





Navigating Economic Resilience and Transformation: Challenges and Opportunities for Indonesia's Palm Oil Industry from Upstream to Downstream in 2026

Loso Judijanto  
IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Indonesia's palm oil industry confronts a pivotal juncture in 2026 as macroeconomic recovery momentum converges with transformative policy shifts, sustainability imperatives, and downstream industrialization ambitions. This qualitative literature review synthesizes Indonesia's 2026 economic outlook with a sectoral analysis of the palm oil value chain, spanning upstream production through downstream derivatives. The study examines how the industry—contributing 3.5%-17% to GDP, generating \$20.2 billion in export revenue, and employing 16.2-20 million people—must navigate the complex "food-fuel-export" trilemma posed by the B50 biodiesel mandate while addressing yield gaps, land governance uncertainties, and EU Deforestation Regulation (EUDR) compliance pressures. Analysis reveals that downstream industrialization, supported by IDR 272.91 trillion in investment, offers strategic pathways to transcend policy trade-offs by increasing oleochemical value by up to 1000%. Sustainability certification via Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO) expansion presents competitive opportunities rather than merely compliance burdens, with evidence demonstrating 15-20% income premiums for certified smallholders and 40% deforestation reduction in certified areas. The research concludes that Indonesia's palm oil sector can serve as an economic "ceiling-raiser" by transitioning from volume-based raw-material exports to value-based derivative production with integrated sustainability, requiring coordinated policy interventions in adaptive B50 implementation, Special Economic Zone establishment, accelerated smallholder certification, and strategic trade positioning. Policy recommendations emphasize a phased implementation of the mandate, technology transfer facilitation, and blended finance mechanisms to ensure equitable inclusion of 2.7 million smallholder farmers and to maximize sectoral contributions to national economic development through 2030.

Keywords: *palm oil industry, economic outlook Indonesia, downstream industrialization, biodiesel mandate, sustainability certification, value chain development, smallholder inclusion, oleochemicals, EUDR compliance, export competitiveness.*

JEL Classification codes: *Q13, Q16, Q18, Q23, Q56, L66, O13.*

Suggested citation: Judijanto, L. (2026). Navigating Economic Resilience and Transformation: Challenges and Opportunities for Indonesia's Palm Oil Industry from Upstream to Downstream in 2026. *European Journal of Management, Economics and Business*, 3(2), 28-48. DOI: 10.59324/ejmeb.2026.3(2).03



Introduction

Indonesia's Economic Trajectory and Palm Oil Sector Significance

Indonesia's economic landscape in 2026 is characterized by resilience and momentum toward recovery following a period of consolidation and structural adjustment. The Danantara Economic Outlook 2026 identifies a fundamental shift from shock absorption to policy transmission as the defining macroeconomic theme, with the restoration of consumer confidence, manufacturing expansion, and a pro-growth fiscal stance creating favorable conditions for sectoral development. This recovery trajectory—manifested through four consecutive months of manufacturing expansion driven by robust domestic demand rather than merely export frontloading—provides the macroeconomic foundation on which the palm oil industry must build its strategic positioning (Danantara, 2026).

The palm oil sector holds an extraordinary economic significance within Indonesia's development framework. Direct cultivation and harvesting activities account for 2.5%-4.5% of national GDP, whereas total value-chain contributions—encompassing downstream processing, logistics, and ancillary services—reach 17% of GDP. Export performance demonstrates consistent strength, with \$20.2 billion in palm oil and derivative exports during January-October 2025, a 25.73% year-on-year increase, and contributing to a remarkable 66-month consecutive trade surplus streak. Employment generation ranges from 16.2 to 20 million people, comprising 4.2 to 8 million direct workers and 12 to 14.3 million indirect beneficiaries, positioning the sector as a critical lever for poverty alleviation and rural development (Mahatma, 2025).

This substantial economic footprint operates within an increasingly complex global context. Emerging market resilience amid slowing major economies, potential weak-dollar regime dynamics favoring commodity exporters, and Indonesia's strategic position in China's economic "splash zone" create opportunities to attract foreign direct investment and expand export markets. However, these opportunities coexist with formidable challenges, including production stagnation at below 1% annual growth since 2019, land governance uncertainties affecting 1.5-6.5 million hectares, and sustainability compliance pressures from the EU Deforestation Regulation (EUDR) implementation deadline of December 30, 2026 (Jakarta Globe, 2025).

Research Objectives and Analytical Framework

This qualitative literature review addresses a critical knowledge gap at the intersection of macroeconomic outlook analysis and sectoral value chain dynamics. Existing research has examined palm oil economics, the impacts of sustainability certification, and downstream industrialization separately, but the comprehensive integration of these dimensions within the specific context of Indonesia's 2026 economic trajectory remains limited. The study pursues four interconnected objectives: (1) examining macroeconomic drivers and constraints affecting palm oil sector performance in 2026; (2) assessing the industry's role in achieving national economic growth targets and fiscal sustainability; (3) identifying strategic pathways for navigating policy dilemmas surrounding the B50 biodiesel mandate, land governance transitions, and EUDR compliance; and (4) proposing evidence-based recommendations for maximizing sectoral contributions to sustainable economic development.

The analytical framework employs value chain analysis spanning upstream plantation operations, midstream processing, and downstream derivative production, integrated with SWOT-inspired thematic organization of challenges and opportunities. This approach enables a systematic assessment of economic contributions, productivity constraints, and value-added potential at each stage of the value chain while maintaining coherence with broader macroeconomic dynamics. The



synthesis draws on peer-reviewed academic literature indexed in Scopus (2020-2026), official economic outlook reports, government policy documents, and industry association data to ensure empirical grounding and policy relevance.

Literature Review

Macroeconomic Context and Sectoral Linkages

Indonesia's economic recovery in 2026 builds on the foundations established through the resilient navigation of the consolidation phase in 2025. The virtuous cycle of production generating employment and demand, which in turn fuels further production, has gained momentum, as evidenced by the manufacturing sector's expansion and the restoration of consumer confidence. Fiscal policy transitions from early-2025 budget reprioritization to accelerated disbursement focus, with flagship programs such as the Free Nutritious Meal initiative creating consistent demand-side stimulus. Monetary policy transmission from 125 basis points of rate cuts in 2025 supports credit growth, particularly in working capital loans, which directly benefit agricultural processing and input supply chains (Maulana & Azis, 2025).

The palm oil sector's integration within this macroeconomic framework operates through multiple transmission mechanisms. Agricultural commodity sectors generate substantial multiplier effects in rural economies, with empirical evidence from palm-producing regions demonstrating economic multiplier coefficients of 2.11—meaning every rupiah of palm income generates 2.11 rupiahs in total economic activity through backward and forward linkages. These multiplier effects manifest through infrastructure development, retail-sector expansion, and improvements in social services that accompany palm-sector growth. The sector's contribution to Indonesia's trade balance extends beyond direct export revenue to include indirect effects on exchange rate stability, import capacity, and the capacity to service foreign debt (Syahza et al., 2023).

Comparative Advantage and Export Competitiveness

Indonesia's dominance in the global palm oil trade is quantitatively confirmed through Revealed Comparative Advantage (RCA) analysis. It has been documented that RCA indices exceeding 4 have been observed for major importing countries, including the United States, China, India, the Netherlands, and Spain, with crude palm oil (CPO) RCA surpassing 40, the highest level among Indonesian export commodities (Pratiwi, 2021). However, temporal trend analysis reveals a concerning downward trajectory in Indonesia's CPO RCA, in contrast to Malaysia's upward trend, suggesting potential erosion of comparative advantage absent strategic intervention. This divergence occurs despite Indonesia's threefold advantage in plantation area (16 million hectares vs. Malaysia's 5.6 million hectares), indicating that scale alone is insufficient for sustained competitiveness (Pratiwi, 2021).

A gravity model analysis has identified key determinants of Indonesian palm oil export performance: economic distance, real GDP per capita of partner countries, Free Trade Agreements (FTAs), non-tariff measures (NTMs), and real exchange rate dynamics. Notably, NTMs paradoxically increase Indonesian exports by 1.37%, as Indonesia's position as a dominant global producer enables it to overcome barriers that exclude smaller competitors, whereas FTA participation increases export value by 1.09%. These findings underscore the importance of trade diplomacy and regulatory navigation for maintaining market access (Pratiwi, 2021).

The competitiveness landscape between Indonesia and Malaysia reveals complementary strengths and strategic gaps. While Indonesia dominates in raw CPO production and in refined, bleached, and deodorized (RBD) palm olein, with near-parity RCA indices (38.48 vs. 38.27), Malaysia excels dramatically in downstream derivatives, producing more than 120 product types compared to



Indonesia's 32. This disparity results in substantial foregone value capture, with estimates suggesting that capturing half of Malaysia's market share in specific derivative categories (HS code 1516) could generate \$1 billion annually in additional foreign exchange for Indonesia (Arsyad et al., 2020).

