The Phase–Scalar Spiral: Why Systems Fail When They Scale — and What Restores Coherence

Restoring Coherence in Systems of Infinite Scale
(Applications of Phase–Scalar Reconstruction and Spiral Coordinates)

Version: 1.0

Author: Lit Meng (Robert) Tang
ORCID: 0009-0006-1121-6837
Affiliation: Independent Researcher, danceScape, Burlington, Ontario, Canada
Date: January 5, 2026
License: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Abstract

Across mathematics, physics, distributed computing, artificial intelligence, biological systems, and organizational systems, recurring failure modes appear when systems scale: paradoxes in infinite constructions, divergences and "ghosts" in certain quantization programs, coordination breakdowns in distributed systems, hallucinations in large language models, dysregulated growth in biological systems, and metric-induced breakdowns in human institutions. These phenomena are typically treated as domain-specific anomalies.

This paper proposes a unifying diagnostic explanation: many scale-failures are representational mismatches generated when global measurement and abstraction (Scalar) outrun local relational coordination (Phase). Building on Phase–Scalar Reconstruction (PSR) and the Spiral Coordinate System (SCS), we formalize a general principle: coherence is preserved when Phase precedes Scalar at each recursive level of growth.

We present the Phase–Scalar Spiral as an applied synthesis: a cross-domain lens that explains why locality-preserving methods (e.g., renormalization, neighborhood-based computation, context-grounded inference) stabilize systems, while scalar-first expansions often produce artifacts (divergence, paradox, hallucination, institutional dysfunction). The contribution is methodological and diagnostic: it proposes no new physical laws and does not modify established domain formalisms. Instead, it offers a disciplined way to classify when a problem is ill-posed due to category collapse, and how to restore coherence by re-establishing local coordination before re-scaling.

Program Context
This paper constitutes Stage X of an independent *Phase–Scalar* research program developed through staged construction between 2025–2026. Earlier stages established phenomenological descriptions, formal terminology, temporal structure, and physics-restricted diagnostic protocols. The present work serves as the horizontal synthesis of that program, integrating prior frameworks into a general diagnostic lens without introducing new physical laws or ontological claims.

---

1. Introduction

Modern systems increasingly operate at scales that exceed intuitive human coordination:

- Mathematics extends to infinite and non-constructive objects.
- Physics probes arbitrarily small distances and extreme energies.
- AI models optimize over vast informational spaces.
- Organizations govern through dense measurement systems.

Despite their differences, these domains exhibit structurally similar breakdowns under rapid scaling. The default explanation is “complexity is hard.” This paper adopts a different stance:

Many failures attributed to complexity can be diagnosed as representational errors: global scalar structure is applied where phase coordination has not yet stabilized.

The practical consequence is optimistic: coherence is often recoverable without adding complexity, data volume, or enforcement—by restoring the ordering between Phase and Scalar.

---

2. Definitions: Phase vs. Scalar

This paper uses Phase and Scalar as cross-domain structural terms, consistent with the Phase/Scalar Time and SCS frameworks.

Phase (coordination / relational locality)

- Local synchronization and neighbor-consistency
- Boundary completion and state-locking
- Iterative, rhythmic adjustment
- “How parts cohere”

Scalar (measurement / accumulation / abstraction)

- Global aggregation and summarization
- Counts, magnitudes, durations
- Optimization targets and symbolic compression
- “How much / how many”

Neither is sufficient alone. Coherence requires ordered interaction between them.

---

3. The Phase–Scalar Spiral

Real growth is recursive. New scale introduces new degrees of freedom, which must be re-coordinated.

We formalize a repeating spiral:

1. Phase stabilization: local coherence forms (relations lock)
2. Scalar registration: stable phase is measured/aggregated
3. Expansion: scale increases, new degrees of freedom appear
4. Re-coordination: phase must be rebuilt at the new level
5. Repeat

Core failure mode: When re-coordination is skipped—when systems continue scaling through scalar enforcement while phase coherence degrades—artifacts appear (paradox, divergence, hallucination, institutional breakdown).

Central operational claim:
Preserve Phase, and Scale becomes sustainable rather than pathological.

---

4. Mathematical Pathologies as Phase Breaks

In mathematics, “pathology” often appears when global properties (selection, measurability, total classification) are asserted without constructive locality conditions that preserve stability under refinement.

From the Phase–Scalar Spiral perspective:

- Scalar overreach occurs when global properties are demanded without ensuring local definability/continuity constraints that keep an object phase-coherent under iterative refinement.

