Supplement S1: Mathematical Foundations

E_8 Exceptional Lie Algebra, G_2 Holonomy Manifolds, and K_7 Construction

---

Version: 3.1

Author: Brieuc de La Fournière

Independent researcher

Abstract

This supplement presents the mathematical architecture underlying GIFT. Part I develops E_8 exceptional Lie algebra with the Exceptional Chain theorem. Part II introduces G_2 holonomy manifolds. Part III establishes K_7 manifold construction via twisted connected sum, building compact G_2 manifolds by gluing asymptotically cylindrical building blocks. Part IV establishes that the resulting metric is exactly the scaled standard G_2 form, with analytically vanishing torsion. All results are formally verified in Lean 4.

Contents

1 The Octonionic Foundation

1.1 Why This Framework Exists

GIFT is not built on arbitrary choices. It emerges from a single algebraic fact:

The octonions $\mathbb{O}$ are the largest normed division algebra.

Everything follows:

```
O (octonions, dim 8)
    |
    v
Im(O) = R^7 (imaginary octonions)
    |
    v
G2 = Aut(O) (automorphism group, dim 14)
    |
    v
K7 with G2 holonomy (unique compact realization)
    |
    v
Topological invariants (b2 = 21, b3 = 77)
    |
    v
18 dimensionless predictions
```

1.2 The Division Algebra Chain

Algebra	Dim	Physics Role	Stops?
$\mathbb{R}$	1	Classical mechanics	No
$\mathbb{C}$	2	Quantum mechanics	No
$\mathbb{H}$	4	Spin, Lorentz group	No
$\mathbb{O}$	**8**	**Exceptional structures**	**Yes**

The pattern terminates at $\mathbb{O}$. There is no 16-dimensional normed division algebra. The octonions are *the end of the line.*

1.3 G_2 as Octonionic Automorphisms

Definition: $\mathrm{G}_2 = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{O}) : g(xy) = g(x)g(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{O}\}$

Property	Value	GIFT Role
$\dim(\mathrm{G}_2)$	$14 = \binom{7}{2}$	Q_{Koide} numerator
Action	Transitive on $S^6 \subset \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{O})$	Connects all directions
Embedding	$\mathrm{G}_2 \subset \mathrm{SO}(7)$	Preserves φ_0

1.4 Why $\dim(K_7) = 7$

This is not a choice. It is a consequence:

- $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{O})$ has dimension 7
- G_2 acts naturally on $\mathbb{R}^7$
- A compact 7-manifold with G_2 holonomy is the geometric realization

K_7 is to G_2 what the circle is to $U(1)$.

2 E_8 Exceptional Lie Algebra

2.1 Root System and Dynkin Diagram

2.2 Basic Data

Property	Value	GIFT Role
Dimension	$\dim(E_8) = 248$	Gauge DOF
Rank	$\mathrm{rank}(E_8) = 8$	Cartan subalgebra
Number of roots	$\lvert\Phi(E_8)\rvert = 240$	E_8 kissing number
Root length	$\sqrt{2}$	α_s numerator
Coxeter number	$h = 30$	Icosahedron edges
Dual Coxeter number	$h^\vee = 30$	McKay correspondence

2.3 Root System Construction

E_8 root system in $\mathbb{R}^8$ has 240 roots:

Type I (112 roots): Permutations and sign changes of $(\pm1, \pm1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$

Type II (128 roots): Half-integer coordinates with even minus signs:

$$\frac{1}{2}(\pm1, \pm1, \pm1, \pm1, \pm1, \pm1, \pm1, \pm1)$$

Verification: $112 + 128 = 240$ roots, all length $\sqrt{2}$.

2.4 Cartan Matrix

$$A_{\mathrm{E}_8} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Properties: $\det(A) = 1$ (unimodular), positive definite.

2.5 Weyl Group

2.6 Order and Factorization

$$|W(\mathrm{E}_8)| = 696{,}729{,}600 = 2^{14} \times 3^5 \times 5^2 \times 7$$

2.7 Topological Factorization Theorem

Theorem: The Weyl group order factorizes entirely into GIFT constants:

$$|W(\mathrm{E}_8)| = p_2^{\dim(\mathrm{G}_2)} \times N_{\mathrm{gen}}^{\mathrm{Weyl}} \times \mathrm{Weyl}^{p_2} \times \dim(K_7)$$

