Abstract

Ion thrusters remain a cornerstone of electric propulsion, providing high specific impulse and proven reliability for deep-space exploration. Despite these strengths, practical challenges such as electrode erosion, limited thrust density, and unfavorable thrust-to-power ratios restrict broader scalability. Active plasma control has emerged as a promising pathway to address these inefficiencies. This letter reviews advancements between 2019 and 2025, emphasizing segmented electrode designs and rotating magnetic field (RMF) systems, while situating them in the historical context of Fisch et al. (1999), who pioneered segmented electrode Hall thruster experiments. Reported improvements include 10–20% gains in thrust-to-power efficiency and up to 25% increases in operational lifetime. Calculations demonstrate how beam collimation, erosion reduction, and electrode-less acceleration translate into enhanced performance margins. These developments are particularly relevant for CubeSat-scale systems and future Mars transport missions, where power consumption and durability remain decisive factors. Taken together, innovations in plasma control represent not merely incremental steps but a paradigm shift in propulsion for the coming decades.

---

1. Introduction

Electric propulsion (EP) has steadily evolved since the 1960s, when Harold Kaufman first developed the gridded ion thruster at NASA's Lewis Research Center. Early demonstrations in the SERT-I and SERT-II missions proved the feasibility of using xenon ions for efficient spacecraft maneuvering. Over subsequent decades, advances in hollow cathodes, grid materials, and power processing units transformed ion propulsion into a reliable technology. NASA's Deep Space 1 and Dawn missions, which orbited asteroids and dwarf planets using limited propellant supplies, validated EP as a practical alternative to chemical propulsion for long-duration missions.

Yet, despite these successes, persistent inefficiencies remain. Conventional gridded ion thrusters suffer from grid erosion due to ion bombardment, while Hall thrusters exhibit plume divergence and reduced efficiency at low power. Both architectures face scaling difficulties when applied to CubeSats or high-power nuclear-electric platforms. The core limitation lies in the inability to actively control the plasma environment once the thruster is fabricated.

This realization gave rise to the idea of **active plasma control**. By shaping electric or magnetic fields dynamically, thrusters can mitigate instabilities, extend operational lifetime, and enhance thrust-to-power ratios. Two promising approaches have emerged: segmented electrodes, which provide localized electric field control, and RMF-based thrusters, which eliminate electrodes entirely. This paper reviews both, combining historical insights from early work (Fisch et al., 1999) with modern results from 2019–2025.

---

2. Innovations in Plasma Control

2.1 Segmented Electrodes

Traditional ion thrusters use monolithic acceleration grids. This rigid configuration cannot compensate for plasma instabilities, uneven sputtering, or beam asymmetries. Segmented electrodes divide grids into multiple independently controlled sections, each able to adjust its potential in real time.

Historical Foundations

The concept was first explored by Fisch, Raitses, and colleagues (1999) at Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory. Their Hall thruster with segmented emissive electrodes demonstrated efficiency near 56% at 300 V and 890 W under conventional operation, and preliminary data suggested potential reductions in plume divergence. However, challenges such as ion losses and increased electron mobility were observed, underscoring the complexity of plasma–electrode interactions. While preliminary, the Princeton experiments established the foundation for modern refinements.

Recent Advances

From 2019 to 2024, segmented electrodes have been tested in gridded ion thrusters and narrow-channel Hall thrusters. By tuning voltages across electrode segments, beam divergence reductions of up to 30% and thrust-to-power improvements of 10–20% have been reported. This adaptive capability allows thrusters to recalibrate mid-mission, compensating for wear and sustaining efficiency.

Calculation Example – Beam Collimation

For a xenon thruster operating at 0.038 N thrust, conventional beam divergence losses of 15% reduce effective thrust to 0.032 N. With segmentation, divergence losses fall to 10.5%, raising effective thrust to 0.034 N. Though a 6% gain may seem modest, over a 3-year interplanetary cruise, this translates into hundreds of meters per second of additional ΔV, often the difference between mission success and failure.

Erosion Mitigation Estimate

If a conventional grid erodes at ~1 µm/hour under xenon bombardment, mission lifetime is limited to ~15,000 hours (≈1.7 years). Segmented electrodes, by redistributing the potential drop and reducing localized ion bombardment by 25%, extend lifetime to nearly 20,000 hours (≈2.3 years). Such margins enable Mars transfers or multi-target asteroid surveys without thruster replacement.

2.2 Rotating Magnetic Fields (RMF)

While segmentation improves electrostatic control, RMF systems eliminate electrodes entirely. Oscillating magnetic fields induce azimuthal currents, accelerating plasma in an “electrode-less” configuration.

Modern Experiments

Between 2020 and 2025, laboratories demonstrated RMF-driven helicon thrusters operating on iodine, a solid propellant that sublimates under moderate heating. Iodine’s storage density and low cost make it ideal for CubeSats, while its corrosive nature hinders conventional grids. RMF systems bypass this issue, enabling erosion-free operation.

Reported performance includes lifetime improvements of 20–25% and resilience to impurities such as oxygen or residual moisture. For small satellites, the ability to carry iodine pellets instead of bulky xenon tanks reduces mass and complexity.

Calculation Example – Thrust-to-Power Ratio

Consider a 1 kW iodine-fed RMF thruster producing 40 mN thrust at 3000 s Isp. The exhaust velocity is:

$$v_e = I_{sp} \cdot g_0 = 3000 \times 9.81 \approx 29{,}43\partial M \,/\, s$$

Thrust–power ratio:

$$\frac{T}{P} = \frac{40 \times 10^{-3}}{1000} = 4.0 \times 10^{-5} N \,/\, w$$

With 20% efficiency gain from optimized RMF fields:

$$\frac{T}{P} \approx 4.8 \times 10^{-5} N \,/\, w$$

For a 20 kW spacecraft, thrust rises from 800 mN to 960 mN, enough to shorten a Mars transfer trajectory by several weeks.

2.3 Comparative Benefits and Hybridization

- **Segmented Electrodes:** Reduce beam divergence, mitigate erosion, extend lifetime.
- **RMF Systems:** Eliminate electrodes, support alternative fuels, tolerate impurities.
- **Hybrid Concept:** Adaptive segmented optics for beam shaping combined with RMF-driven acceleration for erosion-free operation. This integration could yield both high efficiency and long lifetime, crucial for nuclear-electric missions.

. A Simple Thrust Calculation

To ground the discussion, consider the classical thrust equation:

$$T = \sqrt{\frac{2qV}{m}} \times \frac{dm}{d\tau}$$

Where:

$q = 1.6x, 10^{-19}C$

$V = 1000V$

$m = 2.18 \times 10^{-25}kg$

$\frac{dm}{d\tau} = 1.0 \times 10^{-6}kg\ /\ S$

the effective ion exhaust velocity is:

$$v = \sqrt{\frac{2qV}{m}} = \sqrt{\frac{2.16 \times 10^{-19} \cdot 1000}{2.18 \times 10^{-25}}} \approx 38.299.679m\ /\ s$$

Thrust:

$$T = v \cdot \frac{dm}{dt} \approx 38{,}299.679 \times 1.0 \times 10^{-6} \approx 3.829968 \times 12^{-2}N \approx 0.03830N$$

This 38 mN of thrust, though seemingly modest, becomes powerful when sustained continuously over months. With segmented electrodes improving beam collimation by 15% or RMF systems extending life by 25%, the cumulative delta-V achievable over multi-year missions expands dramatically. Such margins distinguish missions that remain in orbit from those capable of reaching Mars or the asteroid belt.

---

3. Discussion

3.1 Comparison with Hall and Ion Thrusters

Hall thrusters remain attractive for mid-power regimes (1–20 kW) due to simplicity, while gridded ion thrusters dominate long-duration efficiency. Segmentation narrows this gap, bringing

Hall thruster plume divergence closer to ion thruster performance. RMF systems, meanwhile, offer scalability for both small CubeSats and large nuclear-electric platforms.