- The resulting objects may be valid within a formal system yet remain structurally fragile for computation, translation, or modeling.

This paper does not adjudicate foundational mathematics. It uses these cases diagnostically: when a system permits global scalar assertions without local phase constraints, pathology is not surprising.

---

5. Physics Ghosts, Divergences, and Renormalization

Renormalization is often described as yielding finite predictive quantities by systematically accounting for small-scale contributions before defining global parameters.

In the Phase–Scalar Spiral framing, renormalization can be interpreted as a locality-preserving ordering discipline: do not demand stable global scalars until local corrections are consistently handled.

Within higher-derivative gravity debates and “ghost” modes, this paper proposes no new physics. Instead it offers a conservative diagnostic stance:

Ghost-like artifacts can be interpreted as indicators that a scalar representation is being pushed beyond a phase-stable regime, producing signs of representational strain (e.g., instability, negativity, apparent causal discomfort).

This is an interpretive lens only. It does not assert that ghosts are physical entities, nor that existing formalisms must be modified.

---

6. AI Hallucination as Phase–Scalar Misalignment

Large language models can produce outputs that are globally fluent yet locally ungrounded. This paper interprets hallucination as a phase-locality failure:

- The system maintains high-level scalar coherence (global plausibility, stylistic consistency, statistical fit),
- while losing phase coherence (local reference grounding, boundary discipline, neighbor-consistency across context).

From the spiral lens, more scale (parameters/data) can increase fluency without guaranteeing coherence unless architectures and evaluation enforce locality-preserving constraints (retrieval grounding, verification loops, tool use, boundary checks, and iterative correction).

The claim is diagnostic: many AI failures resemble scalar-first optimization outrunning phase-stabilizing constraints.

---

7. Distributed Computing: Coordination Before Global State

Distributed computing provides a domain where coordination failures are not speculative but immediately catastrophic, making ordering discipline explicit and enforced. Modern distributed computing systems explicitly encode the ordering discipline described by the Phase–Scalar Spiral. Global guarantees—such as consistency, total ordering, and linearizability—are known to fail when local coordination cannot be maintained under partition, latency, or fault conditions.

Techniques such as quorum protocols, leader election, vector clocks, and eventual consistency enforce phase stabilization (local agreement and causal ordering) before permitting global scalar assertions. The CAP theorem formalizes this boundary: when phase coherence is disrupted by partition, scalar guarantees must be relaxed rather than enforced.

From the Phase–Scalar perspective, distributed system failures (split-brain, stale reads, double commits) are not anomalies or bugs, but predictable outcomes of scalar overreach applied beyond a phase-stable regime. Successful distributed architectures preserve coherence by pausing global measurement and optimization until local coordination has re-locked.

This domain demonstrates that the Phase–Scalar ordering principle is not merely interpretive, but already operationalized in large-scale technical systems.

---

8. Organizational and Human Systems: Metric Overreach

Organizations often attempt to manage scale through dashboards, KPIs, and centralized measurement regimes.

The Phase–Scalar Spiral predicts a familiar failure pattern:

- Metrics (scalar) proliferate.
- Local coordination (phase) weakens.
- People optimize to the measure rather than the relationship.
- Paradoxical outcomes emerge: apparent productivity with declining coherence.

Recovery is rarely achieved by adding more metrics. It is achieved by temporarily slowing measurement, restoring local coordination and trust, and reintroducing scalar measures only after phase coherence stabilizes.

---

9. Biological Systems: Growth Without Coordination

Comparable failures appear in biological systems when growth or activation scales faster than local regulatory coordination. Cancerous proliferation is not caused by excess energy alone, but by the loss of phase coherence in cell signaling, boundary recognition, and tissue-level regulation. Similarly, autoimmune disorders arise when immune activation exceeds the system's capacity to preserve local identity and context, producing globally amplified response without neighborhood discrimination.

From the Phase–Scalar Spiral perspective, these conditions are not anomalies of biology but predictable outcomes of scalar expansion without phase stabilization. Coherence in living systems is preserved not by suppressing growth, but by enforcing local coordination before scale.

This framing is diagnostic rather than etiological and does not substitute for domain-specific biological mechanisms.

---

10. Diagnostic Method: PSR Checklist (Applied)

This paper applies Phase–Scalar Reconstruction (PSR) as a cross-domain diagnostic method for representational mismatch, and aligns with the physics-restricted audit posture of PSR-B where domain closure is required.

PSR checklist (cross-domain):

1. Identify Scalar Overreach
   Where is measurement/abstraction being applied prematurely?
2. Locate the Phase Break
   Where has local coordination/boundary completion failed?
3. Classify the Mismatch
   Are we treating a spiral process as a flat grid?
   Are we forcing global invariants onto locally incomplete structure?
4. Pause Scalar Expansion
   Temporarily suspend optimization/aggregation where it amplifies incoherence.

5. Reconstruct Phase
   Restore neighborhood-based interaction, boundary constraints, and iterative stability.
6. Resume Scaling
   Only after phase coherence is re-established at the new level.

---

11. Implication for Knowledge Production and Distribution

AI indexing operates at machine-time; human integration occurs through rhythmic cycles of attention, comprehension, and trust.

The Phase–Scalar Spiral predicts a meta-failure mode: producing content at accelerating volume (scalar) without maintaining a coherent topic lattice (phase) leads to fragmentation, redundancy, and loss of navigability—especially across domains.

The remedy is structural:

- Preserve a phase-stable spine (core papers, canonical definitions, stable cross-links).
- Scale outward via applications only after the spine is consistently referenced and updated.

In this sense, distribution strategy becomes an application of the same principle the research describes.

---

12. Conclusion

Systems do not fail simply because they become complex. Many fail because scale outruns coordination.

The Phase–Scalar Spiral is a diagnostic synthesis:

- Coherence is preserved by ensuring Phase precedes Scalar at every recursive turn of growth.
- Artifacts that appear domain-specific—ghosts, paradoxes, hallucinations, institutional dysfunction—often share the same structural signature: premature scalarization.

This paper proposes no new physical laws. It provides a method to detect representational mismatch, restore locality and boundary discipline, and re-scale coherently.

Preserve Phase, and Scale will take care of itself.

Statement of Originality

This paper presents the Phase–Scalar Spiral as an original cross-domain synthesis integrating Phase–Scalar Reconstruction (PSR), the Spiral Coordinate System (SCS), and Phase and Scalar Time frameworks. The spiral ordering principle, diagnostic framing, and cross-domain applications (mathematics, physics, AI, organizations) constitute original contributions.

This paper builds on previously published foundational frameworks (PSR, PSR-B, SCS, Phase and Scalar Time) and applies them to new domains and interpretive case mappings. No new physical laws are proposed; the contribution is methodological and diagnostic.

Author Contributions and Transparency

All conceptual decisions, diagnostic applications, and synthesis are under sole human authority. LLM tools (ChatGPT, Claude, Gemini) were used as analytical instruments for structural refinement, language tightening, and organizational editing within the Human–AI Collaborative Research (HAICR) methodology. All theoretical claims, interpretive mappings, and responsibility for accuracy remain with the author.

References

Note: This v1.0 release provides core citations establishing the PSR/SCS framework and selected domain anchors. Future versions will expand citations to include recent AI architecture papers, organizational research, and additional mathematical/physical literature as the bibliography develops.

Foundational Tang Works (Zenodo):

Tang, Lit Meng (Robert). (2025). *Phase–Scalar Reconstruction (PSR): A Diagnostic Method for Representational Mismatch Across Domains. With Canonical Demonstrations from Weaving, Physics Paradoxes, and Linguistic Encoding* (v1.0). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18088686

Tang, Lit Meng (Robert). (2025). *Boundary-Augmented Phase–Scalar Reconstruction (PSR-B): A Diagnostic Audit Protocol for Dissolving Physics Contradictions* (v1.0). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18099232

Tang, Lit Meng (Robert). (2025). *Phase and Scalar Time: A Systems-Theoretic Framework for Temporal Organization and Measurement* (v1.0). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18041277

Tang, Lit Meng (Robert). (2025). *The Spiral Coordinate System (SCS): A Unified Structural Model of Phase, Scalar, and Emergent Time* (v1.0). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18051253

External anchors:
Stelle, K. S. (1977). *Renormalization of Higher-Derivative Quantum Gravity*. *Physical Review D*, 16, 953.

Bernshteyn, A. (2023). *Distributed algorithms, the Lovász Local Lemma, and descriptive combinatorics*. Inventiones Mathematicae, 233(2), 495–542.

Archival Links

Research hub: https://www.dancescape.com/research
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0006-1121-6837
Contact: robert@dancescape.com