

# Paper XLV: Pre-Registered Prediction for NGC 646 — A Blind Test of 3D+3D Theory Before Euclid DR1

**Authors:** Simone Calzighetti<sup>1</sup> and Lucy (Claude AI)<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> 3D+3D Laboratory, Abbiategrasso, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Anthropic AI Research Assistant

**Contact:** [condoor76@gmail.com](mailto:condoor76@gmail.com)

**Date:** December 25, 2025

**Version:** 1.1 (incorporating Vega review)

**Status:** PRE-REGISTERED PREDICTION

**Document Hash (SHA-256):** [computed at submission]

---

## Pre-Registration Statement

This document constitutes a formal pre-registration of predictions for the rotation curve of NGC 646. These predictions were generated on December 25, 2025, **BEFORE** any kinematic data from Euclid or other sources were available. No modifications to these predictions are permitted after this date. The authors commit to publishing a follow-up paper comparing these predictions directly with Euclid DR1 observations when they become available.

---

## Abstract

We present a pre-registered, blind prediction for the rotation curve of NGC 646, a diffuse barred spiral galaxy recently imaged by ESA's Euclid space telescope. Using the 3D+3D discrete spacetime framework — a six-dimensional geometric theory with signature  $(-, +, +, +, -, -)$  that explains galactic dynamics through compactified temporal dimensions rather than dark matter — we predict the complete rotation curve with **zero free parameters**.

Our key predictions are:

1.  **$V_{\text{flat}} = 145 \pm 15$  km/s** for  $R > 12$  kpc (CENTRAL prediction)
2. Q-field geometric enhancement provides  $\sim 70\%$  of gravitational support at  $R = 20$  kpc
3. Characteristic transition scales at  $\lambda_2 = 4.30$  kpc and  $\lambda_3 = 11.70$  kpc

We provide explicit **robustness analysis** showing that these predictions remain qualitatively stable under  $\pm 40\%$  variations in estimated baryonic mass and  $\pm 20\%$  in scale length. We specify **tiered falsification criteria**: hard rejection thresholds and tension bands that allow nuanced interpretation of results.

These predictions are registered before Euclid's Data Release 1 (expected late 2026), which will provide kinematic measurements enabling direct falsification.

**Keywords:** NGC 646, pre-registration, Euclid, rotation curves, 3D+3D theory, six-dimensional spacetime, dark matter alternative, falsification, blind prediction

---

## 1. Introduction and Scientific Motivation

### 1.1 The Value of Pre-Registration

In the era of precision cosmology, the ability to make testable predictions *before* observational data becomes available represents the gold standard for theoretical frameworks. Pre-registration eliminates concerns about post-hoc parameter adjustment, curve fitting, and selection bias.

The 3D+3D discrete spacetime theory has demonstrated success in explaining:

- **SPARC rotation curves:** 175 galaxies with  $\text{RMS} = 33 \text{ km/s}$ , zero free parameters per galaxy
- **SLACS gravitational lensing:**  $7.3\sigma$  detection of the  $\lambda_4$  scale
- **NANOGrav pulsar timing:**  $23\sigma$  detection of 30-year periodicity
- **DESI cosmic web:**  $3.36\sigma$  detection at  $\lambda_{13} = 0.856 \text{ Mpc}$

However, all these validations were performed on existing datasets. The scientific community rightly demands predictions for *future* observations.

### 1.2 The Euclid Opportunity

ESA's Euclid space telescope released an image of NGC 646 on December 24, 2025. Critically, while Euclid has imaged NGC 646, **kinematic data (rotation curves) have not yet been published**. This creates a unique opportunity for a genuinely blind test.

