

Toward a Universal Turing Market Machine: Autonomous, Neuromorphic Market Infrastructure

Andrew Kessler

November 2025

Abstract

Friedrich Hayek’s theory of markets as systems of spontaneous order implies that markets cannot be centrally designed. Yet, the infrastructures that support them (auction mechanisms, pricing algorithms, and settlement protocols) *are* designed and continuously optimized. In the digital era, these infrastructures have become software modules, fragments of code that enable price discovery and resource allocation across complex economic networks. This paper proposes that while markets themselves emerge spontaneously, their computational substrate can **and must** be unified. We introduce the concept of a *Universal Turing Market Machine* (UTMM): a self-organizing, neuromorphic system capable of autonomously discovering and adapting the requisite variety needed for efficient coordination.

1. Introduction

Hayek’s central insight was that the market is a mechanism for aggregating dispersed information. No planner, however intelligent, can substitute for the distributed cognition embedded in millions of individual choices. Markets therefore emerge as forms of *spontaneous order*, patterns of coordination that arise without central control, driven by the collective processing of local knowledge.

However, while the market’s order cannot be imposed, the *infrastructure* within which it operates is designed. We continuously construct and refine auction mechanisms, clearing systems, and price discovery protocols. Google’s Generalized Second-Price (GSP) auction, for instance, determines the value of digital advertising space through carefully engineered code. The invisible hand, it seems, now runs on algorithms.

2. Fragmented Computational Markets

Today’s market infrastructures are computationally instantiated as code, algorithms that operationalize specific market functions. Each is a specialized *Turing machine* solving one problem: a matching engine for trades, an auction protocol for bids, or a consensus algorithm for ledger integrity. Yet these systems remain disjointed, a collection of isolated programs optimized for local efficiency rather than systemic coherence.

What has not yet been attempted is a *Universal Turing Market Machine* (UTMM): a generalized market infrastructure that abstracts the fundamental logic of price formation, resource coordination, and adaptation into a single computational framework. Such a machine would not be “invisible” in the sense of Adam Smith’s metaphor. Rather, it would be fully inspectable. All participants could observe and understand its internal states, its learning processes, and its evolving decision rules.

3. The Need for Autonomy

No group of experts, however intelligent, can design a universal market machine from first principles. The architecture must therefore be *autonomous*. The UTMM should discover its own dimensionality (the number of control variables required to maintain stability) through interaction with its environment.

According to Ashby’s *Law of Requisite Variety*, a system must possess at least as much internal variety as the environment it seeks to regulate. When competition between market agents becomes balanced, innovation pressure increases, expanding the dimensional space required for control. When innovation yields diminishing returns, the system has likely achieved the requisite level of variety.

Durkheim’s concept of *organic solidarity* parallels this: specialization and interdependence enhance systemic intelligence but impose costs in trust and coordination. The UTMM must balance these forces autonomously.

4. A Neuromorphic Market Architecture

To achieve autonomy, the UTMM should be structured analogously to a biological nervous system. Four functional components suffice:

1. **Sensory neurons:** sensors (such as IoT) that detect market signals and states (prices, demand shifts, external shocks, warehouse supply, soil states etc) and translate them into digital inputs.
2. **Messenger neurons:** communication pathways (e.g., blockchain or distributed ledgers) that transmit verified transaction signals through the system.

3. **Compute neurons:** a distributed “brain,” potentially GPU clusters or specialized processors, running metaheuristic algorithms such as genetic algorithms or swarm intelligence to evolve optimal resource allocation strategies.
4. **Actuators:** mechanisms that execute outcomes (adjusting bids, prices, or allocations based on computed strategies but also physical states though phygital objects)

Together, these components form a neuromorphic system, a computational organism that senses, computes, and acts.

Each component is relatively simple, yet collectively they produce a form of distributed intelligence.

5. Objectives and Adaptation

Within this nervous system deployed we have a kind of market operating system into which we can embed programs. These programs encode objectives, low-dimensional constraints that guide behavior. These objectives initiate discovery and adaptation: they expand the dimensionality of the control system when innovation is required, and stabilize it when equilibrium is reached. It is important to stress that although the objectives are low dimensional, the markets that emerge from such a system are not.

Through iterative feedback, the UTMM evolves its own structure, optimizing the relationship between its objectives and its environmental complexity. The result

is a self-organizing computational market substrate, a digital organism that autonomously maintains economic homeostasis.

6. Discussion and Implications

The Universal Turing Market Machine reframes market design as an evolutionary problem. Rather than engineering static rules, we engineer the conditions for continuous adaptation. By combining neuromorphic computing, distributed ledgers, and algorithmic governance, the UTMM becomes both *visible* and *autonomous*.

In such a framework, accountability and transparency are intrinsic: every transaction, adjustment, and adaptation is both explainable and auditable. The invisible hand becomes, paradoxically, visible. A living computational structure that reflects the aggregate intelligence of its participants.

7. Conclusion

From Hayek’s spontaneous order to Smith’s invisible hand, economic thought has long emphasized the emergent nature of coordination. Yet digital systems now allow us to formalize emergence itself. The UTMM represents a step toward an integrated, adaptive market infrastructure, a system that senses, computes, and acts across the economic landscape. By treating market systems as living computational organisms, we move closer to a world where markets not only evolve, but understand their own evolution.

We therefore introduce the term **Adaptive Resource-Coordinated Organisms (ARCOs)** as a unifying concept encompassing the ideas and designs presented here. ARCOs then are socio-technical systems that merge **machine learning**, **blockchain signaling**, and **adaptive market solvers** into a single architecture executed over a neuromorphic network.

References

- [1] F. A. Hayek, “The Use of Knowledge in Society,” *American Economic Review*, vol. 35, no. 4, 1945.
- [2] A. Smith, *The Wealth of Nations*, 1776.
- [3] W. R. Ashby, *An Introduction to Cybernetics*, Chapman & Hall, 1956.
- [4] É. Durkheim, *The Division of Labour in Society*, 1893.