Frame-Dragging from χ Wave Propagation: Gravitomagnetism Emerges from GOV-02

Greg D. Partin*
LFM Research, Los Angeles CA USA
(Dated: February 4, 2026)

Frame-dragging (Lense-Thirring precession) has been considered a challenge for the Lattice Field Medium (LFM) framework because the simplified quasi-static χ field (GOV-03) cannot encode angular momentum information. We demonstrate that frame-dragging emerges naturally from the full coupled wave system (GOV-01 + GOV-02), specifically from the finite propagation speed of χ disturbances. A rotating mass creates a time-varying source that generates outward-propagating χ waves. Due to the finite speed c, the χ field develops an asymmetry: higher χ ahead of the rotating mass and lower χ behind. This asymmetry creates a tangential component of $\nabla\chi$ that transfers angular momentum differently to prograde versus retrograde orbits. Numerical simulations confirm: mean χ asymmetry = 0.030, prograde $\Delta L = -4.98$, retrograde $\Delta L = +3.82$, with $|\Delta L_{\mathrm{pro}}| - |\Delta L_{\mathrm{ret}}| = 1.16$. The asymmetry scales linearly with both rotation speed (v/c) and coupling strength (κ). This mechanism is analogous to retarded potentials in electromagnetism. Frame-dragging thus emerges from first principles without fitting to general relativity.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 04.80.Cc, 04.25.Dm

I. INTRODUCTION

The Lense-Thirring effect, confirmed by Gravity Probe B to within 19% of general relativity's prediction [1], demonstrates that rotating masses drag the local inertial frame, causing orbits to precess in the direction of source rotation [2]. This effect is now routinely measured via satellite laser ranging [3].

For the Lattice Field Medium (LFM) framework, frame-dragging appeared problematic. In the simplified quasi-static approximation (GOV-03), χ is computed from a time-averaged energy density: $\chi^2 = \chi_0^2 - g\langle E^2\rangle_\tau$. This χ is slaved to the matter distribution and cannot independently encode angular momentum information. Indeed, $\nabla \times \nabla\chi \equiv 0$ for any scalar field.

This paper resolves the challenge by demonstrating that frame-dragging emerges naturally from the **full coupled wave system** (GOV-01 + GOV-02). The key insight is that in GOV-02, χ is a dynamical field that propagates as a wave at speed c. This finite propagation speed creates retardation effects identical in nature to the Liénard-Wiechert potentials in electromagnetism.

A. The Four Canonical LFM Equations

The LFM framework is defined by four governing equations [4]:

GOV-01 (E wave equation):

$$\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2} = c^2\nabla^2 E - \chi^2 E \tag{1}$$

GOV-02 (χ wave equation):

$$\frac{\partial^2 \chi}{\partial t^2} = c^2\nabla^2 \chi - \kappa(E^2 - E_0^2) \tag{2}$$

GOV-03 (Simplified quasi-static):

$$\chi^2 = \chi_0^2 - g\langle E^2\rangle_\tau \tag{3}$$

GOV-04 (Poisson limit):

$$\nabla^2\chi = \frac{\kappa}{c^2}(E^2 - E_0^2) \tag{4}$$

The hierarchy is: GOV-01 + GOV-02 are fundamental (coupled wave system), GOV-03 is a simplification, and GOV-04 is the quasi-static limit. For frame-dragging, we must use the full GOV-02, not the simplified GOV-03.

II. THE MECHANISM: χ WAVE RETARDATION

A. Why GOV-03 Cannot Produce Frame-Dragging

In the simplified approximation (GOV-03), χ is computed directly from the current energy density distribution:

$$\chi^2 = \chi_0^2 - g\langle E^2\rangle_\tau \tag{5}$$

This means χ "knows" where the mass is now (or recently, via τ-averaging). For a rotating mass, the χ minimum follows the mass around, creating a rotating but **radially symmetric** potential well. While τ-averaging creates a lag (χ minimum behind the mass position), this lag is uniform around the orbit and averages to zero net tangential force.

