

Figure 1: System Architecture

This flowchart illustrates the complete "Solomon Protocol" system, from user input through dual-processing assistants, evaluation, and human arbitration, to the final output.




Figure 2: Interpretive Debt Cycle

This state diagram depicts a cycle of bureaucratic failure and "interpretive debt," showing how the Solomon Protocol acts as a circuit breaker to intervene and resolve the user's forced choice.




Figure 3: Vignette - Temporal Conflict

A specific example flowchart showing a conflict between "Expansion" (Apply Now) and "Containment" (Do Not Apply) logic regarding an application timeline, resolved by an adjudication that synthesises a new, correct action.

Figure 4: Vignette - Moral Double Bind

This diagram illustrates a "double bind" scenario where two standard advice paths lead to unacceptable risks. An adjudicator exercises a "moral veto" to create a "third path" solution.




Figure 5: User Decision Abandonment Rates

A bar chart comparing the percentage of cases abandoned in an unmediated system (33%) versus the Solomon Protocol (0%), highlighting the system's effectiveness.




Figure 6: Distribution of Contradiction Types

A pie chart showing the distribution of different types of contradictions encountered, with "Temporal Mismatch" being the most common.