



Assumptions to the Annual Energy Outlook 2025: Renewable Fuels Module

April 2025

The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), the statistical and analytical agency within the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), prepared this report. By law, our data, analyses, and forecasts are independent of approval by any other officer or employee of the U.S. Government. The views in this report do not represent those of DOE or any other federal agencies.

Table of Contents

Renewable Fuels Module	1
Technologies	1
Utility-scale electric power generation	1
Non-utility-scale renewable energy uses	2
Capital costs	2
Solar Submodule	3
Background	3
Assumptions	4
Technology	4
Cost	4
Resources	5
Other	6
Wind Energy Submodule	6
Background	6
Assumptions	6
Technology	6
Cost	7
Resources	7
Other	8
Offshore wind	8
Technology	8
Cost	9
Resources	9
Other	9
Geothermal Electricity Submodule	10
Background	10
Technology	10
Cost	10
Resources	10
Other	10

Biomass Submodule.....	11
Background	11
Technology.....	11
Cost	11
Resources.....	11
Other	12
Landfill Gas (LFG) Submodule	12
Background	12
Resources.....	12
Other	12
Conventional Hydroelectricity Submodule.....	13
Background	13
Assumptions.....	13
Technology.....	13
Cost	13
Resources.....	13
Other	13
Legislation and regulations.....	13
Renewable electricity tax credits	14
State clean energy standard programs and capacity targets.....	15
Notes and Sources	17

Renewable Fuels Module

The National Energy Modeling System's (NEMS) Renewable Fuels Module (RFM) provides supply and technology inputs for natural resources. We use these inputs to project new utility-scale U.S. electric-generating capacity that uses renewable energy resources. The RFM has six submodules¹ that represent various renewable energy resources:

- Biomass
- Geothermal
- Conventional hydroelectricity
- Landfill gas (LFG) and Municipal Solid Waste (MSW)
- Solar (thermal and photovoltaic)
- Wind (offshore and onshore)

The submodules of the RFM interact primarily with the [Electricity Market Module \(EMM\)](#) within NEMS. The EMM represents electricity capacity planning, dispatching, and pricing. Because the EMM is highly integrated with the RFM, the final outputs (consumption and market penetration over time) for renewable energy technologies depend largely on the EMM. The RFM also interacts with the Renewable Storage Submodule (REStore) to estimate the impact of energy storage on dispatching electricity and the hourly capacity factors of non-dispatchable renewable technologies for capacity credit calculations for each of the modeled electricity regions.

Because some types of biomass fuel can be used for either electricity generation or for liquid fuels production (such as ethanol), the RFM also interacts with the Liquid Fuels Market Module (LFMM). The LFMM represents some additional biomass feedstocks that are used primarily for liquid fuels production.

We developed projections for residential and commercial grid-connected photovoltaic (PV) systems in the end use demand modules, and they are not included in the RFM; more details are available in the [Commercial Demand Module \(CDM\)](#) and [Residential Demand Module \(RDM\)](#) sections of this report. Descriptions of biomass energy production in industrial settings, such as the pulp and paper industries, are in the [Industrial Demand Module \(IDM\)](#) section of the report.

Technologies

Utility-scale electric power generation

The RFM considers only grid-connected, central-station electric-generating systems that use renewable electricity sources:

- Biomass
- Geothermal
- Conventional hydroelectricity
- LFG and MSW
- Solar (thermal and PV)
- Wind (offshore and onshore)

Each submodule provides specific data or estimates that characterize the respective renewable source. The EMM evaluates technologies, including the build and dispatch decisions. [Table 2](#) in the EMM documentation summarizes the technology cost and performance values.

Non-utility-scale renewable energy uses

In addition to projections for renewable energy used in central-station electricity generation, the *Annual Energy Outlook 2025* (AEO2025) projects non-utility-scale renewable energy consumption for:

- Solar residential and commercial electricity production
- Solar residential and commercial hot water heating
- Wood burning for industrial and residential space heating
- Biofuels blending for transportation fuels
- Residential and commercial geothermal (ground-source) heat pumps

Assumptions for these projections are in the [Residential Demand Module](#), [Commercial Demand Module](#), [Industrial Demand Module](#), and [Liquid Fuels Market Module](#) reports. The projections do not include additional, minor renewable energy applications that occur outside of energy markets, such as:

- Direct solar thermal industrial applications
- Direct lighting
- Off-grid electricity generation
- Heat from geothermal resources used directly (for example, district heating and greenhouses)

Capital costs

The EMM assumptions documentation describes the methodology we used to determine initial capital costs, which are based on cost estimates developed in a [2024 report prepared by Sargent & Lundy](#). The costs are adjusted for assumed technology learning from any capacity added since 2023 and for general inflation and cost escalation for key commodity inputs. These cost estimates used a consistent estimation methodology across nearly all electric-generating technologies to develop cost and performance characteristics for technologies that we wanted to consider in the EMM. We did not use the costs the consultant developed for geothermal and hydroelectric plants because we used previously developed site-specific costs for those technologies. We updated inputs for all other technologies listed in [Table 2](#) in the EMM chapter of this assumptions report.

