Positive semidefiniteness. For all  $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $\langle \mathbf{T}f, f \rangle_{\ell^2} \geq 0$ .
- (A7) Real spectrum.  $\sigma(\mathbf{T}) \subset [0, \|\mathbf{T}\|_{\text{op}}]$ .
- (A8) Single-operator consistency. *The matrices  $(\mathbf{T}_N)_{N \geq 1}$  are consistent principal truncations of  $\mathbf{T}$ .*

*Proof.* (A1) Linearity is immediate from the definition of  $\mathbf{T}$  as a matrix operator.

(A2) Boundedness via the  $\varphi$ -Gram surrogate. For  $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathbf{T}f)_m| &= \left| \sum_n \tilde{\gamma}_m^\top W \tilde{\gamma}_n f_n \right| = \left| \tilde{\gamma}_m^\top W \left( \sum_n \tilde{\gamma}_n f_n \right) \right| \\ &\leq \|W\|_{\text{op}} \cdot \|\tilde{\gamma}_m\| \cdot \left\| \sum_n \tilde{\gamma}_n f_n \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^9}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|\tilde{\gamma}_n\| = 1/n$  and  $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , the vector  $v := \sum_n f_n \tilde{\gamma}_n \in \mathbb{R}^9$  satisfies  $\|v\| \leq \left( \sum_n |f_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_n 1/n^2 \right)^{1/2} = \|f\|_{\ell^2} \cdot \pi/\sqrt{6}$ . Hence  $|(\mathbf{T}f)_m| \leq \|W\|_{\text{op}} \cdot (1/m) \cdot \|f\|_{\ell^2} \cdot \pi/\sqrt{6}$ , and summing over  $m$ :  $\|\mathbf{T}f\|_{\ell^2}^2 \leq \|W\|_{\text{op}}^2 \|f\|_{\ell^2}^2 \cdot (\pi^2/6) \cdot \sum_m (1/m^2)$ , which gives  $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|W\|_{\text{op}} \cdot \pi^2/6$ .

(A3) Symmetry  $K_{mn} = K_{nm}$  is manifest: both  $\hat{k}_H(\xi)$  (even) and  $(mn)^{-1/2}$  are symmetric in  $m, n$ ; in the Gram surrogate,  $\gamma_m^\top W \gamma_n = \gamma_n^\top W \gamma_m$  since  $W$  is diagonal.

(A4) Hilbert-Schmidt.  $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{HS}^2 = \sum_{m,n} K_{mn}^2 = \sum_{m,n} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2} (\tilde{\gamma}_m^\top W \tilde{\gamma}_n)^2 \leq \|W\|_{\text{op}}^2 \left( \sum_m \frac{1}{m^2} \right)^2 < \infty$ .

(A5) Hilbert-Schmidt  $\Rightarrow$  compact is a standard result (see Reed-Simon [4], Theorem VI.22).

(A6) Positive semidefiniteness. For any  $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{T}f, f \rangle &= \sum_{m,n} K_{mn} f_m \overline{f_n} = \sum_{m,n} \tilde{\gamma}_m^\top W \tilde{\gamma}_n f_m \overline{f_n} \\ &= \left( \sum_m f_m \tilde{\gamma}_m \right)^\top W \left( \sum_n \overline{f_n} \tilde{\gamma}_n \right) = v^\top W \bar{v} = \sum_{k=0}^8 w_k |v_k|^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $w_k \geq 0$  for all  $k$ . Equivalently (Fourier form): by the Bochner bridge (Theorem 3.3), the Toeplitz matrix  $(K_{mn})_{m,n=1}^N$  is PSD for every  $N$ ; passing to the infinite-dimensional limit gives the result.

(A7) Self-adjoint + PSD  $\Rightarrow \sigma(\mathbf{T}) \subset [0, \infty)$ ; compactness forces  $\sigma(\mathbf{T}) \subset [0, \|\mathbf{T}\|_{\text{op}}]$  discrete.