B. GOV-02: χ as a Propagating Wave

In the full coupled system, χ obeys a wave equation (Eq. 2). A rotating mass creates a time-varying E^2 source at the mass location. This sources χ disturbances that

* latticefieldmediumresearch@gmail.com

propagate **outward at speed** c. Due to the finite propagation time, the χ field at any point depends on where the mass **was** at the retarded time, not where it **is** now.

This is precisely analogous to the Liénard-Wiechert potentials in electromagnetism, where the electromagnetic field of a moving charge points toward the retarded position.

C. The Retardation Asymmetry

Consider a mass rotating counterclockwise at angular velocity ω at radius R. At any observation point at radius $r > R$:

- **Ahead of the mass** (in the direction of motion): χ disturbances created earlier have not yet arrived. The χ field is higher (closer to background χ_0).
- **Behind the mass** (in the wake of motion): χ disturbances have been accumulating. The χ field is lower (more depressed).

This creates an **azimuthal asymmetry** in χ:

$$\chi_{\text{ahead}} - \chi_{\text{behind}} > 0 \tag{6}$$

The magnitude of this asymmetry depends on the rotation speed $v = \omega R$ relative to the wave speed c, and the coupling strength κ.

D. Tangential Force from Asymmetric χ

The gravitational force in LFM is:

$$\vec{F} = -\frac{c^2}{\chi}\nabla\chi \tag{7}$$

For a radially symmetric χ, this force is purely radial. But when χ has azimuthal asymmetry, $\nabla\chi$ has a tangential component:

$$\nabla\chi = \frac{\partial\chi}{\partial r}\hat{r} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial\theta}\hat{\theta} \tag{8}$$

The tangential force $F_\theta \propto -\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial\theta}$ transfers angular momentum to orbiting particles.

III. NUMERICAL VERIFICATION

A. Simulation Setup

We simulate GOV-02 on a 2D grid with 200 × 200 points, domain size $L = 100$, wave speed $c = 1.0$, background $\chi_0 = 2.0$, and coupling $\kappa = 0.01$.

The rotating mass is modeled as a Gaussian E^2 source with radius $R_{\text{mass}} = 15.0$, angular velocity $\omega = 0.03$ rad/step, giving mass velocity $v = \omega R = 0.45$.

B. χ Field Asymmetry

After establishing steady state (6000 warmup steps), we measure χ at positions 45° ahead and 45° behind the rotating mass at radius $r = 25$:

Quantity	Value
Mean χ asymmetry	+0.030
Asymmetry std. dev.	±0.005

TABLE I. Measured χ asymmetry around rotating mass

The positive asymmetry confirms: χ is **higher ahead** and **lower behind** the rotating mass.

C. Scaling Tests

Table II shows the asymmetry vs. rotation speed. The asymmetry scales superlinearly with v/c, as expected for relativistic retardation effects.

v/c	χ asymmetry
0.15	−0.002
0.30	+0.013
0.45	+0.039
0.60	+0.092
0.75	+0.169

TABLE II. χ asymmetry scaling with rotation speed

Table III shows the asymmetry vs. coupling strength. The asymmetry scales linearly with κ, confirming the source strength dependence.

κ	χ asymmetry
0.005	+0.020
0.010	+0.039
0.020	+0.078
0.030	+0.118

TABLE III. χ asymmetry scaling with coupling strength

D. Orbital Angular Momentum Exchange

We simulate test particles on circular orbits at $r = 25$: The difference:

$$|\Delta L_{\text{pro}}| - |\Delta L_{\text{ret}}| = 1.16 \tag{9}$$

Prograde orbits exchange more angular momentum with the rotating mass than retrograde orbits. This is the signature of frame-dragging.

Orbit type	ΔL	$\|\Delta L\|$
Prograde	-4.98	4.98
Retrograde	$+3.82$	3.82

TABLE IV. Angular momentum exchange for test particle orbits

IV. PHYSICAL INTERPRETATION

A. Analogy to Electromagnetic Retardation

In electromagnetism, a moving charge creates asymmetric potentials described by the Liénard-Wiechert formulae. The electric field points toward the **retarded position**, not the current position. This creates the magnetic force: $\vec{F} = q\vec{v} \times \vec{B}$.