3.2 Computational Modeling

Advances in simulation tools have accelerated plasma control research. Particle-in-cell (PIC) methods capture kinetic electron behavior, while hybrid fluid-kinetic models reduce computational load. Python-based frameworks, validated against Princeton and ESA experiments, allow predictive tuning of segmentation patterns or RMF coil frequencies. Simulations from 2021 onward reproduced plasma density and potential profiles within 10% of laboratory results, increasing confidence in flight readiness.

3.3 Propellant Savings Over Mission Duration

For a Mars transfer requiring $\Delta V \approx 5$ km/s, a conventional 5 kW ion thruster might consume 200 kg of xenon. With segmented electrodes improving efficiency by 15%, required propellant drops to ~170 kg. For RMF systems tolerant of iodine, the same mission could replace xenon with 150 kg of iodine, lowering cost and storage volume.

3.4 Toward Hybrid Architectures

Future thruster concepts may combine segmentation and RMF. Segmentation would dynamically shape ion optics near the exit plane, while RMF accelerates plasma without erosion. This hybrid could achieve >20% efficiency gains and lifetimes exceeding 30,000 hours, making multi-decade outer solar system missions feasible.

---

4. Long-Term Outlook

Plasma control innovations extend beyond conventional missions.

- **Mars Cargo Transport:** Efficient megawatt-class ion propulsion, aided by plasma shaping, could push heavy payloads reliably.
- **Asteroid Mining:** Electrode-less RMF thrusters using locally obtained iodine or water-derived propellants reduce reliance on Earth-based resupply.
- **Interstellar Precursors:** Concepts like Breakthrough Starshot or NASA's Interstellar Probe require propulsion lifetimes measured in decades. Plasma control, by minimizing erosion, represents a stepping stone toward this goal.
- **Nuclear-Electric Propulsion:** Hybrid segmented-RMF thrusters may pair with compact nuclear reactors to achieve high-thrust, long-duration missions beyond Saturn.

---

5. Conclusions

Ion thrusters have matured from laboratory curiosities into reliable engines for exploration. Yet their limitations—erosion, inefficiency, and plume divergence—restrict scalability. Active plasma control, rooted in the segmented electrode experiments of Fisch et al. (1999) and advanced today through RMF thrusters, offers a credible solution.

Key takeaways:

- **Segmented electrodes** reduce beam divergence by 30%, improve thrust-to-power efficiency by 10–20%, and extend lifetimes by thousands of hours.
- **RMF systems** eliminate erosion, enable iodine propellants, and deliver 20–25% lifetime improvements.
- **Hybridization** may combine the best of both approaches, achieving unprecedented efficiency and durability.

The next phase requires in-orbit demonstration, scaling to both CubeSats and high-power systems. If successful, plasma control will underpin the next generation of sustainable exploration — from managing satellite constellations to powering human missions to Mars and beyond.

References

- Fisch, N. J., Raitses, Y., Litvak, A. A., & Dorf, L. A. (1999). *Design and Operation of Hall Thruster with Segmented Electrodes*. AIAA Paper 99-2572.
- Goebel, D., & Katz, I. (2021). *Fundamentals of Electric Propulsion: Ion and Hall Thrusters*. NASA JPL.
- Nakayama, Y., et al. (2020). “Plasma control in segmented ion optics.” *Journal of Applied Physics*, 128(4).
- Charles, C. (2022). “Helicon plasma thrusters with rotating magnetic fields.” *Physics of Plasmas*, 29(9).
- Hofer, R. R., et al. (2021). “The NEXT-C ion propulsion system development and qualification.” *AIAA Journal of Propulsion and Power*.
- Lev, D., et al. (2023). “Iodine-fed ion propulsion for CubeSats.” *Nature Astronomy*.
- Morozov, A. I. (1973). *Plasma Accelerators*. Mashinostroenie.
- Fruchtman, A., & Fisch, N. J. (1998). “Modeling the Hall Thruster.” *AIAA 98-3500*.