Except where noted, the overnight costs shown in [Table 2](#) in the EMM Assumptions represent the estimated cost of building a plant before adjusting for regional cost factors. Overnight costs exclude interest expenses during plant construction and development. Although not presented separately, as in previous AEOs, the base overnight costs include project contingency, which accounts for undefined project scope and pricing uncertainty and for owners' cost components. Technologies with limited commercial experience may include a technological optimism factor to account for the tendency during technology research and development to underestimate the full engineering and development costs for new technologies or to represent first-of-a-kind costs needed to develop the infrastructure required to support future development.

Several factors affect capital costs for renewable fuels technologies. For geothermal, hydroelectric, solar PV, and wind resources, we assume capital costs to develop the resources depend on the quality, accessibility, or other site-specific factors in the areas with usable resources. These factors can include:

- Additional costs associated with reduced resource quality
- The need to build or upgrade transmission capacity from remote resource areas to load centers
- Local impediments to permitting, equipment transport, and construction in good resource areas
- Inadequate infrastructure
- Rough terrain

To accommodate unexpected demand growth as a result of a rapid nationwide buildup in a single year, we use short-term cost adjustment factors to increase technology capital costs, reflecting limitations on the infrastructure (for example, limits on manufacturing, resource assessment, and construction expertise). These factors, which we apply to all new electric-generating capacity, are a function of past production rates and are further described in [Electricity Market Module of the National Energy Modeling System: Model Documentation](#).

We also assume costs associated with construction commodities, such as bulk metals and concrete, affect all new capacity types. Although a generic construction cost index is not available within NEMS, capital costs are specifically linked to the projections for the metals producer-price index found in the [Macroeconomic Activity Module](#) of NEMS. Independent of the other two factors, we assume capital costs for all electric-generating technologies, including renewable technologies, decline because of growth in installed capacity for each technology. For a description of NEMS algorithms that reduce generating technologies' capital costs as more units enter service (learning), see [Technological optimism and learning](#) in the EMM assumptions.

Renewable technologies may also qualify for certain tax credit provisions that reduce taxes paid, based on either initial investment costs or on annual energy production. More detailed description of tax credit and other regulatory assumptions are described in the [Legislation and Regulation](#) section of this report. A detailed description of the RFM is available in [Renewable Fuels Module of the National Energy Modeling System: Model Documentation](#).

Solar Submodule

Background

The RFM Solar Submodule primarily sets the capacity factors for the solar technologies and tracks available solar resources. It represents both utility-scale solar PV and solar thermal (also referred to as concentrating solar power, or CSP) resources. Since AEO2021, in addition to the standalone solar PV system, we have included a combined solar PV and battery-storage hybrid system as a generating technology option for capacity expansion. The RFM tracks solar capacity within a region by groups based on both resource quality and upgrade costs, moving to the next best solar resource and cost group when one category is exhausted. Initial solar resources are based on annual average solar irradiation. The upgrade costs are based on spur line, grid reinforcement costs, and regional tax credit provisions. The solar resource data for the available land area, average annual capacity factors, and added costs are derived from NREL.² A fixed power density assumption converts the available land area to capacity. Solar

sub-annual capacity factor profiles are represented by 12-month by 24-hour annual averages derived from NREL data.

The Solar Energy Submodule passes the economically available solar capacity, capital cost multipliers, and its associated capacity factors to the EMM for capacity planning and dispatch decisions. Based on these characteristics, the EMM determines how much power generation capacity is built from solar energy. Starting in AEO2025, we removed solar thermal from the capacity expansion options, but existing units remain available for dispatch with capacity factors as determined by the RFM.

Assumptions

Technology

- The RFM includes only grid-connected utility-scale generation. The CDM and RDM include projections for end-use solar PV generation.
- The solar PV technology represented includes a 150 MW AC (alternating current) array of flat-plate PV modules with single-axis tracking. All EMM regions assume that solar PV is available.
- The solar PV plus battery storage hybrid technology (PV-battery hybrid) includes the same 150 MW AC array as the PV with single-axis tracking technology. It also includes a 50-MW capacity, 200-megawatthour (four-hour duration) lithium-ion battery storage system. The PV-battery hybrid system is DC (direct current) tightly coupled, meaning both the PV and battery share a single DC-to-AC inverter and the battery can only charge using energy from the solar PV, not the grid.
- The PV-battery hybrid uses the same constant generation profile as the standalone PV technology, but the battery can store additional available PV energy, which the inverter would otherwise clip in a standalone PV system. We created this additional available energy profile for each EMM region by modeling a standalone PV system using NREL's System Advisor Model (SAM)³ and then converting the clipped energy into 12x24 (average hour for each month of the year) capacity factor matrices as input for the RFM.