Smallholder Economics and Productivity Constraints

Smallholder farmers constitute the foundation of Indonesia's palm oil production system, managing approximately 40% of the national oil palm area (6.94 million hectares) and involving 2.7 million farm families. However, this sector exhibits severe yield gaps that constrain national production potential. Systematic yield-gap analysis by Lee et al. (2016) demonstrates that smallholders achieve only 50% of the exploitable yield over the 20-year plantation lifespan, with an average production of 11 tons of fresh fruit bunches (FFB) per hectare, compared with 30+ tons per hectare for private estates. These yield gaps are most pronounced during years 10-15, precisely when plantations reach peak productive capacity (IPOA, 2025a).

Contributing factors to persistent yield gaps include insufficient fertilizer application not adjusted to palm age-related nutrient requirements, infrequent harvesting schedules that reduce FFB quality and quantity, high plant mortality from inadequate disease management, and the use of suboptimal planting materials. The productivity differential between supported contract smallholders and independent farmers suggests that institutional arrangements and access to technical assistance are critical determinants of performance. Independent smallholders, who contribute approximately one-third of regional production, face particular challenges in accessing certified palm oil mills and in receiving competitive prices due to quality variability and limited traceability (Euler et al., 2016).

The economic implications of yield gaps extend beyond farm-level income to national production capacity. It has been calculated indicating that increasing smallholder yields from 2-3 tons per hectare to 5-6 tons per hectare could generate 8 million tons of additional CPO annually, representing 16% of current national production (Ayompe et al., 2021; Rifin et al., 2020). This productivity-driven supply expansion would alleviate the "food-fuel-export" trilemma by creating headroom to simultaneously meet biodiesel mandates, domestic food consumption, and export markets without requiring additional land conversion (Husin et al., 2023).

Sustainability Certification and Market Access

The landscape of sustainability certification in Indonesian palm oil comprises two primary frameworks: the Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO) standard, which serves as mandatory national certification, and the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO), which offers voluntary international certification. Recent policy developments, including the March 2025 Presidential Regulation, expand ISPO certification requirements to encompass the entire value chain, including downstream processing facilities, creating unprecedented opportunities for comprehensive sustainability differentiation (IPOA, 2025a).

Empirical evidence on certification effectiveness presents a nuanced picture combining environmental benefits with economic viability concerns. It has been documented that there is a statistically significant 40% reduction in deforestation within RSPO-certified concessions in Kalimantan ($P < 0.001$), alongside lower fire incidence and greater retention of high-conservation-value forests (Santika, Wilson, Meijaard, et al., 2019). ISPO implementation studies similarly demonstrate positive environmental impacts, including reduced forest conversion and increased designation of conservation areas in compliance with certification principles (Hairiah et al., 2020). These environmental outcomes translate into market access benefits and reputational risk mitigation, which hold substantial value in an era of heightened supply chain due diligence requirements (Santika, Wilson, Budiharta, et al., 2019).



Economic returns to certification for smallholder farmers have been documented in multiple studies. It has been reported that 15-20% income premiums for certified smallholders arise from higher FFB prices paid by mills seeking certified supply (Hidayat et al., 2018). Beyond immediate price premiums, certification enables access to financial services and technical assistance programs, with certified cooperatives demonstrating superior ability to secure formal credit and insurance products. However, certification also imposes costs, including registration fees, training participation, documentation preparation, and periodic inspections, creating potential barriers, particularly for independent smallholders operating at small scales (Pasimura et al., 2022).

The imminent implementation of the European Union Deforestation Regulation (EUDR), with deadlines of December 30, 2026, for large operators and June 30, 2027, for micro and small enterprises, intensifies the urgency of certification. EUDR requirements for geolocation data, traceability verification, and proof of deforestation-free production present formidable challenges for smallholders with limited technical capacity, insecure land tenure documentation, and fragmented plot structures. Current certification penetration rates—38% RSPO-certified and 80% ISPO-certified among engaged independent smallholders—suggest substantial work remains to achieve comprehensive compliance. The risk of smallholder exclusion from EU supply chains due to disproportionate compliance barriers represents both an equity concern and an economic efficiency loss, given smallholders' 40% share of production (Eggen et al., 2024; Ekaputri et al., 2025).

Downstream Industrialization and Value Addition

Downstream industrialization is a strategic priority in Indonesia's national industrial policy framework, as articulated in the National Industrial Development Master Plan (RIPIN) 2015-2035 and Presidential Regulation 74/2022. The policy objective targets Indonesia's transformation from a commodity exporter to a global hub for palm oil derivative production and consumption by 2045, with interim goals of expanding product diversity from 168 types (2021) to 200 types by 2030 (Lestari et al., 2025).

Investment momentum in palm downstream sectors has accelerated. Data from the Investment Coordinating Board of Indonesia (BKPM) indicate IDR 272.91 trillion in downstream palm investment during January-September 2024, representing 21.6% of total national investment across all sectors. Quarter-on-quarter analysis reveals intensifying momentum, with Q3 2025 alone attracting IDR 21 trillion in fresh investments, up from IDR 16 trillion in the prior quarter. This investment surge positions Palm downstream as a leading destination for both domestic and foreign direct investment, with foreign participation increasingly significant as multinational chemical companies pursue "China+1" supply chain diversification strategies (Kasan, 2025).

The downstream sector encompasses three strategic subsectors with distinct value propositions. Oleo-food applications include specialty fats (cocoa butter substitutes, confectionery fats), phytonutrients (tocopherols, beta-carotene), and complex food ingredients derived from palm oil and its fractions. The oleochemicals subsector produces fatty acids, fatty alcohols, glycerol, methyl esters, and surfactants, with applications spanning personal care, pharmaceuticals, cleaning products, and industrial lubricants—offering value addition of up to 1000% relative to raw FFB. Bioenergy applications extend beyond biodiesel to encompass biogas from palm oil mill effluent (POME), biopower from palm biomass combustion, and emerging sustainable aviation fuel (SAF) derived from palm oil, as demonstrated by Indonesia's first commercial palm-based SAF flight in October 2023 (Lestari et al., 2025).

Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) methodology applied to downstream development strategies identifies six critical enabling factors prioritized by industry stakeholders and policymakers: (1) tax incentives for downstream investors ranked as highest priority; (2) Special Economic Zone (SEZ)



establishment with dedicated palm-based industrial clusters; (3) improved plantation productivity ensuring raw material supply stability; (4) FFB price stabilization mechanisms; (5) enhanced bank credit access for agroindustrial enterprises; and (6) technical assistance for upstream-downstream integration. These policy instruments collectively aim to address market failures and coordination challenges that have historically constrained Indonesia's downstream development relative to Malaysia's more mature derivatives sector (Lestari et al., 2025).

Materials and Methods

This study employs a qualitative literature review to synthesize Indonesia's 2026 economic outlook with a palm oil sectoral analysis spanning the entire value chain. The qualitative approach is justified by the research objectives' focus on exploratory analysis of emerging policy landscapes, the multidimensional economic-environmental nexus, and the identification of strategic pathways, rather than on hypothesis testing or causal inference, which require systematic review protocols.

Data Sources and Selection Criteria

The literature base encompasses four complementary source categories. Primary data sources include Indonesia's official economic projections (Danantara Economic Outlook 2026), government policy documents (Presidential Regulations and ministerial decrees), industry association publications (GAPKI, APROBI), and reports from international organizations (USDA FAS, World Bank). Academic literature selection prioritizes peer-reviewed journal articles published 2020-2026 in Scopus-indexed journals, focusing on palm oil economics, value chain analysis, sustainability certification impacts, biodiesel policy, and trade competitiveness. Methodological diversity is deliberately maintained, incorporating quantitative studies (RCA analysis, regression modeling, yield gap estimation) and qualitative research (policy analysis, case studies) to enable triangulation and a comprehensive perspective. Grey literature from market intelligence providers (S&P Global, Argus Media), research institutions (CIFOR, SEI, EFI), and certification bodies (RSPO, ISPO) supplements academic sources with current market data and implementation insights.

Analytical Framework and Synthesis Process

The analytical framework integrates value chain analysis with thematic organization of challenges and opportunities. Value chain mapping identifies economic contributions, productivity constraints, and value-addition potential at the upstream (plantation), midstream (processing), and downstream (derivatives) stages, while assessing integration mechanisms and bottleneck points. Thematic coding employs both predetermined deductive categories from research objectives (economic outlook alignment, policy navigation, competitiveness positioning) and inductive identification of emergent themes from the literature (land seizure impacts, certification economics, trilemma navigation). Multi-level economic impact assessment spans macro (GDP, trade balance, fiscal implications), meso (sectoral competitiveness, regional development), and micro (smallholder livelihoods, farm productivity) dimensions to ensure analytical comprehensiveness (Maksum et al., 2021).