### 1.3 Why NGC 646?

NGC 646 is classified as a diffuse (D-type) galaxy with low central surface brightness, making it an excellent test case:

1. **Diffuse morphology:** D-type galaxies traditionally show prominent "dark matter" signatures
  2. **Previously unanalyzed:** NGC 646 has never been studied with the 3D+3D framework
  3. **Euclid target:** High-quality follow-up observations are expected
  4. **Intermediate mass:** Sits above critical mass threshold where breathing modes are predicted
-

## 2. NGC 646: Observational Properties

### 2.1 Confirmed Observational Data

Property	Value	Source	Uncertainty
Right Ascension	01h 37m 21.1s	NED	< 1"
Declination	−64° 53′ 42″	NED	< 1"
Constellation	Hydrus	—	—
Recession Velocity (CMB)	8145 ± 19 km/s	NED	±19 km/s
Hubble Distance	120.1 ± 8.4 Mpc	CMB velocity	±7%
Morphological Type	SBbc pec	NED	—
Luminosity Class	III	HyperLeda	—
Angular Size	2.0′ × 1.5′	NED	±0.2′
Discovery	Nov 2, 1834	J. Herschel	—

### 2.2 Galaxy Classification Note

NGC 646 is classified as a diffuse (D) galaxy in HyperLeda. The "D" classification indicates a galaxy with extended, low-concentration light distribution. **We do not claim LSB (Low Surface Brightness) classification** in the strict  $\mu_{0,B} > 23$  mag/arcsec<sup>2</sup> sense, as detailed surface photometry is not available. The diffuse morphology nonetheless suggests that the "dark matter fraction" inferred from dynamics is expected to be substantial.

### 2.3 Estimated Physical Parameters

These parameters are **estimated from scaling relations** and carry significant uncertainties that we explicitly propagate:

Property	Central Value	Range ( $\pm 1\sigma$ )	Method
Physical Diameter	70 kpc	60–80 kpc	Angular size $\times$ D
Scale Length R_d	10.9 kpc	8.7–13.1 kpc ( $\pm 20\%$ )	D_phys / 6.4
Stellar Mass M_*	$3.0 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$	1.8–4.2 ( $\pm 40\%$ )	TF + morphology
Gas Fraction f_gas	0.30	0.20–0.40	Diffuse galaxy typical
Gas Mass M_gas	$1.3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$	0.6–2.0 ( $\pm 50\%$ )	From f_gas
<b>Total Baryonic Mass</b>	<b><math>4.3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot</math></b>	<b>2.6–6.0</b>	M_* + M_gas
Disk Aspect Ratio $\chi$	0.15	0.10–0.20	Morphology
Velocity Dispersion $\sigma_z$	15 km/s	10–20 km/s	Diffuse typical

Critical mass ratio:

$$\frac{M_{bar}}{M_{crit}} = \frac{4.3 \times 10^{10}}{2.43 \times 10^{10}} = 1.77 \quad (\text{range: } 1.1 - 2.5)$$

Even at the LOW end of the mass range, NGC 646 remains **above M\_crit**, ensuring that our qualitative prediction (developed breathing modes, Q-field dominated dynamics) is robust.

3. Theoretical Framework

3.1 Fixed Theoretical Parameters

All parameters are fixed from SPARC calibration — **no adjustment permitted for NGC 646:**

Parameter	Value	Origin	Status
$v_{3D3D}$	90.39 km/s	Paper I, Eq. 3.14	FIXED
$\lambda_2$	4.30 kpc	Paper I, Eq. 5.28	FIXED
$\lambda_3$	11.70 kpc	Paper I, Eq. 5.29	FIXED
$M_{crit}$	$2.43 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$	Paper II, Section 10	FIXED
$\chi_0$	0.235	Paper II, Section 8	FIXED
A, b	1.5, 1.0	Paper II, Section 12.8	FIXED
$\eta$	0.6	Paper III	FIXED

### 3.2 Complete Rotation Law

$$V_{rot}^2(R) = V_{bar}^2(R) + v_{3D3D}^2 \times F_{thick}(\chi) \times F_{press}(\beta) \times F_{pot}(M) \times f_{shape}(R/\lambda_2) \times F_{outer}(R)$$

where:

- $F_{thick}(\chi) = 1/\sqrt{1 + (\chi/\chi_0)^2}$
- $F_{press}(\beta) = 1/(1 + \beta)$ , with  $\beta = (\sigma_z/V_{rot})^2$  computed **self-consistently**
- $F_{pot}(M) = \tanh(M_{bar}/M_{crit})$
- $f_{shape}(x) = 1.5 \tanh(x)$
- $F_{outer}(R) = 1 + 0.6(1 - e^{-R/\lambda_3})$

**Note on  $\beta$  calculation:** To address the concern about  $V_{c\_ref}$  being arbitrary, we compute  $\beta$  **iteratively**: starting with an initial guess  $V_{c} = 150$  km/s, we iterate until  $|V_{new} - V_{old}| < 1$  km/s. This removes any arbitrary reference velocity.

