

Checksum Validation (CPP-002)

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| CPP-Identifier | CPP-002 |
| CPP-Label | Checksum Validation |
| Author | Kris Dekeyser |
| Contributors | Johan Kylander |
| Evaluators | Felix Burger, Maria Benauer, Laura Molloy |
| Date of edition completed | 29.08.2025 |
| Change history | Comments |
| Version 1.0 - 29.08.2025 | Milestone version |

1. Description of the CPP

The TDA validates checksums against those stored in the *Information Package* at *Ingest* or *Access*.

Inputs and outputs

| Input(s) | |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Data | <i>File</i> |
| Metadata | <i>Fixity metadata</i> |
| Output(s) | |
| Metadata | <i>Provenance metadata</i> (the checksum validation event, including the datetime) |
| Alerts | <i>File</i> corruption alert for each <i>File</i> that fails the check |
| | Unsupported checksum algorithm alert for each algorithm that is not supported by the system |

Definition and scope

The Checksum Validation process compares the checksums that come with the *Information Package*, with checksums based on the current state of the *File*'s data. Checksums of *Files* are validated at *Ingest* or *Access* to a TDA. The checksums may be either part of 1) a *SIP*; 2) an imported *AIP*; or 3) an *AIP* stored in the TDA.

A misalignment between the checksum algorithms used in the *Information Package* and the TDA's checksum policy's list of algorithms may occur. For example, incoming *Information Packages* (*SIPs* and *AIPs*) may include a checksum with a different algorithm created by the producer. In the case of *AIPs*, the checksum policy might have been updated during the lifecycle of the TDA, resulting in the removal and/or addition of algorithms. In such cases, the process should use whatever algorithm is associated with a given checksum to the best of its potential.

Process description

Trigger event(s)

| Trigger event | CPP-identifier |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| <i>SIP</i> or <i>AIP</i> import | CPP-029 (Ingest) |
| <i>DIP</i> generation | CPP-025 (Enabling Access) |
| <i>AIP</i> export | CPP-006 (AIP Batch Export) |

Step-by-step description

| No | Supplier | Input | Steps | Output | Customer |
|----|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | <i>SIP</i> | Fixity information | Get the list of pre-calculated checksums with their respective algorithms | List of checksums and algorithms | |
| | CPP-001 (Checksum Generation and Recording) | | | | |
| 2 | | List of checksums and algorithms | Evaluate for each algorithm individually if it is supported by the system | Algorithm supported (step 3) | |
| | | Digital archive system configuration | | Algorithm not supported: a) examine further procedure (e.g. based on legal agreements; | |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | <p>submission policies; communication with producer, if possible)</p> <p>b) Process completed</p> | |
| 3 | | <i>File</i> | For each algorithm, recalculate the checksum of the <i>File</i> and match it with the given checksum | All <i>File</i> checksums match (step 5) | |
| | | List of checksums and algorithms | | <p>Alert that any of the <i>File</i> checksums does not match:</p> <p>a) Examine further procedure</p> <p>b) Process completed</p> | |
| 5 | | All <i>File</i> checksums match | Document the event and its timestamp | Datetime for the checksum generation in the <i>Provenance metadata</i> | |

Rationale(s)¹ and worst case(s)

| Rational | Impact of inaction or failure of the process |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Making sure the data content is still in the condition as intended | Data corruption during transfers into the system or during export could go unnoticed |

2. Dependencies and relationships with other CPPs

Dependencies

| CPP-ID | CPP-Title | Relationship description |
|---------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| CPP-001 | Checksum Generation | CPP-002 relies on fixity information as produced and stored by CPP-001, but only during CPP-025 Enabling Access and CPP-006 AIP Batch Export. During CPP-029 Ingest, the fixity information supplied by the <i>SIP</i> will be used instead. |

Other relations

| Relation | CPP-ID | CPP-Title | Relationship description |
|-------------|---------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Required by | CPP-006 | AIP Batch Export | To ensure the integrity of the data during transport from the TDA storage the exported <i>Files</i> ' checksums need to be verified. |
| Required by | CPP-025 | Enabling Access | As the <i>DIP</i> is created, all <i>File</i> checksums need to be validated to ensure that the <i>DIP</i> is representative of the <i>AIP</i> . |
| Required by | CPP-029 | Ingest | For any <i>SIP</i> submitted to the TDA all included <i>File</i> checksums need to be checked to validate the integrity of the <i>Files</i> . |

¹ Term derived from PREMIS.

| | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Affinity with | CPP-004 | Data Corruption Management | All new <i>AIP</i> copies must have their checksum validated to verify that the process was successful. However, the checksum validation is more mechanical in its nature, only aiming at verification of the copy process. The CPP-002 checksum validation is more comprehensive (including negotiations with producers and validation of results). |
| | CPP-030 | Refreshment | |
| Affinity with | CPP-011 | Replication | When <i>Files</i> are replicated, successful replication is validated by comparing the replicated <i>Files</i> ' checksums against the original <i>Files</i> ' checksums |
| Not to be confused with | CPP-003 | Integrity checking | Both CPPs get input from CPP-001, and both calculate a checksum from an <i>Information package</i> and compare it to a given checksum. The difference is that CPP-002 is done during the <i>Ingest</i> or <i>Access</i> phases (relating to transfer of content, changes in space), while CPP-003 is done periodically during the preservation of the contents in the archival storage (relating to changes over time). Thus, CPP-002 and CPP-003 are not only triggered by different processes, but also trigger different responses. |

3. Links to frameworks

Certification

| Certification framework | Term used in framework to refer to the CPP | Section |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| CTS Link | Checksum (cf Extended Guidance documentation)/ | Information Technology and Security/Storage & Integrity (R14) |
| Nestor Seal Link | / | / |
| ISO 16363 Link | / | / |

Other frameworks and reference documents

| Reference Document | Term used in framework to refer to the process | Section |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| OAIS Link | Quality assurance | 4.2.3.3 (Ingest) |
| PREMIS Link | / | / |

4. Reference implementations

Publicly available documentation

| Institution | Organisation type | Language | Hyperlink |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| TIB – Leibniz Information Centre for Science and Technology and University Library, Germany | National library | English | https://wiki.tib.eu/confluence/spaces/lza/pages/93608391/Preservation+of+data+integrity+as+part+of+the+process+routines |
| | Non-commercial digital preservation service | | |
| | Research infrastructure | | |
| | Research performing organisation | | |
| CSC – IT Center for Science Ltd., Finland | Non-commercial digital preservation service | Finnish | https://urn.fi/urn:nbn:fi-fe2024051731943 (Annex 3, section 2.1.1) |
| Archivemata | Digital preservation system | English | https://www.archivemata.org/en/docs/archivemata-1.17/user-manual/transfer/transfer/#create-a-transfer-with-existing-checksums |