Quality assurance mechanisms include cross-verification of quantitative data from multiple independent sources (production figures, export values, GDP contributions), comparison of findings across different methodological approaches (econometric studies, qualitative policy analyses, case studies), and explicit acknowledgment of data limitations, conflicting evidence, and areas of uncertainty. The synthesis process prioritizes authoritative sources, with peer-reviewed academic literature and official government statistics taking precedence over industry-funded reports where conflicts exist.



Results

Macroeconomic Foundation for Palm Oil Development in 2026

Indonesia's economic narrative for 2026 establishes a foundation of resilience and recovery momentum, with direct implications for the performance of the palm oil sector. The transition from consolidation in early 2025 to a robust rebound by Q4 2025 is evidenced by the restoration of consumer confidence, the expansion of the manufacturing sector for four consecutive months, and the establishment of a virtuous cycle in which production generates employment and demand, which, in turn, drives further production. Leading Economic Index (LEI) indicators predict strengthening growth momentum, with positive 6-month trajectories, while fiscal policy pivots from budget reprioritization to accelerated disbursement execution focused on flagship programs, including the Free Nutritious Meal initiative (Danantara, 2026).

Monetary policy transmission from 125 basis points of interest rate cuts in 2025 reaches the real economy through credit expansion, particularly in working capital loans, which directly benefit palm oil processing, input supply, and logistics operations. Investment loan demand remains robust despite broader economic fluctuations, with particularly strong growth in sectors such as mining, logistics, and healthcare—though it has yet to reflect a significant acceleration in agricultural processing investment. This monetary easing creates favorable conditions for palm sector participants to access credit for replanting aging plantations, upgrading processing facilities, and expanding downstream capacity (Firdaus, 2025; Petri et al., 2024).

The evolution of the global context presents both opportunities and persistent risks. Trade policy uncertainty has moderated following the 2025 tariff turbulence, with effective tariff rates remaining elevated but volatility subsiding, thereby supporting trade and investment planning. The potential emergence of a weak-dollar regime, historically favorable to Indonesian commodity exports, including palm oil, could materialize if Washington and Beijing pursue accommodative policies to counter slowing growth. Indonesia's positioning within China's economic "splash zone" creates opportunities for foreign direct investment attraction in palm downstream sectors, as Chinese overcapacity in some chemical industries drives outward investment seeking stable feedstock supply and market access (Halimatussadiah et al., 2025; Petri et al., 2024; Zainuddin et al., 2025).

Palm Oil Sector's Economic Contributions and Development Impact

The economic footprint of the palm oil sector extends across multiple dimensions of national development. Direct GDP contribution from upstream cultivation and harvesting ranges from 2.5% to 4.5%, whereas comprehensive value-chain accounting, including downstream processing, transportation, and ancillary services, increases the total contribution to 17% of GDP. This positions palm oil among Indonesia's most economically significant sectors, comparable to the mining and manufacturing subsectors (IPOA, 2025b).

Export performance demonstrates consistent strength and growth trajectory. January-October 2025 exports reached 19.49 million tons valued at \$20.2 billion, representing 7.8% volume growth and 25.73% value growth year-on-year. Palm oil and derivatives account for 9.05% of Indonesia's non-oil and gas exports, with the broader animal fat/vegetable oils category contributing \$28.12 billion to the trade surplus. The sector's 66-month consecutive trade surplus streak since May 2020 underscores its role as a reliable foreign-exchange earner, supporting Indonesia's balance of payments and macroeconomic stability (IPOSS, 2026; TRIDGE, 2025a).

The impacts on employment generation and poverty alleviation are substantial and well-documented. Total employment ranges from 16.2 to 20 million people, comprising 4.2 to 8 million direct workers in cultivation, harvesting, processing, and logistics, and 12 to 14.3 million indirect beneficiaries through supply chains, service provision, and multiplier effects. Regional employment



intensity varies significantly, with provinces such as Riau showing a 17% direct employment share and regencies such as Siak reaching 38% direct palm-sector employment (Inayatillah & Bonaedy, 2019; IPOSS, 2026).

Income effects demonstrate the sector's potential to alleviate poverty. Palm farmers earn, on average, five times more than non-palm farmers, with household incomes increasing by 25% for families adopting palm cultivation compared to alternative crops. Economic multiplier analysis quantifies this impact at a coefficient of 2.11, meaning every rupiah of palm income generates 2.11 rupiahs in total economic activity within rural communities, with welfare indices for palm-producing regions reaching 56%. Historical poverty reduction attributable to palm expansion includes lifting 2.6-10 million rural Indonesians out of poverty since 2000, with a 30% poverty rate in palm oil-producing districts across Sumatra and Kalimantan. Returns to land and labor in palm cultivation substantially exceed those in rice production, with palm offering returns to land 10 times higher and to labor 20 times higher (Judijanto, 2025b).

Upstream Challenges: Productivity Gaps and Governance Uncertainties

The upstream segment confronts formidable productivity constraints that limit national supply potential. Systematic yield-gap analysis reveals that smallholders achieve only 50% of exploitable yield over the 20-year plantation cycle, with average production of 11 tons FFB per hectare, in stark contrast to 30+ tons per hectare achieved by professionally managed estates. These yield differentials are most pronounced during years 10-15, when palms reach peak physiological productivity, suggesting that management practices, rather than inherent biological limitations, are the primary constraint (Reich & Musshoff, 2025).

Contributing factors to persistent yield gaps are well-documented. Insufficient fertilizer application is the most significant constraint, with smallholders typically applying nutrients at levels far below agronomic recommendations and failing to adjust application rates to match palm-age-specific requirements. Harvesting frequency falls below optimal schedules, with many smallholders harvesting every 15-20 days rather than the recommended 7-10-day intervals, resulting in FFB quality degradation and yield losses. Plant mortality from inadequate pest and disease management further reduces productive palm density per hectare. Use of suboptimal planting materials, particularly unimproved seedlings from informal sources rather than certified high-yield varieties, locks in yield penalties for the plantation's entire productive lifespan (Alamsyah et al., 2024; Euler et al., 2016; Mohd Ishak et al., 2020).

The gap between supported contract smallholders and independent farmers underscores the critical importance of institutional arrangements and technical assistance. Supported smallholders receiving extension services, input access facilitation, and quality-control monitoring achieve yields approaching estate levels, whereas independent farmers operating without such support languish at the lower end of the productivity distribution. This performance differential suggests that yield gap closure is technically and economically feasible with appropriate support structures (Nugroho & Wakhid, 2024; Reich & Musshoff, 2025; Sibhatu et al., 2025).

Land governance uncertainties inject significant supply-side risks into 2026 projections. The government's seizure of 1.5 million hectares from illegal operations, with an additional 1.8 million hectares under verification and potentially 5 million hectares subject to seizure in 2026, creates management transition risks. The transfer of seized plantations to the state-owned PT Agrinas raises questions about operational continuity, management quality, and the maintenance of productivity during ownership transitions. Industry analysts project reduced plantation efficiency beginning in 2026 as a consequence of governance disruptions, with production potentially declining from 49.4 million metric tons (2025) to 49 million metric tons (2026), absent offsetting productivity gains elsewhere in the system (Varqa, 2025).



Independent smallholders face particular vulnerability related to land tenure documentation. EUDR compliance requirements for proof of legal land use create barriers for farmers whose land rights rest on customary tenure, informal transactions, or incomplete documentation rather than formal certificates. The risk of smallholder exclusion from certified supply chains due to their inability to demonstrate legal land tenure status represents both an equity concern and an efficiency loss, given smallholders' 40% share of production (EFI, 2025; Sukiyono et al., 2024).

The Food-Fuel-Export Trilemma: B50 Mandate Implications

Indonesia's B50 biodiesel mandate, scheduled for implementation on July 1, 2026, represents the policy challenge most directly impacting palm oil sector dynamics in the near term. The mandate requires blending 50% biodiesel with petroleum diesel for transport fuel, necessitating approximately 18-21 million tons of CPO annually, equivalent to 41% of current national production. This massive diversion of palm oil from food and export markets to domestic energy consumption creates a complex three-way trade-off among competing policy objectives (Nadia & Badaruddin, 2025).

The fiscal arithmetic of B50 implementation reveals potential challenges. Foreign exchange savings from reduced diesel imports are estimated at Rp172 trillion (approximately \$11.5 billion) annually, a substantial benefit for Indonesia's energy security and import bill. However, corresponding reductions in palm oil export volumes result in foreign exchange losses of Rp190 trillion (approximately \$12.7 billion), creating a net negative fiscal position of Rp18 trillion before accounting for biodiesel subsidy costs and supply chain adjustment expenses. This calculation assumes static CPO prices; if reduced Indonesian exports tighten global supply sufficiently to drive price appreciation, the fiscal outcome could improve. Conversely, if global CPO substitution occurs smoothly through supply expansion in Malaysia, Thailand, or Latin America, Indonesia may experience volume losses without offsetting price gains (ABU, 2025).