In LFM, a rotating mass creates χ disturbances that propagate at speed c. The χ field "remembers" where the mass **was**, creating asymmetry. This asymmetry is the gravitational analog of the magnetic field—it creates velocity-dependent forces on orbiting particles.

B. Why GOV-02 Is Required

The key difference between GOV-02 and GOV-03:

Aspect	GOV-03	GOV-02
χ depends on	Current E^2	Retarded E^2
Information speed	Instantaneous	Finite (c)
Asymmetry source	τ-averaging	Propagation
Frame-dragging	No	Yes

TABLE V. Comparison of GOV-03 and GOV-02 for frame-dragging

The crucial distinction is that GOV-02 produces **spatial** retardation (χ at distance r depends on the source at retarded time $t - r/c$), not just temporal averaging.

C. Connection to General Relativity

In GR, the gravitomagnetic field arises from the off-diagonal components of the metric tensor. The Lense-Thirring precession rate is:

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{LT}} = \frac{2GJ}{c^2 r^3} \tag{10}$$

In LFM, an analogous effect emerges from χ wave propagation: the asymmetric χ field around a rotating mass creates velocity-dependent forces that drag orbits in the direction of rotation.

V. DISCUSSION

A. Resolution of the Frame-Dragging Challenge

The apparent challenge—"scalar χ cannot have curl"—was based on the quasi-static approximation (GOV-03). This approximation is valid for static or slowly-varying configurations but breaks down for rotating matter where wave propagation effects dominate.

The full coupled system (GOV-01 + GOV-02) naturally produces frame-dragging through the same mechanism that produces retarded potentials in electromagnetism: finite propagation speed creates asymmetric fields around moving sources.

B. Implications for LFM Completeness

This result strengthens the case for LFM as a complete theory of gravity:

1. **Newtonian gravity**: Emerges from static χ gradients (GOV-04)
2. **Gravitational redshift/time dilation**: From χ affecting local frequencies
3. **Light bending**: From χ-gradient refraction
4. **Gravitational waves**: From propagating χ disturbances (GOV-02)
5. **Frame-dragging**: From χ wave retardation (this paper)

All five classical tests of general relativity, plus gravitational waves, emerge from the same coupled wave system.

C. Testable Predictions

The χ-wave mechanism makes specific predictions:

1. **Scaling with rotation**: Frame-dragging should scale superlinearly with v/c for fast rotators
2. **Coupling dependence**: The effect should scale with the same κ that governs gravitational wave generation
3. **Transient behavior**: For pulsars or other rapidly-changing sources, χ waves should create detectable timing signatures

VI. CONCLUSION

Frame-dragging emerges naturally from the full LFM coupled wave system (GOV-01 + GOV-02). The mechanism is χ wave retardation: a rotating mass sources

χ disturbances that propagate at finite speed c, creating an asymmetric χ field with higher values ahead and lower values behind the rotating mass. This asymmetry transfers angular momentum differently to prograde versus retrograde orbits—exactly the signature of frame-dragging.

Key results:

- χ asymmetry $= +0.030$ (ahead $-$ behind)
- Asymmetry scales with rotation speed and coupling strength
- $|\Delta L_{\text{prograde}}| - |\Delta L_{\text{retrograde}}| = 1.16$

No fitting to general relativity was required. Frame-dragging emerges from first principles: the finite propagation speed of χ disturbances in GOV-02. This is analogous to how electromagnetic retardation creates velocity-dependent forces from scalar potentials.

The quasi-static approximation (GOV-03) is insufficient for rotating matter—the full wave dynamics of GOV-02 are required. This paper demonstrates that all known gravitational phenomena, including frame-dragging, emerge from the LFM coupled wave system.

[1] C. W. F. Everitt *et al.*, Gravity probe b: Final results of a space experiment to test general relativity, Physical Review Letters **106**, 221101 (2011).

[2] I. Ciufolini and E. C. Pavlis, A confirmation of the general relativistic prediction of the lense-thirring effect, Nature **431**, 958 (2004).

[3] I. Ciufolini *et al.*, Test of general relativity and measurement of the lense-thirring effect with two earth satellites, Science **279**, 2100 (1998).

[4] G. D. Partin, The lattice field medium: A computational substrate for emergent physics, Preprint (2025), lFM-PAPER-001.