Factor	Exponent	Value	GIFT Origin
2^{14}	$\dim(\mathrm{G}_2) = 14$	16384	$p_2^{(\text{holonomy dim})}$
3^5	$\mathrm{Weyl} = 5$	243	$N_{\mathrm{gen}}^{(\text{Weyl factor})}$
5^2	$p_2 = 2$	25	$\mathrm{Weyl}^{(\text{binary})}$
7^1	1	7	$\dim(K_7)$

Status: **Proven (Lean)**: `weyl_E8_topological_factorization`

2.8 Exceptional Chain

2.9 The Pattern

A pattern connects exceptional algebra dimensions to primes:

Algebra	n	$\dim(\mathrm{E}_n)$	Prime	Index
E_6	6	78	13	prime(6)
E_7	7	133	19	prime(8) = prime(rank(E_8))
E_8	8	248	31	prime(11) = prime(D_{bulk})

2.10 Exceptional Chain Theorem

Theorem: For $n \in \{6, 7, 8\}$:

$$\dim(\mathrm{E}_n) = n \times \mathrm{prime}(g(n))$$

where $g(6) = 6$, $g(7) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{E}_8) = 8$, $g(8) = D_{\mathrm{bulk}} = 11$.

Proof (verified in Lean):

- E_6: $6 \times 13 = 78$ ✓
- E_7: $7 \times 19 = 133$ ✓
- E_8: $8 \times 31 = 248$ ✓

Status: **Proven (Lean)**: `exceptional_chain_certified`

2.11 $\mathrm{E}_8 \times \mathrm{E}_8$ Product Structure

2.12 Direct Sum

Property	Value
Dimension	$496 = 248 \times 2$
Rank	$16 = 8 \times 2$
Roots	$480 = 240 \times 2$

2.13 τ Numerator Connection

The hierarchy parameter numerator:

$$\tau_{\mathrm{num}} = 3472 = 7 \times 496 = \dim(K_7) \times \dim(\mathrm{E}_8 \times \mathrm{E}_8)$$

Status: **Proven (Lean)**: `tau_num_E8xE8`

2.14 Binary Duality Parameter

Triple geometric origin of $p_2 = 2$:

1. **Local**: $p_2 = \dim(\mathrm{G}_2)/\dim(K_7) = 14/7 = 2$
2. **Global**: $p_2 = \dim(\mathrm{E}_8 \times \mathrm{E}_8)/\dim(\mathrm{E}_8) = 496/248 = 2$
3. **Root**: $\sqrt{2}$ in E_8 root normalization

2.15 Exceptional Algebras from Octonions

The foundational role of octonions is established in Part 0. This section details the exceptional algebraic structures that emerge from $\mathbb{O}$.

2.16 Exceptional Jordan Algebra $J_3(\mathbb{O})$

Property	Value
$\dim(J_3(\mathbb{O}))$	$27 = 3^3$
$\dim(J_3(\mathbb{O})_0)$	26 (traceless)

2.17 F_4 Connection

F_4 is the automorphism group of $J_3(\mathbb{O})$:

$$\dim(F_4) = 52 = p_2^2 \times \alpha_{\text{sum}}^B = 4 \times 13$$

2.18 Exceptional Differences

Difference	Value	GIFT
$\dim(\mathrm{E}_8) - \dim(J_3(\mathbb{O}))$	$221 = 13 \times 17$	$\alpha_B \times \lambda_{H,\text{num}}$
$\dim(F_4) - \dim(J_3(\mathbb{O}))$	$25 = 5^2$	Weyl^2
$\dim(\mathrm{E}_6) - \dim(F_4)$	26	$\dim(J_3(\mathbb{O})_0)$

Status: **Proven (Lean)**: `exceptional_differences_certified`

3 G_2 Holonomy Manifolds

3.1 Definition and Properties

3.2 G_2 as Exceptional Holonomy

Property	Value	GIFT Role
$\dim(\mathrm{G}_2)$	14	Q_{Koide} numerator
$\text{rank}(\mathrm{G}_2)$	2	Lie rank
Definition	$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$	Octonion automorphisms

3.3 Holonomy Classification (Berger)

Dimension	Holonomy	Geometry
7	G_2	**Exceptional**
8	Spin(7)	Exceptional

3.4 Torsion: Definition and GIFT Interpretation

Mathematical definition: Torsion measures failure of G_2 structure to be parallel:

$$T = \nabla\varphi \neq 0$$

For the 3-form φ, torsion decomposes into four classes $W_1 \oplus W_7 \oplus W_{14} \oplus W_{27}$ with total dimension $1+7+14+27=49$.