Production constraints exacerbate B50 supply challenges. Annual production growth below 1% since 2019 leaves minimal buffer capacity to simultaneously serve biodiesel, food, and export markets. Weather-related production volatility, potential yield declines from transitions in plantation management, and competing downstream demand for oleochemical and oleo-food applications all constrain the supply of biodiesel feedstock. Infrastructure gaps compound implementation challenges; industry assessments indicate that five large-scale biodiesel production facilities are needed to meet B50 demand, but only three are currently under construction as of late 2025 (ABU, 2025).

The distributional impacts of the mandate create winners and losers, with implications for equity and poverty alleviation objectives. Large-scale biodiesel producers, including both state-owned and private entities, benefit from guaranteed offtake at subsidized prices, capturing most of the program's value. Plantation companies experience stable domestic demand floors that support FFB prices, particularly benefiting large integrated estates. Contract smallholders supported by structured selling arrangements to mills supplying the biodiesel sector may receive price premiums. However, independent smallholders risk exclusion from biodiesel supply chains due to traceability requirements, potentially facing lower prices if non-biodiesel demand softens. Low-income urban consumers bear a disproportionate burden from cooking oil price increases driven by supply diversion, with the impacts most severe for the poorest households. Palm downstream processors in the oleochemical and oleo-food sectors face higher raw material costs and potential supply constraints. Export-dependent regions, specialized in CPO logistics and trading, experienced employment and activity declines (Ekaputri et al., 2025).

Environmental sustainability paradoxes emerge from the mandate's structure. While displacing fossil diesel reduces greenhouse gas emissions and contributes to Indonesia's Nationally



Determined Contributions (NDCs), the mandate simultaneously creates incentives for plantation expansion to meet surging demand. Expansion-driven deforestation would undermine Indonesia's sustainability credentials precisely as EUDR compliance becomes critical for maintaining EU market access. The risk of "leakage"—wherein Indonesian CPO diversion to biodiesel tightens global supply, thereby incentivizing plantation expansion in countries with weaker environmental governance—represents an additional perverse outcome (CPI, 2015; Suhara et al., 2024).

Downstream Industrialization: Investment Momentum and Value Multiplication

Downstream industrialization emerges as the strategic pathway with the greatest potential to transcend rather than merely balance the food-fuel-export trilemma. Investment momentum in the downstream palm sector has accelerated dramatically, with IDR 272.91 trillion invested during January-September 2024, representing 21.6% of total national investment. Quarter-on-quarter analysis shows intensifying momentum, with Q3 2025 attracting IDR 21 trillion, up from IDR 16 trillion in Q2 2025. This positions palm downstream as Indonesia's premier investment destination, with foreign participation increasing as multinational corporations pursue supply chain diversification (Husin et al., 2023; Rusli et al., 2022; TRIDGE, 2025b).

The value-multiplication potential of oleochemicals and oleo-food applications provides the economic rationale for downstream prioritization. Conversion of crude palm oil into specialty fatty acids, surfactants, and esters adds value of up to 1000% compared to raw FFB, transforming a commodity input into premium specialty chemicals that command significantly higher per-ton prices. Calculations by Indonesian trade economists indicate that capturing half of Malaysia's current market share in specific refined derivative categories (HS code 1516) would generate \$1 billion in additional annual export revenue—equivalent to 5% of the current total palm export value from a single targeted product segment (Lee et al., 2024; Lestari et al., 2025).

Progress in product diversification demonstrates Indonesia's increasing downstream sophistication while highlighting remaining gaps. The number of palm-derived products commercialized in Indonesia has expanded from 54 in 2011 to 168 in 2021, with the government targeting 200 by 2030. However, Malaysia's production of more than 120 distinct oleochemical products, compared with Indonesia's 32 basic types, underscores the competitiveness challenge. Closing this product diversity gap represents substantial economic opportunity, as higher-value specialty derivatives command premium prices in global markets (GAPKI, 2022; IPOSS, 2026).

Three strategic subsectors offer distinct value propositions within palm downstream development. The oleo-food subsector focuses on specialty fats, including cocoa butter substitutes, confectionery fats, and phytonutrients such as tocopherols and beta-carotene extracted from palm oil. These applications serve Indonesia's large domestic food processing industry while offering export potential to premium markets. The oleochemicals subsector produces fatty acids, fatty alcohols, glycerol, methyl esters, and surfactants, with applications spanning personal care, pharmaceuticals, cleaning products, and industrial lubricants—representing the highest value-add potential. The bioenergy subsector extends beyond biodiesel to include biogas from palm oil mill effluent (POME), biopower from palm biomass combustion, and sustainable aviation fuel (SAF), as demonstrated by Indonesia's first commercial palm-based SAF flight in October 2023 (Mai, 2024).

Circular economy applications present additional value capture opportunities through by-product valorization. Empty fruit bunches (EFB), palm kernel shells, and POME—collectively representing approximately 70% of FFB mass—can be converted into biogas, organic fertilizer, activated carbon, and biochemicals, including ethanol and butanol. These applications transform waste streams into revenue sources while addressing environmental challenges associated with plantation waste management (Lestari et al., 2025; Loh et al., 2022; Umor et al., 2024).



Sustainability Certification: From Compliance Burden to Competitive Advantage

The convergence of ISPO expansion to downstream value chains and EUDR implementation creates unprecedented pressure and opportunity for comprehensive sustainability integration. The March 2025 Presidential Regulation extending ISPO mandatory certification to downstream processing facilities establishes Indonesia as the only palm-producing country with vertically integrated certification from plantation through finished derivatives. This regulatory framework enables "sustainable from source to shelf" marketing differentiation that could command premium pricing in sustainability-conscious markets(IPOA, 2025a).

Empirical evidence demonstrates the environmental effectiveness and economic viability of certification. RSPO certification achieved a statistically significant 40% reduction in deforestation within Kalimantan concessions, alongside reduced fire incidence and greater retention of high-conservation-value forests. ISPO implementation studies document positive environmental behaviors, including reduced forest conversion and expanded conservation areas in certified operations. These environmental outcomes translate to market access benefits, reputational risk mitigation, and preferential treatment in sustainability-focused supply chain partner selection(Carlson et al., 2018; Eggen et al., 2024; Meijaard et al., 2020).

Economic returns to smallholder certification manifest through multiple channels. Price premiums of 15-20% for certified FFB provide immediate income gains, with documented cases of cooperative members earning substantially higher prices than independent farmers selling to non-certified mills. Certification enables access to technical assistance programs, extension services, and preferential credit terms from financial institutions that view certification as a risk-reducing factor. Market access benefits are increasingly critical as major consumer goods companies strengthen zero-deforestation commitments and conduct enhanced due diligence on palm oil sourcing(Hartono, 2024, 2025).

The BDPD (formerly BDPDKS) funding mechanism, which covers smallholder certification costs through palm oil export levy revenues, creates conditions for transformative inclusion. Government and regional budgets supplement BDPD resources to cover business registration, training, technical assistance, and inspection fees that would otherwise constitute prohibitive barriers for smallholders operating at limited scales. The extended compliance timeline with mandatory smallholder ISPO certification by 2029 provides an adequate adjustment period for capacity building and system development(IPOA, 2025a).

However, certification challenges persist, particularly for independent smallholders. Current certification penetration of only 38% RSPO-certified and 80% ISPO-certified among engaged independent smallholders indicates substantial remaining work. Technical capacity gaps in GPS plot mapping, digital documentation, and record-keeping pose barriers requiring targeted extension support. Land legality documentation challenges affect farmers whose rights rest on customary tenure or incomplete formal certification. Cooperative organization and strengthening remain essential, as individual smallholder certification is economically inefficient compared to group certification aggregating 20-50 farmers per certification unit(Djarmika et al., 2023; Selan, 2025).

EUDR compliance presents particularly acute challenges, given the December 30, 2026, deadline for large operators and June 30, 2027, for micro and small enterprises. Requirements for geolocation data, traceability verification, and proof of deforestation-free production demand digital infrastructure and technical capacity, currently limited in smallholder segments. The risk of smallholder exclusion from EU supply chains due to disproportionate compliance barriers represents both an equity concern—given smallholders' 40% production share and 2.7 million participating families—and an economic efficiency loss if certified capacity cannot meet export demand(Jakarta Globe, 2025; Judijanto, 2025e).