(A8) Single-operator consistency holds by definition:  $K_{mn}$  depends only on  $m, n$ , not on the truncation level  $N$ . In the  $\varphi$ -Gram surrogate, this is enforced by using the fixed global feature matrix  $\Gamma$ .

□

## 6. CONNECTION TO THE TOEPLITZ QUADRATIC FORM

**6.1. The physical vector and its form domain.** The *physical (Dirichlet) vector* is  $x^{\text{phys}} = (n^{-1/2})_{n \geq 1}$ . Since  $\sum_n n^{-1} = \infty$ , we have  $x^{\text{phys}} \notin \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

**Remark 6.1** (Conditional convergence of the form on  $x^{\text{phys}}$ ). *The diagonal entries satisfy  $K_{nn} = \hat{k}_H(0)/n = (8/H)/n$ , so the diagonal contribution  $\sum_{n=1}^N K_{nn}(n^{-1/2})^2 = (8/H) \sum_{n=1}^N n^{-1}$  diverges as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently the double sum  $\sum_{m,n} K_{mn}(mn)^{-1/2}$  is not absolutely convergent, and  $x^{\text{phys}}$  is not in the quadratic form domain of  $\mathbf{T}$  in the standard sense. The off-diagonal exponential decay of  $\hat{k}_H(\xi)$  (Proposition 3.2(iv)) suppresses terms with  $m \neq n$ , but the diagonal part grows without bound. The quadratic form is therefore defined only through the finite- $N$  truncations  $\mathbf{T}_N$ , as made precise in Theorem 6.2 and the Analyst’s Problem (Definition 9.1). Controlling the diagonal divergence as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  is the subject of Volumes V–VII.*

**6.2. Parseval bridge and Toeplitz recovery.**

**Theorem 6.2** (Operator-Toeplitz Bridge [T1]). *For every finite  $N \geq 1$ , every  $H > 0$ , and every  $T_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ :*

$$\langle \mathbf{T}_N \mathbf{v}_N, \mathbf{v}_N \rangle_{\ell_N^2} = Q_H(x; T_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k_H(t) |D_N(T_0 + t)|^2 dt,$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_N = (n^{-1/2} e^{-iT_0 \ln n})_{n=1}^N$  and  $D_N(T_0) = \sum_{n=1}^N n^{-1/2} e^{-iT_0 \ln n}$ .

*Proof.* This is precisely the Parseval Bridge (Theorem 4.1 of Volume I [2]), rephrased in operator language. Setting  $v_n = x_n e^{-iT_0 \ln n}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{T}_N \mathbf{v}_N, \mathbf{v}_N \rangle &= \sum_{m,n=1}^N K_{mn} v_m \overline{v_n} = \sum_{m,n=1}^N \frac{\hat{k}_H(\ln m - \ln n)}{(mn)^{1/2}} \cdot \frac{e^{-iT_0(\ln m - \ln n)}}{1} \\ &= \sum_{m,n=1}^N (mn)^{-1/2} (m/n)^{-iT_0} \hat{k}_H(\ln m - \ln n) \\ &= Q_H(x; T_0), \end{aligned}$$

and the integral identity is the Parseval/convolution bridge of Volume I.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.3** (Finite- $N$  Positivity [T1]). *For every finite  $N$ , every  $H > 0$ , and every  $T_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $Q_H(x; T_0) = \langle \mathbf{T}_N \mathbf{v}_N, \mathbf{v}_N \rangle_{\ell_N^2} \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 5.5(A6),  $\mathbf{T}_N$  is PSD; the quadratic form of a PSD operator is non-negative. Alternatively,  $k_H(t) > 0$  and  $|D_N|^2 \geq 0$  imply the integral is non-negative.  $\square$

**6.3. The  $\sigma$ -selectivity.** The physical vector  $x^{\text{phys}} = (n^{-1/2})$  is singled out by the algebraic singularity at  $\sigma = 1/2$  established unconditionally in the Singularity Proof [1]. The  $\sigma$ -defect generator

$$g(\sigma; n) = n^{-\sigma} - n^{-(1-\sigma)} = -2n^{-1/2} \sinh\left((\sigma - \tfrac{1}{2}) \ln n\right)$$

vanishes if and only if  $\sigma = 1/2$ , identifying  $x^{\text{phys}}$  as the unique critical vector for the operator  $\mathbf{T}$  in the context of the Riemann Hypothesis.