Cost

- For the single-axis tracking PV and PV-battery hybrid systems used in NEMS, we based the cost data on a report by Sargent & Lundy called [Capital Cost and Performance Characteristic Estimates for Utility Scale Electric Power Generating Technologies](#), published in 2024.
- The EMM assumes the base cost in the Sargent & Lundy report for the PV-battery hybrid technology, which represents an AC-coupled PV-battery hybrid system.
- Regional cost adjustments reflect location-based cost adjustments in each EMM region for PV technologies, as provided by Sargent & Lundy.
- As with all technologies, solar technology capital costs decline with increasing market builds (learning). The levelized capital costs may also change in response to:
 - Average solar irradiance
 - Availability of quality resources
 - Distance from existing transmission lines (e.g., spur lines)
 - Transmission network upgrade costs
 - Regional tax credit provisions

- Other market variables
- Upgrade costs include transmission spur line costs, network reinforcement costs, and regional tax credit provisions. The upgrade costs are converted into capital-cost multipliers and start at a 90% multiplier applied to the overall capital cost (or a 10% reduction). The multiplier then increases to 100%, 110%, 125%, and finally 160% to represent the aggregation of these factors.
 - Transmission spur line costs and network reinforcement costs are regionally determined based on NREL data.²
 - Regional tax credit provisions are based on the Energy Community Bonus Credit provision within the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) (2022).⁴ This tax credit is based on projects developed within a defined area, such as a fossil fuel community or as a part of a brownfield site. Fossil fuel communities are based on a National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) 2023 report.⁵ Brownfield sites are based on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's brownfield site database tool.⁶

Resources

- Available land for developing solar projects is based on solar resource data developed by NREL. We reduce available solar resources by excluding all lands not suited for solar installations because of items related to airspace and defense, environmental protections, infrastructure, regulatory requirements, and terrain. You can find a complete list of exclusions in the NREL Reference Access Assumptions documentation.⁷
- Most utility-scale solar PV systems are built with an array-to-inverter power ratio (inverter loading ratio, or ILR) of between 1.2 and 1.3.⁸ Increased ILRs introduce solar clipping, where solar generation is lost by exceeding the inverter's rated output power. Starting in AEO2022, we model solar PV capacity factors with an ILR of 1.3 by using the NREL's SAM to develop a more accurate time-of-day and seasonal output profile.
- We represent six resource classes for solar PV. Solar resource Class 6 represents resources with a capacity factor of 34%. The interval between resources classes is 3%, with Class 1 representing resources with a capacity factor of 18%.
- Table 1 summarizes, for all EMM regions combined, the land available area by resource quality and cost multiplier for solar development. Proportions of total solar resources in each category vary by EMM region.

Table 1. National solar energy resource supply curve assumptions by resource class and capital cost multiplier

square kilometers

Capital cost multiplier	Resource Class 6	Resource Class 5	Resource Class 4	Resource Class 3	Resource Class 2	Resource Class 1
0.90	787	2,484	2,817	4,360	2,079	225
1.00	9,525	30,048	34,074	52,739	25,148	2,721
1.10	39,413	124,332	140,990	218,222	104,058	11,261
1.25	80,493	253,922	287,942	445,672	212,516	22,998
1.60	31,175	98,346	111,522	172,612	82,309	8,907

Data source: U.S. Energy Information Administration

Other

- Power density assumptions for solar PV facilities are based on values taken from NREL's System Advisory Model (SAM),³ which assumes that for a solar facility, every 100-watt DC requires 0.74 square meters of land area.
- For utility-scale solar PV projects (both stand-alone and hybrid systems), we assume a two-year construction lead time for start of construction to project completion.
- Existing capacity and planned capacity additions are based on our survey data from [Form EIA-860, Annual Electric Generator Report](#), and [Form EIA-860M, Monthly Update to the Annual Electric Generator Report](#). The module includes planned capacity additions under construction or with an expected completion date before the end of 2026, according to respondents' planned completion dates.

Wind Energy Submodule

Background

The RFM Wind Submodule primarily sets the capacity factors for the wind technologies and tracks available wind resources. It represents both offshore and onshore wind resources. The RFM tracks wind capacity within a region by groups based on both resource quality and upgrade costs, moving to the next best wind resource and cost group when one category is exhausted. Initial wind resources are based on annual average wind speeds at a hub height of 90 meters.⁹ The upgrade costs are based on spur line, grid reinforcement costs, and regional tax credit provisions. The wind resource data for the available land area, average annual wind speeds, and added costs are derived from NREL.¹⁰ A fixed power density assumption converts the available land area to capacity. Wind resource groups increase over time based on learning. Onshore wind sub-annual capacity factor profiles are represented with 12-month by 24-hour annual averages derived from NREL data. The technological performance, cost, and other wind data used in NEMS are based on the Sargent & Lundy report, [Capital Cost and Performance Characteristic Estimates for Utility Scale Electric Power Generating Technologies](#), published in 2024.

The Wind Energy Submodule in the RFM passes the economically available wind capacity, capital cost multipliers, and its associated capacity factors to the EMM for capacity planning and dispatch decisions. Based on these characteristics, the EMM decides how much capacity is built from wind energy.

Assumptions

Technology

- The RFM includes only grid-connected utility-scale wind generation. We include projections for distributed wind generation in the CDM and RDM.
- Initial cost and performance assumptions are based on a 200-MW onshore wind facility. The wind turbines are rated at 2.8 MW with 125-meter rotor diameters and 90-meter hub heights. But given that the model has endogenous capacity factor learning-by-doing for wind technologies, the submodule assumes that this learning would be achieved through some combination of larger turbines, longer rotor diameters, and taller hub heights. Projected wind

facilities coming online in 2050 would likely have different technology and performance configurations.

- In terms of technology improvements, we calculate the capacity factors for each wind class as a function of overall wind market growth (learning). We implement an algorithm that increases the capacity factor within a wind class as more units enter service.
- Despite increasing performance of the technology, the modeled capacity factors for new builds may decline within a given region as better wind resources are developed first, and less desirable sites remain.