Strategic reframing positions EUDR compliance as a catalyst for technological leapfrogging rather than merely as a means of protecting market access. Digital traceability infrastructure required for EUDR—including GPS plot mapping, blockchain supply chain tracking, and digital documentation systems—generates co-benefits extending beyond EU compliance. Plot-level data enables precision agriculture applications, including targeted fertilizer application and pest management optimization, thereby directly addressing yield-gap constraints identified in upstream analyses. Digital land records and production documentation facilitate smallholder credit assessment by financial institutions, thereby addressing longstanding barriers to financial inclusion. Certification efficiency improves through digital systems that reduce documentation costs and inspection time for ISPO and RSPO processes (Erina, 2024; Judijanto, 2025e).

Discussion and Strategic Implications

Adaptive Policy Navigation for B50 Implementation

Implementing the B50 biodiesel mandate requires sophisticated policy design that transcends simple binary choices between energy security, food affordability, and export revenue. The net negative fiscal position of Rp18 trillion identified in the static analysis assumes fixed CPO prices and linear supply-and-demand relationships, which may not hold under dynamic market conditions. Context-specific factors that may improve fiscal outcomes include CPO price appreciation resulting from reduced Indonesian export volumes, tightening global supply, derivative export expansion offsetting raw CPO volume declines as downstream investment yields operational capacity, and productivity improvements that generate additional CPO supply headroom (ABU, 2025).

However, factors that could worsen fiscal outcomes warrant equal consideration. Production shortfalls from land seizures across 1.5–6.5 million hectares and reduced plantation efficiency during governance transitions could constrain supply below the projected 56–57 million tons. Subsidy cost escalation is probable if global oil prices rise, widening the gap between palm biodiesel production costs and fossil diesel prices and increasing per-liter subsidy requirements beyond current estimates. Infrastructure delays, with only three of the five required biodiesel plants under construction, may necessitate premium pricing or accelerated construction incentives, thereby raising overall program costs (Reuters, 2026).

Adaptive implementation frameworks offer superior outcomes compared to rigid mandate execution. A phased approach implementing B45 blending as default from July 1, 2026, with conditional escalation to B50 when pre-specified triggers are met—including CPO stock levels exceeding 3 million tons for two consecutive months, biodiesel production capacity reaching 19.7 million kiloliters, and CPO reference prices averaging above \$950 per metric ton for one quarter—balances energy security objectives with fiscal prudence and supply chain stability. Dynamic export levy formulas that adjust monthly based on the CPO reference price, biodiesel subsidy requirements, and domestic stock levels replace fixed levy increases with responsive mechanisms that maintain export competitiveness during price volatility while ensuring adequate subsidy funding (IPOSS, 2026).

Distributional equity requires explicit policy attention to prevent smallholder exclusion from biodiesel value chains. Mandating that biodiesel producers source a least 30% of CPO feedstock from certified smallholder cooperatives by 2027, increasing to 40% by 2029, helps ensure that B50 economic benefits reach vulnerable farmer populations. Technical assistance funding through BPDP enables cooperatives to meet the traceability and sustainability requirements necessary for participation in the biodiesel supply chain. This approach aligns energy security objectives with



poverty alleviation commitments while strengthening smallholder organizations and certification systems that generate positive spillovers beyond biodiesel markets (Ekaputri et al., 2025).

Downstream Industrialization as Trilemma Transcendence Strategy

Downstream industrialization emerges as the singular strategy capable of transcending the food-fuel-export trilemma through value multiplication. Mathematical logic is compelling: if Indonesia maintains raw CPO export volumes but shifts product mix toward derivatives offering 300-1000% value addition, export revenue can grow substantially even as physical CPO export tonnage declines to accommodate B50 biodiesel demand and domestic food consumption. This volume-to-value transition requires coordinated policy interventions to address market failures and coordination challenges that have historically constrained Indonesia's downstream development relative to Malaysia (Jafar et al., 2022; Lestari et al., 2025; Rusli et al., 2022).

Special Economic Zones (SEZs) dedicated to palm derivatives offer comprehensive solutions to multiple binding constraints. Strategic location of three SEZs—in Sumatra (Riau/North Sumatra) focusing on oleochemicals and specialty fats, Kalimantan (East Kalimantan) emphasizing bioplastics and circular economy applications, and Java (Banten/East Java) targeting oleo-food and halal-certified derivatives—leverages regional comparative advantages in feedstock proximity, market access, and specialized capabilities. SEZ incentive packages, including 10-year corporate tax holidays, import duty exemptions for processing equipment, and streamlined permitting with a maximum 3-month approval timeline, address investment barriers identified through stakeholder consultations. Targeting IDR 500 trillion in additional investment over 2026-2030, with the creation of 500,000 direct jobs, establishes quantifiable performance metrics for program evaluation (Lestari et al., 2025; Rifa'i, 2025).

Technology transfer mechanisms accelerate capability development in the production of sophisticated derivatives. Indonesia-China Palm Technology Partnership Programs are structured as joint ventures with a minimum 50% Chinese technology partners and 50% Indonesian capital, leveraging Indonesia's position in China's economic "splash zone". Mandatory technology transfer provisions requiring Chinese partners to train Indonesian engineers and share process know-how within five-year timeframes ensure capability localization rather than perpetual dependence. Market-access incentives, such as preferential export licensing for joint-venture products to China, create commercial incentives for technology sharing. This approach addresses the 32 vs. 120+ product gap in Malaysia by leveraging Chinese oleochemical processing expertise, while China secures a stable palm feedstock supply (Lestari et al., 2025; Tandra & Suroso, 2023).

National research and development investment lays the foundation for long-term innovation leadership. A National Palm Oil Derivatives Research Center structured as public-private partnership among Ministry of Industry, leading universities (ITB, UI, IPB, ITS), industry associations (GAPKI, APROBI), and private companies with IDR 2 trillion capitalization over 2026-2028 focuses on priority research including bioplastics from palm waste, cosmeceuticals and phytonutrients, sustainable aviation fuel optimization, and halal-certified specialty chemicals. Intellectual property commercialization through preferential licensing to Indonesian companies on favorable terms generates revenue streams sustaining research operations while ensuring that innovations benefit the national industry rather than foreign competitors. Targeting 20 patents by 2030 positions Indonesia as an innovation leader in palm chemistry, with potential for licensing revenue from global applications (Noriza Q. Herrera et al., 2019; Rusli et al., 2022).

Smallholder Inclusion as Sustainability and Equity Imperative

Smallholder inclusion in certified sustainable supply chains represents both a moral imperative and an economic necessity, given that 2.7 million farm families managing 40% of the national oil palm area. The current certification penetration of 38% for RSPO and 80% for ISPO among engaged



independent smallholders indicates substantial remaining work, particularly as EUDR implementation deadlines approach. However, framing smallholder certification primarily as a compliance burden obscures transformative economic opportunities accessible through strategic support programs (Judijanto, 2025a; Mahatma, 2025; Nor-Ahmad et al., 2022).

Cooperative-based certification models dramatically reduce per-farmer costs through economies of scale. Aggregating 20-50 smallholders per certification unit spreads fixed costs of GPS plot mapping, documentation preparation, and inspection fees across larger farmer populations, reducing per-hectare certification costs by 60-70% compared to individual certification approaches. Cooperatives function as EUDR "operators," simplifying due diligence for downstream buyers, who deal with a single organized entity rather than thousands of fragmented individual farmers. Cooperative structures enable collective investment in shared equipment, bulk purchasing of inputs, and joint marketing, generating economic benefits that extend beyond certification compliance (Judijanto, 2025d; Selan, 2025).

Mobile technology platforms overcome geographic and literacy barriers to smallholder participation in digital traceability systems. Government-funded smartphone applications that enable GPS plot mapping, digital documentation, and harvest recording in multiple local languages (e.g., Bahasa Indonesia, Javanese, Sundanese) reach smallholders without requiring literacy or computer skills. Digital extension service expansion through video tutorials, SMS crop advisories, and WhatsApp expert consultations supplements in-person visits with scalable remote support. These digital platforms, initially developed for EUDR compliance, generate positive externalities through precision agriculture applications, financial inclusion, and access to market information (Prasetyo, 2025; Pratamevia & Alamsyah, 2025).

Blended finance mechanisms address the fundamental tension between smallholder credit needs and commercial bank risk aversion. A Blended Finance Facility for Certified Palm Smallholders, with 40% the BPDP contribution, 30% development finance institutions (World Bank, Asian Development Bank), and 30% commercial banks, provides concessional loans at 3% interest over 10-year terms to certified smallholder cooperatives. Government guarantees covering 50% of the loan value reduce commercial banks' risk to acceptable levels, thereby enabling mainstream financial-sector participation. Loan terms structured around harvest cycles with partial forgiveness, conditional on maintaining certification and achieving 20% yield improvements, create performance incentives while acknowledging smallholder cash flow constraints. Targeting 500,000 smallholders to access an average loan of IDR 20-40 million by 2028 addresses productivity-investment gaps while strengthening financial inclusion (Judijanto, 2025e; Pasimura et al., 2022).