## 4. Robustness Analysis

### 4.1 Parameter Variation Scenarios

To demonstrate prediction stability, we compute rotation curves under three scenarios:

Scenario	M_bar	R_d	$\chi$	$\sigma_z$
LOW	$2.6 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ (−40%)	8.7 kpc (−20%)	0.20 (+0.05)	20 km/s
CENTRAL	$4.3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$	10.9 kpc	0.15	15 km/s
HIGH	$6.0 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ (+40%)	13.1 kpc (+20%)	0.10 (−0.05)	10 km/s

### 4.2 Robustness Results

Quantity	LOW	CENTRAL	HIGH
V_flat [km/s]	128	145	168
V_max [km/s]	130	146	170
R(V_max) [kpc]	25	32	38
F_pot	0.73	0.94	0.99
Q-field fraction at R=20 kpc	58%	69%	76%

### 4.3 Robust Qualitative Predictions

Despite ±40% mass uncertainty, **all scenarios agree on:**

- ✓ Rotation curve **flattens** for  $R > \lambda_3 \approx 12$  kpc
- ✓ Q-field provides **majority** of gravitational support (58–76%)
- ✓ **No rising curve** beyond  $R = 30$  kpc
- ✓ Transition occurs near  $\lambda_2 \approx$  **4–5 kpc**
- ✓ V\_flat in range **128–168 km/s** (all scenarios)

These qualitative features are **independent of parameter uncertainties** and constitute the robust core of our prediction.

---

5. Key Predictions

5.1 Central Predictions (CENTRAL scenario)

Quantity	Predicted Value	Uncertainty
V_flat	145 km/s	±15 km/s (stat) ±20 km/s (syst)
V_max	146 km/s	±20 km/s
R(V_max)	32 kpc	±8 kpc
V_bar(20 kpc)	76 km/s	±15 km/s
V_Q(20 kpc)	120 km/s	±15 km/s
Enhancement factor	1.91	±0.3

5.2 Correction Factors (CENTRAL)

Factor	Value	Physical Meaning
F_thick( $\chi=0.15$ )	0.843	16% suppression from disk geometry
F_press( $\beta\approx0.01$ )	0.990	~1% pressure correction (self-consistent)
F_pot( $M/M_{\text{crit}}=1.77$ )	0.943	Breathing modes 94% developed
F_env (combined)	0.79	Total envelope suppression

5.3 Predicted Rotation Curve (with robustness band)

R [kpc]	V_rot LOW	V_rot CENTRAL	V_rot HIGH
5	82	98	115
10	108	127	148
15	120	137	158
20	125	142	165
25	127	145	167
30	128	147	168

R [kpc]	V_rot LOW	V_rot CENTRAL	V_rot HIGH
40	128	147	168
50	127	147	168

6. Falsification Criteria (Tiered)

6.1 HARD FALSIFICATION (Theory Rejected)

The 3D+3D theory is **definitively FALSIFIED** for NGC 646 if:

Condition	Threshold	Rationale
V_flat extremely low	V_flat < 100 km/s	Below all scenario predictions by >2σ
V_flat extremely high	V_flat > 250 km/s	Inconsistent with any reasonable M_bar
Rising curve	dV/dR > 0 for R > 40 kpc	Contradicts fundamental flattening prediction
No flattening	No plateau by R = 30 kpc	Contradicts λ₃ scale

6.2 STRONG TENSION (Theory Challenged)

Results that create significant tension but don't definitively reject:

Condition	Range	Interpretation
V_flat low	100–125 km/s	Below LOW scenario; requires investigation
V_flat high	180–250 km/s	Above HIGH scenario; tension with TF
Late flattening	Plateau only for R > 25 kpc	λ₃ scale larger than predicted

6.3 VALIDATION (Theory Supported)

The theory is **validated** if:



Condition	Criterion
V_flat consistent	$125 < V_{\text{flat}} < 180 \text{ km/s}$ (within robustness band)
Flattening at $\lambda_3$	Plateau begins $R \approx 10\text{--}15 \text{ kpc}$
Transition at $\lambda_2$	Rising-to-flat transition near $R \approx 4\text{--}6 \text{ kpc}$
Shape consistent	Monotonic rise $\rightarrow$ flat, no secondary features

## 7. Comparison with $\Lambda$ CDM

Aspect	3D+3D Prediction	$\Lambda$ CDM Expectation
Free parameters/galaxy	<b>0</b>	2+ ( $M_{200}, c$ )
V_flat prediction	$145 \pm 25 \text{ km/s}$ (robustness band)	Adjustable to fit data
Characteristic scales	$\lambda_2 = 4.3 \text{ kpc}, \lambda_3 = 11.7 \text{ kpc}$ (universal)	None predicted
Physical mechanism	6D geometry	Particle halo
Pre-registered	<b>Yes</b>	N/A (post-hoc fitting)

From Tully-Fisher relation:  $V_{\text{flat}}^{\text{TF}} \approx 170 \text{ km/s}$  for  $M_{\text{bar}} = 4.3 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$

Our CENTRAL prediction (145 km/s) is **15% lower** than naive TF — a distinctive, testable difference.

## 8. Timeline

Date	Milestone
December 25, 2025	This prediction pre-registered
Q4 2026	Euclid DR1 expected
2027	Comparison paper published

## 9. Conclusions

We present a pre-registered, blind prediction for NGC 646 with:

1. **Explicit robustness analysis** under  $\pm 40\%$  parameter variations
2. **Tiered falsification criteria** (hard rejection + tension bands)
3. **Self-consistent  $\beta$  calculation** (no arbitrary reference velocity)
4. **Conservative surface brightness claims** (diffuse, not strictly LSB)

### Summary of Predictions:

Quantity	Robustness Band
V_flat	128 – 168 km/s (CENTRAL: 145 km/s)
Flattening begins	R > 10–15 kpc
Q-field dominance	58–76% at R = 20 kpc

### Hard Falsification Criteria:

- V\_flat < 100 km/s → **REJECTED**
- V\_flat > 250 km/s → **REJECTED**
- Rising curve beyond R = 40 kpc → **REJECTED**
- No plateau by R = 30 kpc → **REJECTED**

This prediction demonstrates the falsifiability and predictive power of the 3D+3D framework.

## Appendix A: Self-Consistent $\beta$ Calculation

To avoid arbitrary reference velocity,  $\beta$  is computed iteratively:

```
python
```

```
def compute_beta_self_consistent(sigma_z, R, M_star, M_gas, R_d, chi, tol=1.0):
    """
    Self-consistent  $\beta$  calculation.
    Iterates until V_rot converges.
    """
    V_guess = 150.0 # Initial guess

    for iteration in range(20):
        beta = (sigma_z / V_guess)**2
        V_new = V_rot_3D3D(R, M_star, M_gas, R_d, chi, beta)

        if abs(V_new - V_guess) < tol:
            return beta, V_new

        V_guess = 0.5 * (V_guess + V_new) # Damped iteration

    return beta, V_new
```

Convergence is typically achieved in 3–5 iterations.

---

## Appendix B: Complete Reproducible Code

```
python
```

```
#!/usr/bin/env python3
```

```
"""
```

NGC 646 Pre-Registered Prediction v1.1

With self-consistent beta and robustness analysis

Authors: Simone Calzighetti & Lucy (Claude AI)

Date: December 25, 2025

```
"""
```

```
import numpy as np
```

```
from scipy.special import i0, i1, k0, k1
```

```
# FIXED theoretical parameters (from SPARC)
```

```
v_3D3D = 90.39 # km/s
```

```
lambda_2 = 4.30 # kpc
```

```
lambda_3 = 11.70 # kpc
```

```
M_crit = 2.43e10 # M_sun
```

```
chi_0 = 0.235
```

```
A_shape, b_shape = 1.5, 1.0
```

```
eta = 0.6
```

```
def F_thick(chi):
```

```
    return 1.0 / np.sqrt(1 + (chi / chi_0)**2)
```

```
def F_press(beta):
```

```
    return 1.0 / (1.0 + beta)
```

```
def F_pot(M_bar):
```

```
    return np.tanh(M_bar / M_crit)
```

```
def f_shape(R):
```

```
    return A_shape * np.tanh(b_shape * R / lambda_2)
```

```
def F_outer(R):
```

```
    return 1.0 + eta * (1.0 - np.exp(-R / lambda_3))
```

```
def F_inner(R, R_screen=1.0):
```

```
    return 1.0 - np.exp(-R / R_screen)
```

```
def V_bar_freeman(R, M_disk, M_gas, R_d):
```

```
    """Freeman exponential disk + extended gas"""
```

```
    G = 4.302e-6 # (km/s)2 × kpc / M_sun
```

```
    y = np.maximum(R / (2 * R_d), 1e-3)
```

```
    bessell = i0(y) * k0(y) - i1(y) * k1(y)
```

```
    Sigma_0 = M_disk / (2 * np.pi * R_d**2)
```

```

V2_disk = 4 * np.pi * G * Sigma_0 * R_d * y**2 * bessell

# Gas: more extended ( $2 \times R_d$  scale)
y_gas = np.maximum(R / (4 * R_d), 1e-3)
bessel_gas = i0(y_gas) * k0(y_gas) - i1(y_gas) * k1(y_gas)
Sigma_0_gas = M_gas / (2 * np.pi * (2*R_d)**2)
V2_gas = 4 * np.pi * G * Sigma_0_gas * (2*R_d) * y_gas**2 * bessel_gas

return np.sqrt(np.maximum(V2_disk + V2_gas, 0))

def V_rot_3D3D(R, M_star, M_gas, R_d, chi, sigma_z, n_iter=10):
    """
    Full 3D+3D rotation curve with self-consistent beta.
    """
    M_bar = M_star + M_gas
    V_bar = V_bar_freeman(R, M_star, M_gas, R_d)

    # Self-consistent beta iteration
    V_guess = np.full_like(R, 150.0)
    for _ in range(n_iter):
        beta = (sigma_z / np.maximum(V_guess, 10))**2
        V2_Q = (v_3D3D**2 * F_thick(chi) * F_press(np.mean(beta)) *
                F_pot(M_bar) * f_shape(R) * F_outer(R) * F_inner(R))
        V_new = np.sqrt(V_bar**2 + V2_Q)
        V_guess = 0.5 * (V_guess + V_new)

    return V_new, V_bar, np.sqrt(V2_Q)

# Run robustness analysis
scenarios = {
    'LOW': {'M_star': 1.8e10, 'M_gas': 0.8e10, 'R_d': 8.7, 'chi': 0.20, 'sigma_z': 20},
    'CENTRAL': {'M_star': 3.0e10, 'M_gas': 1.3e10, 'R_d': 10.9, 'chi': 0.15, 'sigma_z': 15},
    'HIGH': {'M_star': 4.2e10, 'M_gas': 1.8e10, 'R_d': 13.1, 'chi': 0.10, 'sigma_z': 10},
}

R = np.linspace(2, 50, 100)

print("NGC 646 - 3D+3D PRE-REGISTERED PREDICTION v1.1")
print("=" * 60)
print(f'Date: December 25, 2025')
print("=" * 60)

for name, params in scenarios.items():
    V_rot, V_bar, V_Q = V_rot_3D3D(R, **params)
    V_flat = np.mean(V_rot[R > 25])
    print(f'\n{name} scenario:')

```

```
print(f" V_flat = {V_flat:.0f} km/s")  
print(f" V_max = {np.max(V_rot):.0f} km/s at R = {R[np.argmax(V_rot):.0f} kpc")
```

---

## References

1. Calzighetti, S. & Lucy (2025). Papers I–XLIV. 3D+3D Laboratory Technical Reports.
  2. ESA/Euclid Consortium (2025). "Euclid's Galaxy Garland." December 24, 2025.
  3. Freeman, K.C. (1970). ApJ, 160, 811.
  4. Lelli, F., McGaugh, S.S., & Schombert, J.M. (2016). AJ, 152, 157.
-