Cost

- We base the cost estimates for this technology on the Sargent & Lundy report, [Capital Cost and Performance Characteristic Estimates for Utility Scale Electric Power Generating Technologies](#), published in 2024.
- Regional cost adjustments reflect location-based cost adjustments in each EMM region for wind technologies, as provided by Sargent & Lundy.
- As with all technologies, wind technology capital costs decline with increasing market builds (learning). The levelized capital costs may also change in response to:
 - Average wind speed
 - Availability of quality resources
 - Distance from existing transmission lines
 - Transmission network upgrade costs (e.g., spur lines)
 - Regional tax credit provisions
 - Other market variables
- Upgrade costs include transmission spur line costs, network reinforcement costs, and regional tax credit provisions. The upgrade costs are converted into capital cost multipliers and start at a 90% multiplier to the overall capital cost (or a 10% reduction) and then increase to 100%, 110%, 125%, and finally 160% to represent the aggregation of these factors.
 - Transmission spur line costs and network reinforcement costs are regionally determined based on NREL data.¹⁰
 - Regional tax credit provisions are based on the Energy Community Bonus Credit provision within the Inflation Reduction Act (2022).⁴ This tax credit is based on projects developed within a defined area, such as a fossil fuel community or as a part of a brownfield site. Fossil fuel communities are based on a National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) 2023 report.⁵ Brownfield sites are based on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's brownfield site database tool.⁶

Resources

- Available land for developing wind projects is based on wind resource data developed by NREL. We reduce available wind resources by excluding all windy lands not suited for wind turbines because of items related to airspace and defense, environmental protections, infrastructure, regulatory requirements, and terrain. You can find a complete list of exclusions in the NREL Reference Access Assumptions documentation.⁷ We further reduce available land area by excluding low-speed wind class areas less than Class 3.

- We represent four resource classes for onshore wind. We assume the capacity factors for an onshore wind Class 6 site starts at 47% and increases to as high as 55% over the projection period. Wind classes increment by five percentage points and include wind Classes 3 to 6.
- Table 2 summarizes for all EMM regions combined, the available land area by resource quality and cost multiplier for onshore wind development. Proportions of total onshore wind resources in each category vary by EMM region.

Table 2. National onshore wind energy resource supply curve assumptions by resource class and capital cost multiplier

square kilometers

Capital cost multiplier	Resource Class 6	Resource Class 5	Resource Class 4	Resource Class 3
0.90	10	182	619	516
1.00	318	5,940	20,237	16,857
1.10	1,870	34,888	118,864	99,015
1.25	3,443	64,235	218,853	182,307
1.60	493	9,201	31,348	26,113

Data source: U.S. Energy Information Administration

Other

- Because of downwind turbulence and other aerodynamic effects, the model assumes an average spacing between turbine rows of 5 rotor diameters and a lateral spacing between turbines of 10 rotor diameters. This spacing requirement determines the amount of power that wind resources can generate (about 6.5 MW per square kilometer of windy land), which the EMM factors into requests for generating capacity.
- We assume a three-year construction lead time for start of construction to project completion for onshore wind and four years for offshore wind.
- Existing capacity and planned capacity additions are based on our survey data from Form EIA-860, *Annual Electric Generator Report*, and Form EIA-860M, *Monthly Update to the Annual Electric Generator Report*. The model includes planned capacity additions under construction or with an expected completion date before the end of 2026, according to respondents' planned completion dates.

Offshore wind

The RFM represents offshore wind resources as a separate technology from onshore wind resources, although they are modeled with a similar model structure as onshore wind, as described in more detail above. Because of the unique challenges of offshore construction and the somewhat different resource quality, the assumptions for capital cost, learning-by-doing cost reductions, and the resource access cost differ significantly from onshore wind.

Technology

- Initial cost and performance assumptions are based on a 900-MW offshore wind facility. The wind turbines are rated at 15 MW and have fixed-bottom monopile foundations.

- Because of maintenance challenges in the offshore environment, we assume that performance for a given annual average wind power density is somewhat decreased by reduced turbine availability. Offsetting this challenge, however, are resource areas with higher overall turbine power density than what we assume is available onshore.

Cost

- We base the cost estimates for this technology on the Sargent & Lundy report, [Capital Cost and Performance Characteristic Estimates for Utility Scale Electric Power Generating Technologies](#), published in 2024.
- Regional cost adjustments reflect location-based cost adjustments in each EMM region for wind technologies, as provided by Sargent & Lundy.
- Cost reductions in offshore technology result, in part, from learning reductions in onshore wind technology as well as from cost reductions unique to offshore installations, such as foundation design and construction techniques. Because offshore technology is significantly less mature than onshore wind technology, offshore-specific technology learning occurs at a somewhat faster rate than for onshore technology. A technological optimism factor is included for offshore wind to account for the substantial cost of establishing the unique construction infrastructure required for this technology, as indicated in [The Electricity Market Module of the National Energy Modeling System: Model Documentation](#).

Resources

- As with onshore wind resources, we assume offshore wind resources have an upward-sloping cost supply curve, which is affected primarily by water depth. Offshore supply costs are also affected by the same factors, in part, that determine the onshore supply curve (such as distance to load centers, environmental concerns, and variation in terrain [in this case, seabed]).
- We represent four resource classes for offshore wind. We assume the capacity factors for an offshore wind Class 7 site starts at 50% and increases to as high as 58% over the projection period. Wind classes increment between five and six percentage points and include wind Classes 4 to 7.