The economic return on investment in support for smallholder certification is quantifiable and substantial. Every IDR 1 invested in certification and technical assistance generates an estimated IDR 3-5 in returns over five-year horizons through yield improvement from 11 to 18 tons FFB per hectare, 15-20% price premiums for certified production, and economic multiplier effects with a coefficient of 2.11 in rural communities. This return profile positions smallholder support as an economic development program with measurable financial returns rather than purely social expenditure (Syahza et al., 2023).

Export Competitiveness and Strategic Trade Positioning

Indonesia's Revealed Comparative Advantage exceeding 4 in major palm oil-importing markets confirms its current competitive strength, but negative trend trajectories signal erosion risks that require a proactive strategic response. The volume-to-value transition necessitated by B50-induced export constraints paradoxically creates an opportunity to accelerate Indonesia's evolution from commodity supplier to specialty derivatives provider. If 2026 export volumes decline from 30 million tons to 26.5 million tons due to biodiesel diversion, maintaining \$20+ billion in export



revenue requires average export prices to increase from \$667 per ton to \$755 per ton—achievable through a combination of CPO price appreciation and product mix improvements toward higher-value derivatives (Judijanto, 2025c; Pratiwi, 2021).

Strategic trade agreement prioritization enables market access expansion and the capture of tariff preferences. Indonesia-EU Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement (CEPA) conclusion represents the highest priority, with specific provisions for zero-tariff access for ISPO and EUDR-compliant palm derivatives (oleochemicals, specialty fats), technical cooperation on smallholder EUDR compliance funded by EU development assistance, and mutual recognition of ISPO as equivalent to EU sustainability requirements for non-food applications. Agreement conclusion by Q4 2026, with ratification by Q2 2027, positions Indonesia to capture increased EU market share as competitors struggle with EUDR compliance, potentially increasing EU exports by 30-40% even if absolute EU palm demand remains flat (Pratiwi, 2021; Solidaridad, 2023).

African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) engagement offers access to a market of 1.4 billion people with a rapidly expanding middle class. Negotiating preferential terms for halal-certified palm derivatives leverages Indonesia's position as the world's largest Muslim-majority country to enhance the credibility of religious certification. Strategic positioning as a preferred supplier in the African and Middle Eastern markets diversifies export destinations away from excessive concentration in India and China, reducing vulnerability to bilateral political dynamics and policy shifts in individual markets.

The deepening of the ASEAN-China FTA through specific oleochemical provisions that facilitate Indonesian joint-venture exports to China at preferential rates aligns with China's interest in securing a stable palm-oil chemical supply while supporting Indonesia's downstream development objectives. This approach transforms potential competitive tensions into collaborative frameworks benefiting both nations.

Conclusion

Indonesia's palm oil industry confronts a pivotal juncture in 2026 where macroeconomic recovery momentum, transformative policy implementation, and sustainability imperatives converge with unusual intensity. The sector's substantial economic contributions—3.5%-17% of GDP, \$20.2 billion in export revenue, and 16.2-20 million jobs—position it as a critical lever for achieving national economic objectives, including accelerating growth, maintaining fiscal sustainability, strengthening trade balance, and continuing poverty alleviation. However, realizing this potential requires strategic navigation of the food-fuel-export trilemma posed by the implementation of the B50 biodiesel mandate, while addressing structural constraints spanning yield gaps, land governance uncertainties, sustainability compliance pressures, and downstream development imperatives.

The analysis demonstrates that downstream industrialization offers the singular strategic pathway capable of transcending rather than merely balancing policy trade-offs. A value multiplier of up to 1000% in oleochemicals, supported by IDR 272.91 trillion in investment momentum, enables Indonesia to maintain or increase export revenue with lower raw material volumes, thereby creating supply headroom for simultaneous biodiesel, food, and export objectives. However, this downstream transition requires coordinated policy interventions, including the establishment of Special Economic Zones, technology-transfer facilitation, and prioritization of strategic trade agreements, to overcome market failures and coordination challenges that have historically constrained Indonesia's derivatives sector relative to Malaysia's.



Sustainability certification via ISPO expansion and EUDR compliance represents a competitive opportunity rather than merely a regulatory burden, with evidence documenting 15-20% income premiums for certified smallholders, 40% deforestation reduction in certified areas, and market access benefits in premium sustainability-conscious markets. However, realizing inclusive sustainability requires deliberate support for smallholders through cooperative-based certification models, mobile technology platforms, and blended finance mechanisms, ensuring that 2.7 million smallholder families are empowered rather than excluded by sustainability transitions.

Critical policy recommendations emerging from the analysis include: (1) adaptive B50 implementation with phased rollout (B45 default with conditional B50 escalation), dynamic export levy formulas, and smallholder sourcing quotas ensuring equitable benefit distribution; (2) downstream acceleration through three dedicated Special Economic Zones, Indonesia-China technology partnership programs, and National Palm Derivatives R&D Center establishment targeting 50 new products by 2028; (3) comprehensive smallholder certification program with cooperative aggregation, digital platforms, and blended finance facility providing concessional credit to 500,000 farmers by 2028; and (4) strategic trade positioning prioritizing EU-CEPA conclusion, AfCFTA engagement, and ASEAN-China FTA deepening to capture growing derivative export opportunities.

The path forward is clear: Indonesia must transition from the "1.0" model of volume-based raw-material exports to the "2.0" model of value-based derivative production with integrated sustainability. This transition is not optional but imperative, given production stagnation, rising sustainability requirements, and competitive pressures. Success requires policy coherence across temporal (aligning short-term B50 with medium-term downstream capacity development), horizontal (coordinating trade, industrial, agricultural, environmental, and fiscal policies), and vertical (ensuring national strategies translate to provincial implementation and tangible smallholder benefits) dimensions. With strategic foresight and integrated execution, Indonesia's palm oil sector can function as an economic "ceiling-raiser", driving sustainable prosperity through 2030 and beyond, fulfilling its potential as a cornerstone of Indonesia's development trajectory in an era of global economic transformation.

Acknowledgement

There is no acknowledgement statement.

Conflict of Interests

No conflict of interest.

References

- ABU. (2025). *Indonesia's B50 Biofuel Rollout Faces Uncertainty amid Palm Oil Supply Woes*. Advanced Biofuels USA Business News. <https://advancedbiofuelsusa.info/indonesia-s-b50-biofuel-rollout-faces-uncertainty-amid-palm-oil-supply-woes>
- Alamsyah, Z., Kalsum, U., Fauzia, G., Yanita, M., Hamid, E., & Napitupulu, D. M. (2024). Yield Gaps and Factors Affecting Production Inefficiency in Smallholder Oil Palm Plantations in Muaro Jambi District Jambi Province. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1364(1), 012048. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1364/1/012048>
- Arsyad, M., Amiruddin, A., Suharno, & Jahroh, S. (2020). Competitiveness of Palm Oil Products



in International Trade: An Analysis between Indonesia and Malaysia. *Caraka Tani: Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, 35(2), 157–167. <https://doi.org/10.20961/carakatani.v35i2.41091>

Ayompe, L. M., Nkongho, R. N., Masso, C., & Egoh, B. N. (2021). Does investment in palm oil trade alleviate smallholders from poverty in Africa? Investigating profitability from a biodiversity hotspot, Cameroon. *PLoS ONE*, 16(9 September). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256498>

Carlson, K. M., Heilmayr, R., Gibbs, H. K., Noojipady, P., Burns, D. N., Morton, D. C., Walker, N. F., Paoli, G. D., & Kremen, C. (2018). Effect of oil palm sustainability certification on deforestation and fire in Indonesia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(1), 121–126. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1704728114>

CPI. (2015). *Central Kalimantan's Oil Palm Value Chain: Opportunities for Productivity, Profitability & Sustainability Gains*. Climate Policy Initiative. <https://climatepolicyinitiative.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/Central-Kalimantan-Oil-Palm-Value-Chain-Summary-Slides.pdf>

Danantara. (2026). *Danantara Indonesia Economic Outlook 2026*. <https://www.danantaraindonesia.co.id/>

Djatkika, P., Listiningrum, P., Sumarno, T. B., Mahira, D. F., & Sianipar, C. P. M. (2023). Just Transition in Biofuel Development towards Low-Carbon Economy: Multi-Actor Perspectives on Policies and Practices in Indonesia. *Energies*, 17(1), 141. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en17010141>

EFI. (2025). *Reviews of smallholder challenges and indigenous oil palm production in Indonesia*. EFI Latest News. <https://efi.int/news/reviews-smallholder-challenges-and-indigenous-oil-palm-production-indonesia-2025-01-20>

Eggen, M., Heilmayr, R., Anderson, P., Armson, R., Austin, K., Azmi, R., Bayliss, P., Burns, D., Erbaugh, J. T., Ekaputri, A. D., Gaveau, D. L. A., Grabs, J., Greenbury, A., Gulagnar, I., Hanu, M. A., Hill, T., Leegwater, M., Limberg, G., Opal, C., ... Carlson, K. M. (2024). Smallholder participation in zero-deforestation supply chain initiatives in the Indonesian palm oil sector: Challenges, opportunities, and limitations. *Elem Sci Anth*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2023.00099>

Ekaputri, A. D., Gaveau, D. L. A., Heilmayr, R., & Carlson, K. M. (2025). Uneven participation of independent and contract smallholders in certified palm oil mill markets in Indonesia. *Communications Earth & Environment*, 6(1), 721. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-025-02683-6>

Erina, N. G. F. (2024). Peran RSPO dan ISPO pada Keberlanjutan Industri Minyak Kelapa Sawit di Indonesia: Studi Kasus Indofood. *Briliant: Jurnal Riset Dan Konseptual*, 9(2), 290–298. <https://doi.org/10.28926/briliant.v9i2.1814>

Euler, M., Hoffmann, P., Fathoni, M. Z., & Schwarze, S. (2016). Exploring yield gaps in smallholder oil palm production systems in eastern Sumatra, Indonesia. *Agricultural Systems*, 146, 111–119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2016.04.007>

Firdaus, M. I. (2025). The Challenges in Upstream-Midstream Supply Chain of Palm Oil Industry: A Review of Literature in Indonesian Case. In *The Palm Oil Export Market: Trends, Challenges, and Future Strategies for Sustainability Market* (1st ed., p. 10). Routledge Taylor & Francis Group. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003518600-4/challenges-upstream-midstream-supply-chain-palm-oil-industry-muhammad-iqbal-firdaus>

GAPKI. (2022). *Is Downstreaming Program Of Palm Oil Industry Successful?* GAPKI Publication Recent News. <https://gapki.id/en/news/2022/09/15/is-downstreaming-program-of-palm-oil-industry-successful/>

Hairiah, K., van Noordwijk, M., Sari, R. R., Saputra, D. D., Widiyanto, Suprayogo, D., Kurniawan,



- S., Prayogo, C., & Gusli, S. (2020). Soil carbon stocks in Indonesian (agro) forest transitions: Compaction conceals lower carbon concentrations in standard accounting. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 294, 106879. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.106879>
- Halimatussadiyah, A., Moeis, F. R., Siregar, A. A., & Nainggolan, D. (2025). The potentials of Indonesian biofuel policy's replanting scheme to reduce poverty and enhance regional economy. *Land Use Policy*, 157, 107623. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2025.107623>
- Hartono, R. (2024). Persiapan Sertifikasi ISPO pada Koperasi Produsen Fajar Lestari Studi Kasus di Dusun Cabang Anduk, Kecamatan Batu Ampar, Kabupaten Kubu Raya, Kalimantan Barat. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Humaniora*, 2(3), 86–92. <https://doi.org/10.58540/isihumor.v2i3.827>
- Hartono, R. (2025). The Economic Impact of the Presence of Oil Palm Plantations towards ISPO Certification: Case Study of Jaya Usaha Sempurna Producer Cooperative in Mega Timur Village. *IJMEBE: International Journal of Management and Business Economics*, 3(2), 66–69.
- Hidayat, N. K., Offermans, A., & Glasbergen, P. (2018). Sustainable palm oil as a public responsibility? On the governance capacity of Indonesian Standard for Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO). *Agriculture and Human Values*, 35(1), 223–242. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-017-9816-6>
- Husin, S., Wijaya, C., Hanief, A., Ghafur, S., Zakir Machmud, T. M., & Mardanugraha, E. (2023). Trade Policies Support for Palm Oil Downstreaming in Indonesia. *Journal of Economics and Policy*, 16(2), 302–322. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jejak.v16i2.47199>
- Inayatillah, F., & Bonaedy, M. M. (2019). *Gov't Ensures Sustainability of Palm Oil Industry*. Setkab News. <https://setkab.go.id/en/govt-ensures-sustainability-of-palm-oil-industry/>
- IPOA. (2025a). *ISPO Revisions Provide Opportunity for EU to Support Smallholders*. Indonesia Palm Oil Facts. <https://www.indonesiapalmoilfacts.com/ispo-revisions-provide-opportunity-for-eu-to-support-smallholders/>
- IPOA. (2025b). *What is the truth on Indonesia's most important crop?* IPOA The Facts on Palm Oil. <https://www.indonesiapalmoilfacts.com/facts-sheets/>
- IPOSS. (2026). *2026 Palm Oil Outlook*.
- Jafar, R., Khaerunnisa, Sutrisno, A., Sulisty, A., Mubarak, A., Kurniasih, N., Nurlela, M., & Abimayu, S. (2022). The Role of Institutions in the Downstream Agribusiness Palm oil (*Elaeis Sp*) Subsystem. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1083(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1083/1/012037>
- Jakarta Globe. (2025). *Indonesian Palm Oil Export Up Over 25% to \$20.2 Billion*. UkrAgro Consult. <https://ukragroconsult.com/en/news/indonesian-palm-oil-export-up-over-25-to-20-2-billion/>
- Judijanto, L. (2025a). Beyond deforestation debates: a review of social issue blindspots in EU palm oil trade policies. *Revista Caribeña de Ciencias Sociales*, 14(8), e4750. <https://doi.org/10.55905/rcssv14n8-015>
- Judijanto, L. (2025b). Beyond the plantation: Palm oil as a strategic lever for regional development and economic transformation growth in Indonesia. *Growth*, 12(1), 25–32. <https://doi.org/10.20448/growth.v12i1.6842>
- Judijanto, L. (2025c). Competitiveness of Indonesian CPO, its successful penetration in the European Union vegetable Oils market, and protectionism trade policies in the EU market to stop it grow further. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 11(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2025.2501758>
- Judijanto, L. (2025d). *Légal Challenges and Opportunities in Promoting Sustainable Palm Oil Trade*



in Europe: A Review from a Social Economy Perspective. *Science of Law*, 2025(1), 144–150. <https://doi.org/10.55284/47etjn84>

Judijanto, L. (2025e). Who Pays for Climate Action? The Disproportionate Impact of Deforestation-Free Regulations on Smallholder Farmers. *European Journal of Management, Economics and Business*, 3(1), 3–13. [https://doi.org/10.59324/ejmeb.2026.3\(1\).01](https://doi.org/10.59324/ejmeb.2026.3(1).01)

Kasan, H. (2025). *Sustainable palm oil gets downstream boost in Indonesia*. UOB ASEAN Insights. <https://www.uobgroup.com/asean-insights/articles/indonesia-palm-oil.page>

Lee, S. Y., Weingarten, M., & Ottenheim, C. (2024). Current upstream and downstream process strategies for sustainable yeast lipid production. *Bioresource Technology*, 414. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2024.131601>

Lestari, Y. S., Kresna, M., Endah, N. H., Atmaja, N. N., Irwanto, E. P., & Pratistha, B. (2025). Policy Strategy to Stimulate Indonesia's Palm Oil Downstream Industries. *The Journal of Indonesia Sustainable Development Planning*, 6(2), 214–224. <https://doi.org/10.46456/jisdep.v6i2.726>

Loh, S. K., Nasrin, A. B., Sukiran, M. A., Bukhari, N. A., & Subramaniam, V. (2022). Chapter 22 - Oil palm biomass value chain for biofuel development in Malaysia: part II. In S. Yusup & N. A. Rashidi (Eds.), *Value-Chain of Biofuels* (pp. 505–534). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-824388-6.00010-5>

Mahatma, R. (2025). *Indonesia's Palm Oil Industry in 2025: Opportunities, Challenges, and the Role of Ralali.com as an Investment Partner*. Ralali. <https://www.ralali.com/indonesia-supplier/investment/indonesias-palm-oil-industry-in-2025-opportunities-challenges-and-the-role-of-ralali-com-as-an-investment-partner/>

Mai, L. (2024). *Palm oil powerhouses: why EU's deforestation-free regulation does not work in Southeast Asia*. CSIS New Perspectives.

Maksum, A., Muda, I., Lubis, A., & Azhar, I. A. S. (2021). Trading of Indonesian Crude Palm Oil Supply Chain and its Impact on Economic Growth: Implementation of Theory of Comparative Advantage and the Competitive Advantage of Nation. *IJEEP: International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 11(4), 296–302. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeeep.11766>

Maulana, A. R., & Azis, A. A. (2025). Considering Indonesia's Economic Growth Direction: Between the OECD and BRICS. *Journal of Social Research*, 4(7). <https://doi.org/10.55324/josr.v4i7.2640>

Meijaard, E., Brooks, T. M., Carlson, K. M., Slade, E. M., Garcia-Ulloa, J., Gaveau, D. L. A., Lee, J. S. H., Santika, T., Juffe-Bignoli, D., Struebig, M. J., Wich, S. A., Ancrenaz, M., Koh, L. P., Zamira, N., Abrams, J. F., Prins, H. H. T., Sendashonga, C. N., Murdiyarso, D., Furumo, P. R., ... Sheil, D. (2020). The environmental impacts of palm oil in context. In *Nature Plants* (Vol. 6, Issue 12, pp. 1418–1426). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-00813-w>

Mohd Ishak, S., Aman, Z., & Mat Taib, H. (2020). An Evaluation on Outcome of Oil Palm Replanting Scheme (TSSPK) and New Planting Scheme (TBSPK). *International Journal of Modern Trends in Social Sciences*, 3(14). <https://doi.org/10.35631/ijmtss.3140011>

Nadia, S. P., & Badaruddin, M. (2025). Geoeconomic Implications of Indonesia's B50 Biodiesel Program on Global Biofuel Supply Chains. *Journal of Asia Pacific Studies*, 9(2), 176–199. <https://doi.org/10.33541/japs.v9i2.6953>

Nor-Ahmad, S. N. H. J. N., Amran, A., Siti-Nabiha, A. K., & Rahman, R. A. (2022). Sustainable Palm Oil: What Drives it and Why Aren't We There Yet? *Asian Journal of Business and Accounting*, 15(1), 1–40. <https://doi.org/10.22452/ajba.vol15no1.1>



- Noriza Q. Herrera, M., Szilardo C. de Guzman, R., Pura T. Depositario, D., E. Mojica, L., & Angelin B. Madamba, J. (2019). Comparative Palm Oil Trade Performance in Indonesia, Malaysia, and the Philippines. *International Academy of Global Business and Trade*, 15(2), 51–78. <https://doi.org/10.20294/igbt.2019.15.2.51>
- Nugroho, W. A., & Wakhid, N. (2024). Yield Gap between Industrial and Smallholder Oil Palm Plantations on Tropical Peat. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2957(1). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0184036>
- Pasimura, I., Afrizal, & Novarino, W. (2022). Impact of Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO) Certification to Environmental Behavior of Palm Oil Plantation Companies. *IJAS: International Journal of Agriculture System*, 10(1), 26–43. <https://doi.org/10.20956/ijas.v10i1.3254>
- Petri, H., Hendrawan, D., Bähr, T., Musshoff, O., Wollni, M., Asnawi, R., & Faust, H. (2024). Replanting challenges among Indonesian oil palm smallholders: a narrative review. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 26(8), 19351–19367. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-023-01788-2>
- Prasetyo, D. (2025). *Indonesia's 40% Palm Oil Smallholders Face Traceability and Certification Gaps as EUDR Compliance Looms*. Koltiva. <https://www.koltiva.com/post/indonesia-40-palm-oil-smallholders-face-traceability-and-certification-gaps-as-eudr-compliance>
- Pratamevia, N., & Alamsyah, A. (2025). An Integrated Blockchain Traceability Model for EUDR-Compliant and Sustainable Palm Oil Exports. *2025 International Conference on Data Science and Its Applications, ICoDSA 2025*, 860–865. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICoDSA67155.2025.11157030>
- Pratiwi, I. E. (2021). The predictors of Indonesia's palm oil export competitiveness: A gravity model approach. *Journal of International Studies*, 14(3), 250–262. <https://doi.org/10.14254/2071-8330.2021/14-3/16>
- Reich, C., & Musshoff, O. (2025). Oil palm smallholders and the road to certification: Insights from Indonesia. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 375, 124303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.124303>
- Reuters. (2026). *Indonesia may seize another 5 million hectares palm oil plantations in 2026*. Reuters World Business. <https://www.reuters.com/world/asia-pacific/indonesia-may-seize-another-5-million-hectares-palm-oil-plantations-2026-2026-01-07/>
- Rifa'i, A. (2025). Economy-wide impacts of palm oil downstream in North Sumatra: A CGE approach. *World Development Perspectives*, 39, 100706. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wdp.2025.100706>
- Rifin, A., Feryanto, Herawati, & Harianto. (2020). Assessing the impact of limiting Indonesian palm oil exports to the European Union. *Journal of Economic Structures*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40008-020-00202-8>
- Rusli, Z., Mashur, D., Yozani, R. E., Habibie, D. K., Simanjuntak, H. T. R. F., & Saputra, T. (2022). The Governance of Downstream Oil Palm Development in the Technopolitan Area of Pelalawan Regency. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeeep.12636>
- Santika, T., Wilson, K. A., Budiharta, S., Law, E. A., Poh, T. M., Ancrenaz, M., Struebig, M. J., & Meijaard, E. (2019). Does oil palm agriculture help alleviate poverty? A multidimensional counterfactual assessment of oil palm development in Indonesia. *World Development*, 120, 105–117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.04.012>
- Santika, T., Wilson, K. A., Meijaard, E., Budiharta, S., Law, E. E., Sabri, M., & Poh, T. M. (2019). Changing landscapes, livelihoods and village welfare in the context of oil palm development. *Land Use Policy*, 87, 104073. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2019.104073>



- Selan, S. (2025). *Technical knowledge remains the biggest hurdle for independent palm oil smallholders to comply with EUDR*. Eco-Business: News-Industry Spotlight. <https://www.eco-business.com/news/technical-knowledge-remains-the-biggest-hurdle-for-independent-palm-oil-smallholders-to-comply-with-eudr/>
- Sibhatu, K. T., Tabe-Ojong, M. P. J., & Siregar, H. (2025). Nature-Based Land Management Practices and Yield Dynamics in Oil Palm Production: Insights From Indonesian Smallholder Growers. *Agricultural Economics*, 56(5), 713–727. <https://doi.org/10.1111/agec.70018>
- Solidaridad. (2023). *Implications of the EU Deforestation Regulation (EUDR) for oil palm smallholders* (1; Briefing Paper). <https://www.solidaridadnetwork.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/Briefing-paper-EUDR-and-palm-oil-smallholders.pdf>
- Suhara, A., Karyadi, Herawan, S. G., Tirta, A., Idris, M., Roslan, M. F., Putra, N. R., Hananto, A. L., & Veza, I. (2024). Biodiesel Sustainability: Review of Progress and Challenges of Biodiesel as Sustainable Biofuel. *Clean Technologies*, 6(3), 886–906. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cleantechnol6030045>
- Sukiyono, K., Romdhon, M. M., Mulyasari, G., Yuliarso, M. Z., Nabiu, M., Trisusilo, A., Reflis, Napitupulu, D. M., Nugroho, Y., Puspitasari, M. S., Sugiardi, S., Arifudin, & Masliani. (2024). Smallholder palm oil and sustainable development goals (SDGs) achievement: An empirical analysis. *Sustainable Futures*, 8(March), 100233.1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2024.100233>
- Syahza, A., Tampubolon, D., Irianti, M., Meiwanda, G., & Asmit, B. (2023). The Impact of Small-Scale Oil Palm Plantation Development on the Economy Multiplier Effect and Rural Communities Welfare. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*, 18(5), 1407–1415. <https://doi.org/10.18280/ijstdp.180511>
- Tandra, H., & Suroso, A. I. (2023). The determinant, efficiency, and potential of Indonesian palm oil downstream export to the global market. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2023.2189671>
- TRIDGE. (2025a). *Indonesia's palm oil exports surge in 2025*. TRIDGE News. <https://www.tridge.com/news/indonesias-palm-oil-exports-surge-in-2025-ljsyim>
- TRIDGE. (2025b). *Indonesia's palm oil industry becomes investment magnet*. TRIDGE News. <https://www.tridge.com/news/indonesias-palm-oil-industry-becomes-investm-sanalg>
- Umor, N. A., Zainal, N. H., & Yaser, A. Z. (2024). Palm Oil Industry: Plantation and Process Towards Circular Economy. In *Palm Oil Industry: Plantation and Process Towards Circular Economy*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-8277-2>
- Varqa, S. (2025). *Palm oil price forecast and production outlook 2026*. Fastmarkets. <https://www.fastmarkets.com/insights/palm-oil-price-forecast-and-production-outlook-2026/>
- Zainuddin, M. R. K. V., Aji, R. H. S., & Nazmi, M. S. (2025). Global Market Shifts and Palm Oil Export Dynamics Issues and Challenges. In *The Palm Oil Export Market* (1st ed., p. 14). Routledge Taylor & Francis Group. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003518600-13/global-market-shifts-palm-oil-export-dynamics-muhamad-rias-zainuddin-rizqon-halal-syah-aji-mohd-syauqi-nazmi>