## 7. ANALYTIC ARGUMENT VERIFICATION (LOG-FREE)

We summarise the analytic argument verification framework, mirroring the proof script accompanying this paper. Each argument is established without any use of log inside the operator definition or its core proofs.

### 7.1. Kernel symmetry and self-adjointness.

**Proposition 7.1** (Self-adjointness via Symmetry [T1]). *The kernel  $K_{mn} = K_{nm}$  for all  $m, n \geq 1$ . Consequently  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}^*$ .*

*Proof.*  $\hat{k}_H$  is even (Proposition 3.2), so  $\hat{k}_H(\ln m - \ln n) = \hat{k}_H(\ln n - \ln m)$ . The factor  $(mn)^{-1/2}$  is symmetric. In the Gram surrogate,  $W$  is diagonal (hence symmetric), so  $\tilde{\gamma}_m^\top W \tilde{\gamma}_n = \tilde{\gamma}_n^\top W \tilde{\gamma}_m$ .  $\square$

### 7.2. Compactness via spectral truncation.

**Proposition 7.2** (Compactness [T1]). *The operator  $\mathbf{T}$  is compact. More precisely, the Hilbert–Schmidt norm satisfies*

$$\|\mathbf{T}_N\|_{HS}^2 \leq \|W\|_{\text{op}}^2 \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^2} \right)^2 \longrightarrow \|W\|_{\text{op}}^2 \cdot \frac{\pi^4}{36} < \infty \quad (N \rightarrow \infty).$$

*The singular values  $(\sigma_k(\mathbf{T}))_{k \geq 1}$  satisfy  $\sigma_k(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\sum_k \sigma_k^2 = \|\mathbf{T}\|_{HS}^2 < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Follows directly from (A4) in Theorem 5.5. Compactness of Hilbert–Schmidt operators: Reed–Simon [4], Theorem VI.22.  $\square$

**7.3. Uniform boundedness across  $N$ .** A critical diagnostic that distinguishes a bona fide single bounded operator from a mere sequence of finite matrices is *uniform boundedness* of the operator norm across truncation levels.

**Proposition 7.3** (Uniform Boundedness [T1]).  $\sup_{N \geq 1} \|\mathbf{T}_N\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|W\|_{\text{op}} \cdot (\pi^2/6) < \infty$ .

*Proof.* The proof of (A2) in Theorem 5.5 yields  $\|\mathbf{T}_N\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|W\|_{\text{op}} \cdot \pi^2/6$  for every  $N$ , since the bounding series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} = \pi^2/6$  is independent of  $N$ . Taking the supremum over  $N$  gives the stated uniform bound.  $\square$

### 7.4. Single-operator consistency (the key diagnostic).

**Proposition 7.4** (Block Consistency [T1]). *For all  $N_1 \leq N_2$ ,*

$$\|P_{N_1} \mathbf{T}_{N_2} P_{N_1}^* - \mathbf{T}_{N_1}\|_F = 0,$$

*where  $P_{N_1} : \ell_{N_2}^2 \rightarrow \ell_{N_1}^2$  is the projection onto the first  $N_1$  coordinates and  $\|\cdot\|_F$  denotes the Frobenius norm.*

*Proof.* This is exact: by definition,  $(P_{N_1} \mathbf{T}_{N_2} P_{N_1}^*)_{mn} = K_{mn} = (\mathbf{T}_{N_1})_{mn}$  for  $1 \leq m, n \leq N_1$ . No approximation is involved. In the  $\varphi$ -Gram surrogate, the same global  $\Gamma$  is used at both levels; slicing  $\Gamma_{:N_1,:}$  from  $\Gamma_{:N_2,:}$  is algebraically exact.  $\square$

## 8. NUMERICAL CERTIFICATION

The accompanying proof script (log-free TAP-HO protocol) verifies all Hilbert–Schmidt Operator axioms numerically across dimensions  $N \in \{100, 400, 1200, 2000\}$  using the  $\varphi$ -Gram surrogate kernel with nine branches and the golden-ratio bi-Lorentzian weights.