Other

- We assume the spacing requirement that determines the amount of power offshore wind resources can generate is about 5 MW per square kilometer of windy area, which the EMM factors into requests for generating capacity.
- We assume a four-year construction lead time from start of construction to project completion.
- Existing capacity and planned capacity additions are based on our survey data from Form EIA-860, *Annual Electric Generator Report*, and Form EIA-860M, *Monthly Update to the Annual Electric Generator Report*. The model includes planned capacity additions under construction or with an expected completion date before the end of 2026, according to respondents' planned completion dates.

Geothermal Electricity Submodule

Background

We base the geothermal supply curve data on NREL's updated U.S. geothermal supply curve assessment. The U.S. Geologic Service (USGS) uses the Geothermal Electricity Technology Evaluation Model (GETEM) (a techno-economic systems analysis tool) to estimate the costs for resources identified in its 2008 geothermal resource assessment.^{11, 12}

Assumptions

Technology

- We assume a four-year construction lead time for start of construction to projected completion for a geothermal facility.
- We base existing capacity and planned capacity additions on our survey data from Form EIA-860, *Annual Electric Generator Report*, and Form EIA-860M, *Monthly Update to the Annual Electric Generator Report*. The model includes planned capacity additions under construction or that we expect to be completed before the end of 2026, according to respondents' planned completion dates.
- NREL data also include two types of technology—flash and binary cycle—and their capacity factors range from 90% to 95%. We model only binary cycle as our geothermal capacity technology.

Cost

- In the past, our cost estimates were broken down into cost-specific components. This level of detail is not available in the NREL data. NREL provides a site-specific capital cost and a fixed operations and maintenance cost.

Resources

- We only consider resources with temperatures higher than 110°C. We use 125 of these known hydrothermal resources in the geothermal supply curve. NREL classifies each of these sites as *near-field enhanced geothermal energy system potential*, which are areas around the identified site that lack the permeability of fluids that are present in the hydrothermal potential. We assume, therefore, that the supply curve has 250 total points because each of the 125 hydrothermal sites has corresponding enhanced geothermal system (EGS) potential.

Other

- Some data from the 2006 report, *The Future of Geothermal Energy* (prepared for Idaho National Laboratory by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology)¹³ are also incorporated into the NREL report; however, the data apply more to deep, dry, and unknown geothermal resources, which we did not include in the geothermal supply curve.

Biomass Submodule

Background

NEMS models biomass consumed for electricity generation in two parts. The IDM includes capacity in the wood products and paper industries (also known as captive capacity) as cogeneration. We represent generation in the electric power sector in the EMM. The RFM calculates the fuel costs and passes them to the EMM, and we assume capital and operating costs and performance characteristics, as shown in [Table 2](#) of the EMM assumptions document. The EMM provides fuel costs in sets of regional supply schedules. The LFMM projects ethanol production and gradually decreases the quantities and prices of biomass consumed for ethanol from the EMM regional supply schedules.

Assumptions

Technology

- The conversion technology represents a 50-MW dedicated combustion plant. Starting in AEO2025, we included a 95% carbon capture and sequestration system. The total auxiliary power required by the plant is approximately 15.5 MW, of which 9 MW is used by the carbon capture system. This reduces the plant's 65.5-MW (gross) steam turbine generator to 50 MW of net output. The net plant heat rate for the 95% carbon capture case is 19,965 British thermal units per kilowatt hour (Btu/kWh), HHV basis.

Cost

- We base the cost estimates for this technology on the Sargent & Lundy report, [Capital Cost and Performance Characteristic Estimates for Utility Scale Electric Power Generating Technologies](#), published in 2024.
- Regional cost adjustments reflect location-based cost adjustments in each EMM region for biomass technologies, as provided by Sargent & Lundy.

Resources

- Fuel supply schedules consist of four fuel sources: forestry materials from federal forests, forestry materials from non-federal forests, wood residues, and agricultural residues and energy crops. We calculate feedstock potential from agricultural residues and dedicated energy crops from a version of the Policy Analysis Systems Model (POLYSYS) that uses the same oil price information as the rest of NEMS.
- We calculate forestry residues from inventories conducted by the U.S. Forest Service and Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). The forestry materials component is made up of logging residues, rough rotten salvageable dead wood, and excess small pole trees.¹⁴ The maximum resource from forestry is fixed, based on *U.S. Billion-Ton Update: Biomass Supply for a Bioenergy and Bioproducts Industry*, prepared by ORNL.¹⁴
- The wood residue component consists of primary mill residues; silvicultural trimmings; and urban wood, such as pallets, construction waste, and demolition debris that are not otherwise used.¹⁵ Urban wood waste is determined dynamically based on activity in the industry sectors that produces usable biomass feedstocks, passed to the RFM from the IDM.

- Agricultural residues are wheat straw, corn stover, and a number of other major agricultural crops.¹⁴ Energy crop data are for hybrid poplar, willow, and switchgrass grown on existing cropland. Agricultural resource supply (agricultural residues and energy crops) is determined dynamically and available supplies within the model at any point may not reflect the maximum potential for that region. POLYSYS assumes that the additional cropland needed for energy crops will displace existing pasturelands.

Other

- Biomass cofiring can account for up to 15% of fuel used in coal-fired generating plants.
- We assume a four-year construction lead time for start of construction to project completion for biomass facilities.
- We base existing capacity and planned capacity additions on our survey data from Form EIA-860, *Annual Electric Generator Report*, and Form EIA-860M, *Monthly Update to the Annual Electric Generator Report*. The model includes planned capacity additions under construction or that we expect to be completed before the end of 2026, according to respondents' planned completion dates.

Landfill Gas (LFG) Submodule

Background

LFG-to-electricity capacity competes with other technologies using supply curves that are based on the amount of high, low, and very low methane-producing landfills in each EMM region. Starting with AEO2021, we model LFG generation facilities as primarily built to serve municipal waste disposal markets with electric power generation as a secondary product (rather than as a capacity expansion option to the electric power sector). Based on the historical ratio between generation and municipal waste landfill capacity, the LFG Submodule produces year-specific streams of national landfill capacity for LFG development from both new landfills and landfills with existing LFG projects. The national LFG generation estimates are proportioned to EMM regions.

Assumptions

Resources

- GDP and population are the drivers in the econometric equation that establishes the LFG supply.
- We use EPA's Landfill Methane Outreach Program (LMOP) landfill database¹⁶ to determine available methane resources (in tonnage and five-year increments) and project-development timelines. We use LMOP's *Candidate* landfills for new landfills and use *Probable* landfills only if the module has exhausted the potential from *Candidate* landfills.

Other

- We base existing capacity and planned capacity additions on our survey data from Form EIA-860, *Annual Electric Generator Report*, and Form EIA-860M, *Monthly Update to the Annual Electric Generator Report*. The model includes planned capacity additions under construction or that we expect to be completed before the end of 2026, according to respondents' planned completion dates.

Conventional Hydroelectricity Submodule

Background

The Conventional Hydroelectricity Submodule represents potential for new U.S. conventional hydroelectric capacity of 1 MW or greater from new dams, from existing dams without hydroelectricity, and from additional capacity at existing hydroelectric dams.

Assumptions

Technology

- The supply curve of potential new hydroelectric capacity includes both seasonal storage and run-of-river applications. It also includes both undeveloped sites and sites with existing dam, diversion, or generating facilities.
- The supply excludes pumped-storage hydroelectric capacity, but we model the operation of existing pumped hydro facilities.
- The supply neither considers offshore or in-stream hydroelectric efficiency or operational improvements without capital additions, nor does it consider additional potential from refurbishing existing hydroelectric capacity.

Cost

- We estimate costs for each site in the resource database, as indicated in the Resources section.

Resources

- We derive the summary hydroelectric potential from reported lists of potential new sites assembled from Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) license applications and other survey information and from estimates of capital and other costs prepared by the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL).¹⁷
- For AEO2018, we updated resource characteristics for existing non-powered dams based on ORNL's *An Assessment of Energy Potential at Non-Powered Dams in the United States*.

Other

- For annual performance estimates (capacity factors), we use the generally lower, but site-specific, FERC estimates rather than the general estimates prepared by INEEL, and the supply includes only sites with estimated costs of 10 cents per kilowatthour (kWh) or lower.
- We base existing capacity and planned capacity additions on our survey data from Form EIA-860, *Annual Electric Generator Report*, and Form EIA-860M, *Monthly Update to the Annual Electric Generator Report*. The model includes planned capacity additions under construction or that we expect to be completed before the end of 2026, according to respondents' planned completion dates.

Legislation and regulations

AEO2025 represents, to the extent possible within the NEMS model framework, current laws and regulations related to renewable generating technologies. Because of the time lags involved in model development and publication, laws and regulations in effect as of December 2024, are included in the Reference case and other applicable cases of AEO2025. Changes to laws and regulations resulting from

executive action, judicial review, or the legislative process after December 2024, are not included in AEO2025 but will be included as possible in future AEO publications.

A more detailed list of tax credit provisions, state-level requirements, and other legislation is included in the [Summary of Legislation and Regulations Included in the Annual Energy Outlook](#) report. Provisions related to the new EPA regulations under Section 111 of the Clean Air Act are described in [The Electricity Market Module of the National Energy Modeling System: Model Documentation](#).

Renewable electricity tax credits

The federal tax credits available to certain renewable electric-generating technologies initiated in the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPACT1992) and amended in the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPACT2005) have been further amended through a series of acts that we have implemented in NEMS over time. AEO2025 reflects the most recent changes implemented through the Inflation Reduction Act of 2022 (IRA).⁴

The production tax credit (PTC) is a per-kilowatthour tax credit on electricity sold for a specific number of years after the facility has been placed in service. The investment tax credit (ITC) is a tax credit applied, on a percentage basis, to the cost of building certain electric-generating assets. The IRA modifies and extends the tax credits that were previously scheduled to reduce or expire for certain technologies. Furthermore, the IRA creates technology-neutral tax credits for facilities with zero emissions placed in service starting in 2025. The ITC and PTC are exclusive of one another, and the same facility cannot claim both. The clean energy ITC has a base value of 6%, and the clean energy PTC has a base value of 0.3 cents/kWh and is adjusted for inflation each year. We assume all qualifying technologies meet the prevailing wage and apprenticeship requirements for a bonus credit, increasing the base tax credits by five times.

In addition, the IRA created bonus credit provisions for the ITC and PTC, if certain conditions are met. Onshore and offshore wind technologies and biomass facilities also meet the domestic content requirements for a 10% additional bonus tax credit. We have implemented the 10% bonus credit for the energy communities for solar and wind technologies as applicable in each region.

We specifically implement the tax credits for qualifying sources as follows:

- Along with the guidance on the beginning-of-construction requirement and the Continuity Safe Harbor provided in Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Notice 2021-41,¹⁸ we assume standalone solar PV facilities will claim the PTC for the first 10 years of operation. Without further guidance on tax credit for PV-battery, hybrid facility, we assume for AEO2025 that PV-battery, hybrid facilities will be eligible for and will claim the PTC.
- The IRA eliminates the previous phaseout schedule of available tax credits for onshore wind facilities. We assume that onshore wind projects will claim the PTC during the plant's first 10 years of service, based on start of construction and project completion, consistent with current IRS guidance. In addition, we assume onshore wind projects meet the domestic content requirements for additional tax credits.
- Along with the guidance on the beginning of construction requirement and the Continuity Safe Harbor provided in IRS Notice 2021-05,¹⁸ we assume offshore wind projects will claim the ITC because of the high capital costs for those projects. In addition, we assume offshore wind

projects will satisfy the domestic content requirements by 2032 and after for additional tax credits.

- Starting in the 2025 online year, we assume:
 - Biomass projects will claim the PTC for the first 10 years of operation and also receive a 10% bonus credit for domestic content.
 - Geothermal projects will claim the ITC.
 - Hydroelectric projects will claim the ITC instead of the PTC as previously allowed.

The tax credits are available to all eligible technologies until 2032, after which the clean energy tax credits are phased out if an emissions reduction threshold is met. See [The Electricity Market Module of the National Energy Modeling System: Model Documentation](#) for further information on tax credit phase-out assumptions.

Domestically manufactured components also qualify for the Advanced Manufacturing Production Tax Credit (45X)⁴, a PTC that provide an incentive to produce and sell eligible components for certain qualifying energy systems. The Advanced Manufacturing Tax Credit is transferrable and is intended for all or some of the credit to be passed to the end installer of the components for the energy systems. We assume that capital costs for onshore wind facilities will fall slightly as a result of this credit. We assume that after legal and administrative fees, the residual value of the credit is split evenly between the manufacturer and the end installer of the energy system. The value to the end installer is realized as a reduction in overnight capital cost equal to the value of their credit share, which we assume equals \$12.60/kW and it is applied to the starting capital cost assumptions. These cost savings are assumed to continue after the expiration of the credit. For other generating technologies, we assume the tax credit value would be offset by the increased cost for domestic manufacturing.

Bioenergy with carbon capture and sequestration (BECCS) is eligible to receive the Credit for Carbon Oxide Sequestration determined under Section 45Q of the IRA.¹⁹ The credit amount is based on the per metric ton of qualified carbon oxide captured and sequestered. You can find more information on the value of the Section 45Q tax credit assumed in NEMS in Carbon Capture, Allocation, Transportation, and Sequestration (CCATS) Module Assumptions and Legislative and Regulation Assumptions, both available [on the Assumptions page](#).

State clean energy standard programs and capacity targets

To the extent possible, AEO2025 reflects state laws and regulations enacted as of December 2024, which establish minimum requirements for renewable generation or capacity for load-serving entities operating in the state. These requirements represent clean energy standards (CES). AEO2025 projections do not include voluntary goals but do include clean energy targets set forth by state-level executive branch entities.

We estimate zero-emission generation targets by using the zero-emission generation targets in each state within the NEMS region. In many cases where regional boundaries intersect state boundaries, state requirements are divided among relevant regions based on sales. Required generation in each state is then summed to the regional level for each year to determine a regional compliant generation share of total sales.

We model any non-discretionary limitations on meeting the generation or capacity target to the extent possible. However, because of the complexity of the various requirements, the regional target aggregation, and the nature of some of the limitations, we estimate compliance.

Compliance enforcement provisions vary significantly across states, and most states have procedures for waiving compliance, such as alternative compliance payments, penalty payments, discretionary regulatory waivers, or retail price impact limits. Because of the variety of mechanisms, even within a given electricity market region, we do not model these limits.

Most states already meet or exceed their required renewable generation mix, based on qualified generation or purchases of renewable energy credits (RECs).²⁰ A number of factors helped make CES compliance attainable for generators, including:

- New CES-qualified generation capacity timed to take advantage of federal incentives
- Lower cost of wind, solar, and other renewable technologies
- State and local policies that either reduce costs (for example, equipment rebates) or increase revenue streams (for example, net metering) associated with CES-eligible technologies
- Credit trading among compliant entities within a state and across state boundaries

AEO2025 also reflects capacity mandates for battery storage and offshore wind for states with specified requirements for those technologies. State-level targets are aggregated for the respective [electricity market module region](#). Targets may be adjusted based on installed or planned capacity assumed to come online. Assigned capacity by state may also be adjusted so that adjacent states may contribute toward another state-level mandate, based on analyst assessment of the rule and of available offshore land area. State goals with no enforcement mechanism are not included. The totals for additional capacity added by region are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Additional offshore wind and battery storage state-level mandated capacity by 2050 by electricity market module region

gigawatts (GW)