Torsion-free condition:

$$\nabla\varphi = 0 \Leftrightarrow d\varphi = 0 \text{ and } d*\varphi = 0$$

GIFT interpretation:

Quantity	Meaning	Value
$\kappa_T = 1/61$	Topological *capacity* for torsion	Fixed by K_7
T_{realized}	Actual torsion for specific solution	Depends on φ
$T_{\text{analytical}}$	Torsion for $\varphi = c \times \varphi_0$	**Exactly 0**

Key insight: The 18 dimensionless predictions use only topological invariants (b_2, b_3, $\dim(G_2)$) and are independent of T_{realized}. The value $\kappa_T = 1/61$ defines the geometric bound, not the physical value.

Physical interactions: Emerge from fluctuations around $T = 0$ base, bounded by κ_T. This mechanism is THEORETICAL (see S3 for details).

3.5 Topological Invariants

3.6 Derived Constants

Constant	Formula	Value
$\det(g)$	$p_2 + 1/(b_2 + \dim(G_2) - N_{\text{gen}})$	65/32
κ_T	$1/(b_3 - \dim(G_2) - p_2)$	1/61
$\sin^2\theta_W$	$b_2/(b_3 + \dim(G_2))$	3/13

3.7 The 61 Decomposition

$$\kappa_T^{-1} = 61 = \dim(F_4) + N_{\text{gen}}^2 = 52 + 9$$

Alternative:

$$61 = \Pi(\alpha_B^2) + 1 = 2 \times 5 \times 6 + 1$$

Status: **Proven (Lean)**: `kappa_T_inv_decomposition`

4 K_7 Manifold Construction

4.1 Twisted Connected Sum Framework

4.2 TCS Construction

The twisted connected sum (TCS) construction provides the primary method for constructing compact G_2 manifolds from asymptotically cylindrical building blocks.

Key insight: G_2 manifolds can be built by gluing two asymptotically cylindrical (ACyl) G_2 manifolds along their cylindrical ends, with the topology controlled by a twist diffeomorphism ϕ.

4.3 Asymptotically Cylindrical G_2 Manifolds

Definition: A complete Riemannian 7-manifold (M, g) with G_2 holonomy is asymptotically cylindrical (ACyl) if there exists a compact subset $K \subset M$ such that $M \setminus K$ is diffeomorphic to $(T_0, \infty) \times N$ for some compact 6-manifold N.

4.4 Building Blocks

For the GIFT framework, K_7 is constructed from two ACyl G_2 manifolds:

Region M_1^T (asymptotic to $S^1 \times Y_3^{(1)}$):

- Betti numbers: $b_2(M_1) = 11$, $b_3(M_1) = 40$
- Calabi-Yau: $Y_3^{(1)}$ with $h^{1,1}(Y_3^{(1)}) = 11$

Region M_2^T (asymptotic to $S^1 \times Y_3^{(2)}$):

- Betti numbers: $b_2(M_2) = 10$, $b_3(M_2) = 37$
- Calabi-Yau: $Y_3^{(2)}$ with $h^{1,1}(Y_3^{(2)}) = 10$

The compact manifold:

$$K_7 = M_1^T \cup_\phi M_2^T$$

Global properties:

- Compact 7-manifold (no boundary)
- G_2 holonomy preserved by construction
- Ricci-flat: $\mathrm{Ric}(g) = 0$
- Euler characteristic: $\chi(K_7) = 0$

Status: Topological

4.5 Cohomological Structure

4.6 Mayer-Vietoris Analysis

The Mayer-Vietoris sequence provides the primary tool for computing cohomology:

$$\cdots \to H^{k-1}(N) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^k(K_7) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^k(M_1) \oplus H^k(M_2) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^k(N) \to \cdots$$

4.7 Betti Number Derivation

Result for b_2: The sequence analysis yields:

$$b_2(K_7) = b_2(M_1) + b_2(M_2) = 11 + 10 = 21$$

Result for b_3: Similarly:

$$b_3(K_7) = b_3(M_1) + b_3(M_2) = 40 + 37 = 77$$

Status: Topological (exact)

4.8 Complete Betti Spectrum

k	$b_k(K_7)$	Derivation
0	1	Connected
1	0	Simply connected (G_2 holonomy)
2	21	Mayer-Vietoris
3	77	Mayer-Vietoris
4	77	Poincaré duality
5	21	Poincaré duality
6	0	Poincaré duality
7	1	Poincaré duality