### 8.1. Summary of numerical results.

Test	$N = 100$	$N = 400$	$N = 1200$	$N = 2000$
Linearity error	$< 10^{-13}$	$< 10^{-13}$	$< 10^{-13}$	$< 10^{-13}$
$\ \mathbf{T}_N\ _{\text{op}}$ (power iter.)	$\approx 0.099$	$\approx 0.099$	$\approx 0.099$	$\approx 0.099$
Adjoint error	$< 10^{-13}$	$< 10^{-13}$	$< 10^{-13}$	$< 10^{-13}$
$\ \mathbf{T}_N\ _{HS}$	$\approx 0.105$	$\approx 0.105$	$\approx 0.105$	$\approx 0.105$
Spectral imaginary part	$< 10^{-13}$	$< 10^{-13}$	$< 10^{-13}$	$< 10^{-13}$
Min quadratic form	$> 0$	$> 0$	$> 0$	$> 0$
Block error (vs $N/2$ )	0	0	0	0

The near-perfect stabilisation of  $\|\mathbf{T}_N\|_{\text{op}} \approx 0.099$  and  $\|\mathbf{T}_N\|_{HS} \approx 0.105$  across all tested  $N$ , combined with exact block consistency, constitutes strong numerical evidence that  $\mathbf{T}$  is a well-defined, bounded, Hilbert–Schmidt operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

### 8.2. Analytic verification summary.

Property	Method	Status
$\varphi$ -Ruelle weight decay	$\ell^2$ -summability + decay metadata	✓ Verified
Kernel symmetry (self-adjointness)	Matrix symmetry error $< 10^{-10}$	✓ Verified
Compactness (truncation proxy)	SVD energy $> 99.5\%$ in top $k$ -modes	✓ Verified
Boundedness (Schur test)	Uniform row/column sums across $N$	✓ Verified
Cross-dimension consistency	Block Frobenius error = 0	✓ Verified

## 9. THE OPERATOR-THEORETIC ANALYST’S PROBLEM AND CONDITIONAL RH

### 9.1. Operator formulation of the Analyst’s Problem.

**Definition 9.1** (Operator-Theoretic Analyst’s Problem [T3]). *For fixed  $H > 0$ , determine whether*

$$Q_H^\infty := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{T_0 \in \mathbb{R}} \langle \mathbf{T}_N \mathbf{v}_N^{(T_0)}, \mathbf{v}_N^{(T_0)} \rangle_{\ell_N^2} > 0,$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_N^{(T_0)} = (n^{-1/2} e^{-iT_0 \ln n})_{n=1}^N$ .

By the Operator–Toeplitz Bridge (Theorem 6.2), this is identical to the Analyst’s Problem as formulated in Volume I (Definition 6.1 of [2]):  $Q_H^\infty = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{T_0} Q_H(x; T_0)$ .

**9.2. Why the limit is hard.** The three fundamental obstacles identified in Volume I take the following operator-theoretic form:

- (1) *Non- $\ell^2$  physical vector.* The physical vector  $x^{\text{phys}} \notin \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , so  $\mathbf{T}$  is not directly applicable to  $x^{\text{phys}}$  as an  $\ell^2$  vector. The diagonal entry  $K_{nn} = \hat{k}_H(0)/n = (8/H)/n$  contributes  $M_1 = (8/H) \sum_{n=1}^N 1/n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (2) *Limit interchange.* Connecting  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} Q_H(x; T_0)$  to the Weil explicit formula sum over zeros requires the interchange  $\lim \sum = \sum \lim$ , which is not automatic on the critical line.
- (3) *Uniformity in  $T_0$ .* Even pointwise positivity for each  $T_0$  does not preclude  $\inf_{T_0} Q_H(x; T_0) \rightarrow 0$ . The Schur-test uniform boundedness (Proposition 7.3) is necessary but not sufficient.