	ISNE	NYCW	NYUP	PJME	PJMD	MISE	total
Battery storage	1	0	6	5	3	3	18
Offshore wind	9	7	0	21	5	0	43

Data source: U.S. Energy Information Administration

Note: ISNE=Northeast Power Coordinating Council/ New England, NYCW=Northeast Power Coordinating Council/ New York City & Long Island, NYUP=Northeast Power Coordinating Council/Upstate New York, PJME= PJM/East, PJMD=PJM/Dominion, MISE=Midcontinent ISO/East

Notes and Sources

- ¹ For a comprehensive description of each submodule, see U.S. Energy Information Administration, *Renewable Fuels Module of the National Energy Modeling System: Model Documentation*, available here: <https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/aeo/nems/documentation>.
- ² National Renewable Energy Laboratory, *United States Utility-Scale PV Supply Curves 2023, Reference Access 2030 Moderate Supply Curve*, (November 2024), <https://data.openei.org/submissions/6001>.
- ³ National Renewable Energy Laboratory, System Advisor Model, <https://sam.nrel.gov>.
- ⁴ The Inflation Reduction Act (Pub. L. No. 117-169 (2022)), Sections 45Y and 48E, Clean Electricity Production and Investment Tax Credits, <https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/5376/text>.
- ⁵ National Energy Technology Laboratory, *MSAs and Non-MSAs and their fossil fuel employment (FFE) and energy community status based off IRS Notice 2024-30*, (June 2023), <https://edx.netl.doe.gov/dataset/dbed5af6-7cf5-4a1f-89bc-a4c17e46256a/resource/b736a14f-12a7-4b9f-8f6d-236aa3a84867>.
- ⁶ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *Cleanups in My Community*, <https://www.epa.gov/cleanups/cleanups-my-community>.
- ⁷ National Renewable Energy Laboratory, *Solar Photovoltaics and Land-Based Wind Technical Potential and Supply Curves for the Contiguous United States: 2023 Edition*, (January 2024), <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy24osti/87843.pdf>.
- ⁸ The inverter loading ratio (ILR) is the ratio between the rated capacity of the DC (direct current) solar array and the AC (alternating current) power rating of the inverter.
- ⁹ The resource data provided from NREL is based on a hub height of 115 meters and a rotor diameter of 175 meters. We apply a 2.3 percentage point reduction in the NREL capacity factors to align the capacity factor assumptions with the rest of the model's wind assumptions based on a wind plant with a hub height of 90 meters and a rotor diameter of 125 meters.
- ¹⁰ National Renewable Energy Laboratory, *United States Land-based Wind Supply Curves 2023, Reference Access 2030 Moderate 115hh 170rd Supply Curve*, (November 2024), <https://data.openei.org/submissions/6119>.
- ¹¹ Augustine, C., *Updated U.S. Geothermal Supply Characterization and Representation for Market Penetration Model Input*, NREL/TP-6A20-47459 (October 2011), <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy12osti/47459.pdf>.
- ¹² The one exception applies to the Salton Sea resource area, for which we used cost estimates provided in a 2010 report on electric power sector capital costs rather than NREL.
- ¹³ Idaho National Laboratory, *The Future of Geothermal Energy: Impact of Enhanced Geothermal Systems on the United States in the 21st Century*. INL/EXT-06-11746 (2006), <https://inldigitallibrary.inl.gov/sites/sti/sti/3589644.pdf>.
- ¹⁴ U.S. Department of Energy, *U.S. Billion-Ton Update: Biomass Supply for a Bioenergy and Bioproducts Industry*, (August 2011), https://www1.eere.energy.gov/bioenergy/pdfs/billion_ton_update.pdf.
- ¹⁵ De la Torre Ugarte, D., *Biomass and bioenergy applications of the POLYSYS modeling framework*. Biomass and Bioenergy, Vol. 18 (April 2000), pp. 291–308.
- ¹⁶ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *Landfill Methane Outreach Program (LMOP)*, <https://www.epa.gov/lmop>.
- ¹⁷ Hall, Douglas G., Richard T. Hunt, Kelly S. Reeves, and Greg R. Carroll, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, *Estimation of Economic Parameters of U.S. Hydropower Resources* INEEL/EXT-03-00662 (June 2003), <https://www1.eere.energy.gov/water/pdfs/doewater-00662.pdf>.
- ¹⁸ Internal Revenue Service, *Beginning of Construction for Sections 45 and 48; Extension of Continuity Safe Harbor to Address Delays Related to COVID-19 and Clarification of the Continuity Requirement*, Notice 2021-41, (June 2021), <https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-drop/n-21-41.pdf>.
- ¹⁹ The Inflation Reduction Act (Pub. L. No. 117-169 (2022)), Sections 45Q, Clean Electricity Production and Investment Tax Credits, <https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/5376/text>.
- ²⁰ Barbose, G., *U.S. Renewables Portfolio Standards: 2021 Annual Status Report*, Lawrence Berkley National Laboratory (February 2021), https://eta-publications.lbl.gov/sites/default/files/rps_status_update-2021_early_release.pdf.