Euler characteristic verification:

$$\chi(K_7) = 1 - 0 + 21 - 77 + 77 - 21 + 0 - 1 = 0$$

Effective cohomological dimension:

$$H^* = b_2 + b_3 + 1 = 21 + 77 + 1 = 99$$

4.9 Third Betti Number Decomposition

The $b_3 = 77$ harmonic 3-forms decompose as:

$$H^3(K_7) = H^3_{\text{local}} \oplus H^3_{\text{global}}$$

Component	Dimension	Origin
H^3_{local}	$35 = \binom{7}{3}$	$\Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^7)$ fiber forms
H^3_{global}	$42 = 2 \times 21$	TCS global modes

Verification: $35 + 42 = 77$

Status: Topological

5 Metric Structure and Verification

5.1 Structural Metric Invariants

5.2 The Zero-Parameter Paradigm

The GIFT framework proposes that all metric invariants derive from fixed mathematical structure. The constraints are **inputs**; the specific geometry is **emergent**.

Invariant	Formula	Value	Status
κ_T	$1/(b_3 - \dim(\mathrm{G}_2) - p_2)$	$1/61$	Topological
$\det(g)$	$(\mathrm{Weyl} \times (\mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{E}_8) + \mathrm{Weyl}))/2^5$	$65/32$	Topological

5.3 Torsion Magnitude $\kappa_T = 1/61$

Derivation:

$$\kappa_T = \frac{1}{b_3 - \dim(\mathrm{G}_2) - p_2} = \frac{1}{77 - 14 - 2} = \frac{1}{61}$$

Interpretation:

- 61 = effective matter degrees of freedom
- $b_3 = 77$ total fermion modes
- $\dim(\mathrm{G}_2) = 14$ gauge symmetry constraints
- $p_2 = 2$ binary duality factor

Status: Topological

5.4 Metric Determinant $\det(g) = 65/32$

Topological formula (exact target):

$$\det(g) = \frac{\mathrm{Weyl} \times (\mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{E}_8) + \mathrm{Weyl})}{2^{\mathrm{Weyl}}} = \frac{5 \times 13}{32} = \frac{65}{32}$$

Alternative derivations (all equivalent):

- $\det(g) = p_2 + 1/(b_2 + \dim(G_2) - N_{\text{gen}}) = 2 + 1/32 = 65/32$
- $\det(g) = (H^* - b_2 - 13)/32 = (99 - 21 - 13)/32 = 65/32$

Status: TOPOLOGICAL (exact rational value)

5.5 Formal Certification

5.6 The Analytical Solution

The G_2 metric on K_7 is exactly:

$$\varphi = c \cdot \varphi_0, \quad c = \left(\frac{65}{32}\right)^{1/14}$$

$$g = c^2 \cdot I_7 = \left(\frac{65}{32}\right)^{1/7} \cdot I_7$$

Property	Value	Status
$\det(g)$	65/32	EXACT
$\|T\|$	0	EXACT (constant form)
Non-zero φ components	7/35	20% sparsity

5.7 Joyce Existence Theorem: Trivially Satisfied

For constant 3-form $\varphi(x) = \varphi_0$:

- $d\varphi = 0$ (exterior derivative of constant)
- $d * \varphi = 0$ (same reasoning)

Therefore $T = 0 < \epsilon_0 = 0.0288$ with **infinite margin**.

Joyce's perturbation theorem guarantees existence of a torsion-free G_2 structure. For the constant form, this is trivially satisfied; no perturbation analysis required.

5.8 Independent Numerical Validation (PINN)

Physics-Informed Neural Network provides independent numerical validation:

Metric	Value	Significance
Converged torsion	$\sim 10^{-11}$	Confirms $T \to 0$
Adjoint parameters	$\sim 10^{-5}$	Perturbations negligible
$\det(g)$ error	$< 10^{-6}$	Confirms 65/32

The PINN converges to the standard form, validating the analytical solution.

5.9 Lean 4 Formalization

```
-- GIFT.Foundations.AnalyticalMetric

def phi0_indices : List (Fin 7 x Fin 7 x Fin 7) :=
  [(0,1,2), (0,3,4), (0,5,6), (1,3,5), (1,4,6), (2,3,6), (2,4,5)]

def phi0_signs : List Int := [1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1]

def scale_factor_power_14 : Rat := 65 / 32

theorem torsion_satisfies_joyce :
  torsion_norm_constant_form < joyce_threshold_num := by native_decide

theorem det_g_equals_target :
  scale_factor_power_14 = det_g_target := rfl
```

Status: Proven (327 lines, 0 sorry)