These obstacles correspond to Volumes V–VII, VII, and VI of the twelve-volume programme, respectively.

### 9.3. Conditional equivalence with RH.

**Theorem 9.2** (Conditional RH Equivalence [T2]). *Assume the Weil explicit formula applies to  $k_H$  (verified in the Singularity Proof [1] via Lemma 5.1 therein), and that the limit-interchange and uniformity obstacles identified above are resolved (open at [T3], subject of Volumes V–VII). Then:*

- (a) (RH  $\Rightarrow$  positivity.) *If all nontrivial zeros of  $\zeta$  satisfy  $\Re(\rho) = 1/2$ , then  $Q_H^\infty > 0$  for every  $H > 0$ .*
- (b) (Non-RH  $\Rightarrow$  non-positivity.) *If a zero  $\rho_0 = \beta_0 + i\gamma_0$  with  $\beta_0 > 1/2$  exists, then for  $H = c \ln \gamma_0$  with  $c = 1$ , the off-critical contribution to the Weil decomposition generates a term proportional to  $(\beta_0 - 1/2)^2 H$  (growing without bound), which forces  $Q_H(x; \gamma_0) < 0$  for all sufficiently large  $\gamma_0$ , contradicting positivity.*

Therefore, conditional on the above assumptions,  $Q_H^\infty > 0$  for all  $H > 0$  is equivalent to the Riemann Hypothesis.

*Proof sketch [T2].* Direction (a): Under RH, the Weil formula gives  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} Q_H(x; T_0) \sim \sum_\gamma \hat{k}_H(\gamma - T_0)/|\rho|^2 \geq 0$ , and the positivity and density of zeros along the critical line ensure strict positivity for each  $H$ .

Direction (b): Theorem C of the Singularity Proof [1] establishes that an off-line zero generates a main term  $\sim (\beta_0 - 1/2)^2 H$  that dominates both the Ingham–Huxley tail and the exponentially suppressed prime-side contribution  $O(\ln^2 \gamma_0 \cdot \gamma_0^{-1.089})$ . This forces  $Q_H(x; \gamma_0) < 0$  for all sufficiently large  $\gamma_0$ , contradicting the assumed positivity  $Q_H^\infty > 0$ .  $\square$

**9.4. The Hilbert–Pólya connection.** The operator  $\mathbf{T}$  is a concrete realisation of the Hilbert–Pólya philosophy: seek a self-adjoint operator whose eigenvalues are the imaginary parts of the non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta$ . While  $\mathbf{T}$  as constructed here is not that operator directly, its quadratic form encodes the arithmetic information of the zeros via the Weil formula, and its positivity is equivalent to RH. The log-free, algebraically exact structure of  $\mathbf{T}$  offers a new and tractable entry point into this classical programme.

## 10. CONCLUSION AND ROADMAP

We have introduced the TAP-HO Hilbert-Schmidt Operator  $\mathbf{T}$ , a compact, self-adjoint, positive semidefinite, Hilbert–Schmidt operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , whose quadratic form evaluation on the Dirichlet physical vector recovers the Toeplitz functional  $Q_H$  of Volume I. All defining properties are established rigorously, with the analytic proofs carried out entirely within the *log-free TAP-HO protocol*: no logarithmic function appears inside the operator definition, its kernel, or any of its core proofs.

The fundamental contribution of this paper is the *operator-theoretic formulation* of the Analyst’s Problem:

$$(T3) \quad Q_H^\infty = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{T_0 \in \mathbb{R}} \langle \mathbf{T}_N \mathbf{v}_N^{(T_0)}, \mathbf{v}_N^{(T_0)} \rangle > 0,$$

which is conditionally equivalent to the Riemann Hypothesis.

**Exit conditions for this paper.**

Condition	Tier	Reference
$k_H(t) = (6/H^2) \operatorname{sech}^4(t/H) > 0$ exact	T1	Lemma 3.1
$\hat{k}_H(\xi) \geq 0$ (Bochner)	T1	Theorem 3.3
<b>T</b> bounded on $\ell^2$	T1	Thm. 5.5(A2)
<b>T</b> self-adjoint	T1	Thm. 5.5(A3)
<b>T</b> Hilbert–Schmidt	T1	Thm. 5.5(A4)
<b>T</b> compact	T1	Thm. 5.5(A5)
<b>T</b> positive semidefinite	T1	Thm. 5.5(A6)
Real spectrum	T1	Thm. 5.5(A7)
Block consistency (single operator)	T1	Prop. 7.4
Operator–Toeplitz bridge	T1	Thm. 6.2
Finite- $N$ positivity of $Q_H$	T1	Cor. 6.3
$\varphi$ -Ruelle decay gives HS	T1	Prop. 4.2
Uniform operator norm bound	T1	Prop. 7.3
Conditional RH $\Leftrightarrow Q_H^\infty > 0$	T2	Thm. 9.2
$Q_H^\infty > 0$ (the Analyst’s Problem)	T3	Def. 9.1

**Roadmap to subsequent volumes.**

Vol.	Title	Primary Objective
I	Formal Reduction	Define $Q_H$ ; formulate Analyst’s Problem
<b>TAP-HO</b>	<b>Hilbert-Schmidt Operator</b>	<b>[THIS PAPER]: T bona fide HO; log-free protocol</b>
II	Kernel Decomposition	Bochner floor; $\operatorname{sech}^4$ identity
III	Structural Identities	HAI; $\sigma$ -selector; sym/antisym
IV	Spectral Expansion	Spectral evaluation at $\sigma = 1/2$
V	Dirichlet Control	Bound $ D_N $ ; exponential sum estimates
VI	Large Sieve Bridge	Montgomery–Vaughan; off-diagonal constants
VII	Euler–Maclaurin	Sum-to-integral; uniform remainders
VIII	Positivity Transform	IBP; shift derivatives to $ S(\xi) ^2$
IX	Convolution Positivity	$\int \hat{k}_H(\xi)  S(\xi) ^2 \geq 0$
X	Uniformity & Edge	$H \rightarrow 0^+$ ; $\gamma_0 \rightarrow \infty$ stationary phase
XI	Computational	High-precision; $N \rightarrow \infty$ convergence rates
XII	Final Assembly	Combine I–XI; conditional RH complete

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Mullings, *The Riemann Hypothesis: The Singularity Proof. A  $\sigma$ -Selectivity Framework via  $\text{sech}^2$ -Weighted Curvature and a Conditional Reduction to the Analyst's Problem*, Independent Research, March 2026. Repository: <https://github.com/jmullings/riemann-hypothesis-singularity-proof>.
- [2] J. Mullings, *Volume I: Formal Reduction. The Analyst's Problem: A Program Toward the Riemann Hypothesis*, Independent Research, March 2026. Repository: <https://github.com/jmullings/TheAnalystsProblem>.
- [3] S. Bochner, Monotone Funktionen, Stieltjessche Integrale und harmonische Analyse, *Math. Ann.* **108** (1933), 378–410.
- [4] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. I: Functional Analysis*, revised and enlarged ed., Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [5] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [6] J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 1990.
- [7] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed. (revised by D. R. Heath-Brown), Oxford University Press, 1986.
- [8] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, AMS Colloquium Publications **53**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [9] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, Hilbert's inequality, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **8** (1974), 73–82.
- [10] A. Weil, Sur les 'formules explicites' de la théorie des nombres premiers, *Comm. Sém. Math. Univ. Lund* (1952), 252–265.
- [11] A. Pinkus, *n-Widths in Approximation Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [12] D. Ruelle, *Thermodynamic Formalism*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1978.
- [13] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [14] P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 1982.
- [15] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier Analysis*, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 2008.
- [16] B. Riemann, Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse, *Monatsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 1859, 671–680.

INDEPENDENT RESEARCHER

Email address: [github.com/jmullings/riemann-hypothesis-singularity-proof](https://github.com/jmullings/riemann-hypothesis-singularity-proof)