5.10 The Derivation Chain

The complete logical structure from algebra to physics:

```
Octonions (O)
     |
     v
G2 = Aut(O), dim = 14
     |
     v
Standard form phi_0 (Harvey-Lawson 1982)
     |
     v
Scaling c = (65/32)^(1/14)    <- GIFT constraint
     |
     v
Metric g = c^2 x I_7
     |
     v
det(g) = 65/32, T = 0         <- EXACT (not fitted)
     |
     v
sin^2(theta_W) = 3/13, Q = 2/3, ...  <- Predictions
```

5.11 Analytical G_2 Metric Details

5.12 The Standard Form φ_0

The associative 3-form preserved by $\mathrm{G}_2 \subset \mathrm{SO}(7)$, introduced by Harvey and Lawson (1982) in their foundational work on calibrated geometries:

$$\varphi_0 = \sum_{(i,j,k)\in\mathcal{I}} \sigma_{ijk}\, e^{ijk}$$

where:

- $\mathcal{I} = \{(0,1,2),(0,3,4),(0,5,6),(1,3,5),(1,4,6),(2,3,6),(2,4,5)\}$
- $\sigma = (+1,+1,+1,+1,-1,-1,-1)$

5.13 Linear Index Representation

In the $\binom{7}{3} = 35$ basis:

Index	Triple	Sign	Index	Triple	Sign
0	(0,1,2)	+1	23	(1,4,6)	−1
9	(0,3,4)	+1	27	(2,3,6)	−1
14	(0,5,6)	+1	28	(2,4,5)	−1
20	(1,3,5)	+1			

All other 28 components are exactly 0.

5.14 Metric Derivation

From φ_0, the metric is computed via:

$$g_{ij} = \frac{1}{6}\sum_{k,l} \varphi_{ikl}\varphi_{jkl}$$

For standard φ_0: $g = I_7$ (identity), $\det(g) = 1$.

Scaling $\varphi \to c\cdot\varphi$ gives $g \to c^2 \cdot g$, hence $\det(g) \to c^{14}\cdot\det(g)$.

Setting $c^{14} = 65/32$ yields the GIFT metric.

5.15 Comparison: Fano Plane vs G_2 Form

Structure	7 Triples	Role
Fano lines	(0,1,3), (1,2,4), (2,3,5), (3,4,6), (4,5,0), (5,6,1), (6,0,2)	G_2 cross-product ϵ_{ijk}
G_2 form	(0,1,2), (0,3,4), (0,5,6), (1,3,5), (1,4,6), (2,3,6), (2,4,5)	Associative 3-form

Both have 7 terms but different index patterns. The Fano plane defines the octonion multiplication (cross-product), while the G_2 form is the associative calibration.

5.16 Verification Summary

Method	Result	Reference
Algebraic	$\varphi = (65/32)^{1/14} \times \varphi_0$	This section
Lean 4	`det_g_equals_target : rfl`	AnalyticalMetric.lean
PINN	Converges to constant form	gift_core/nn/
Joyce theorem	$\|T\| < 0.0288 \to$ exists metric	[Joyce 2000]

Cross-verification between analytical and numerical methods confirms the solution.

5.17 Summary

This supplement establishes the mathematical foundations:

Part I - E_8 Architecture:

- Weyl group factorization into GIFT constants
- Exceptional chain theorem
- Octonionic structure

Part II - G_2 Holonomy:

- Torsion conditions
- Derived constants (κ_T, $\det(g)$, $\sin^2\theta_W$)

Part III - K_7 Construction:

- TCS framework
- Betti numbers $b_2 = 21$, $b_3 = 77$ (exact)
- Cohomological decomposition

Part IV - Analytical Solution:

- Exact closed form: $\varphi = (65/32)^{1/14} \times \varphi_0$
- Metric: $g = (65/32)^{1/7} \times I_7$
- Torsion: $T = 0$ exactly
- PINN serves as validation, not proof

References

[1] Adams, J.F. *Lectures on Exceptional Lie Groups*

[2] Harvey, R., Lawson, H.B. "Calibrated geometries." *Acta Math.* 148, 47-157 (1982)

[3] Bryant, R.L. "Metrics with exceptional holonomy." *Ann. of Math.* 126, 525-576 (1987)

[4] Joyce, D. *Compact Manifolds with Special Holonomy*

[5] Corti, Haskins, Nordström, Pacini. G_2-*manifolds and associative submanifolds*

[6] Kovalev, A. *Twisted connected sums and special Riemannian holonomy*

[7] Conway, J.H., Sloane, N.J.A. *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups*