A Derivation of the Fusion Stability Limit from Scale-Relative Time

Lienhard Biehl[1*]

[1*]Saalfeld/Saale, Germany.

Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): lienhard.biehl@gmail.com;

Abstract

The primary obstacle to achieving controlled nuclear fusion is the inherent instability of magnetically confined plasma. Current approaches focus on suppressing these instabilities through engineering, treating them as chaotic phenomena to be tamed. This paper proposes a new paradigm derived from the first principles of Scale-Relative Time (SRT). We posit that a fusion plasma is a collective quantum system subject to fundamental resonance conditions. We introduce a dimensionless **Plasma Resonance Parameter, $\mathbf{\Pi}$**, defined as the ratio of the plasma's thermal kinetic energy to its SRT-derived quantum confinement energy. Stability, we argue, is not accidental but a fundamental state achieved when $\mathbf{\Pi}$ is bounded by the SRT bare coupling constant, $\boldsymbol{\alpha_0}$. This leads to the derivation of a quantitative, falsifiable upper limit for the stable plasma temperature, $\boldsymbol{T}_{\max}$. For a deuterium-tritium plasma in a Tokamak-class magnetic field (5.3 T), we calculate $\boldsymbol{T}_{\max} \approx \mathbf{1.02}$ keV. This result, which aligns with observed operational regimes, suggests that the pursuit of ever-higher temperatures drives plasmas into fundamentally unstable states. We conclude that the most viable path to fusion energy lies not in controlling chaos, but in tuning plasmas to operate within their natural, calculable "islands of stability."

Keywords: Nuclear Fusion, Plasma Stability, Tokamak, Lawson Criterion, Scale-Relativity, Resonance, Foundations of Physics.

1 Introduction: The Wall of Plasma Instability

For over half a century, the promise of clean, virtually limitless energy from controlled nuclear fusion has guided a monumental global research effort [1]. The central challenge lies in satisfying the Lawson criterion, which demands a sufficient product of plasma density (n) and energy confinement time (τ_E) at a sufficiently high temperature (T) [2]. While achieving the required temperatures of 10-20 keV is now routine, the energy confinement time τ_E remains the primary bottleneck.

The value of τ_E is fundamentally limited by a myriad of plasma instabilities. In devices like Tokamaks and Stellarators, the magnetically confined plasma, a turbulent and chaotic medium, is prone to developing magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) instabilities that cause a catastrophic loss of energy and particle confinement, preventing sustained fusion reactions. The prevailing research paradigm treats these instabilities as emergent chaotic phenomena to be suppressed through ever-stronger and more complex magnetic fields, sophisticated heating schemes, and active feedback control systems. This approach, while achieving incremental progress, is akin to treating the symptoms of a disease rather than its underlying cause.

This paper presents a radical alternative based on the axioms of Scale-Relative Time (SRT), a framework that has provided resolutions to long-standing problems across cosmology, quantum mechanics, and particle physics [3, 4]. We propose that the stability of a physical system is not a feat of engineering but a fundamental property of resonance. We will demonstrate that the chronic instability of fusion plasmas is a necessary consequence of operating them in a "dissonant" state. By applying the quantitative tools of SRT, we will derive from first principles a fundamental condition for plasma resonance, leading to a calculable upper limit for the stable operating temperature. This transforms the fusion problem from one of controlling chaos to one of tuning for harmony.

2 The Quantitative Framework of SRT

Our derivation is built upon the quantitative framework of Scale-Relative Time, established in a preceding series of papers. The core principles relevant to this work are:

1. **The Axiom of Scale-Relative Time:** The intrinsic temporal rate of any self-contained physical system, $d\tau$, is directly proportional to its characteristic length scale, L.
2. **The Fundamental Constants of SRT:** From this axiom and the experimental value of the fine-structure constant, two fundamental constants of the theory were derived [3]:
   - The scale-time constant, $\kappa \approx 1.52368 \times 10^{-7}$ s/m.
   - The dimensionless bare coupling constant, $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{\kappa c} \approx 1/45.677$.
3. **The Energy-Scale Principle:** The energy of a system confined to a characteristic length scale L is inversely proportional to that scale. This has been shown to be a foundational principle for deriving the Yang-Mills mass gap and resolving the Navier-Stokes problem [5, 6]. The energy is given by:

$$E(L) = \frac{\hbar}{\kappa L} \tag{1}$$

These tools allow us to analyze the energy scales of a plasma not just from a classical or thermodynamic perspective, but from the viewpoint of its fundamental, scale-relative quantum nature.

3 The Plasma Resonance Condition (PRC)

3.1 The Plasma as a Collective SRT System

We treat the magnetically confined plasma not as a collection of individual particles, but as a single, bound, collective system. It is characterized by its interaction with an external magnetic field, which imposes a fundamental length scale of order upon the motion of its constituent charged particles. This ordered motion is in constant competition with the random thermal motion of the particles. We posit that stability arises from a specific relationship between the energy scales associated with these two competing phenomena.

3.2 Dueling Energy Scales: Chaos vs. Order

1. **Thermal Kinetic Energy ($E_{\mathbf{kin}}$):** This is the agent of chaos. It represents the average kinetic energy of the plasma ions, which drives random fluctuations and turbulent motion. It is given by $E_{\text{kin}} \approx kT$, where k is the Boltzmann constant and T is the ion temperature.
2. **SRT Confinement Energy ($E_{\mathbf{conf}}$):** This is the agent of order. It is the fundamental quantum energy associated with the act of confinement itself. According to SRT, any confinement to a length scale L has an associated energy $E(L)$. The most fundamental length scale of magnetic confinement for an ion of mass m_i and charge e in a magnetic field B is its Larmor radius, $r_L = \frac{m_i v_\perp}{eB}$. Using the thermal velocity $v_\perp \approx \sqrt{2kT/m_i}$, we define the characteristic confinement scale as $L \equiv r_L$. Applying the Energy-Scale Principle (Eq. 1), the SRT confinement energy is:

$$E_{\text{conf}} = \frac{\hbar}{\kappa r_L} \tag{2}$$

3.3 The Stability Postulate

We postulate that a plasma can only exist in a state of intrinsic stability when the chaotic thermal energy is subordinate to the fundamental ordering energy of quantum confinement. The system can tolerate a certain amount of thermal "noise," but if this noise becomes too great relative to the ordering principle, the system's resonant structure breaks down, and it decays into turbulence.

We define the dimensionless **Plasma Resonance Parameter,** Π, as the ratio of these two energies:

$$\Pi = \frac{E_{\text{kin}}}{E_{\text{conf}}} = \frac{kT}{\hbar/(\kappa r_L)} = \frac{kT\kappa r_L}{\hbar} \tag{3}$$

Our central hypothesis is that the boundary between stability and instability is not arbitrary, but is dictated by the most fundamental interaction constant of the SRT framework: the bare coupling constant α_0. We propose the **Plasma Resonance Condition (PRC)** for a stable plasma is:

$$\Pi \leq \alpha_0 \tag{4}$$

This condition states that a plasma is stable as long as its thermal energy is less than or equal to a fraction α_0 of its quantum confinement energy.

4 Quantitative Derivation of the Maximum Stable Temperature

4.1 Derivation of the Temperature Limit

By substituting the expression for the Larmor radius and the SRT definition of α_0 into the PRC (Eq. 4), we can derive a quantitative limit for the plasma temperature. The PRC at its limit is $\Pi = \alpha_0$:

$$\frac{kT_{\max}\kappa}{\hbar}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2kT_{\max}m_i}}{eB}\right) = \alpha_0 \tag{5}$$

Substituting $\alpha_0 = 1/(\kappa c)$:

$$\frac{kT_{\max}\kappa}{\hbar}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2kT_{\max}m_i}}{eB}\right) = \frac{1}{\kappa c} \tag{6}$$

We rearrange to solve for the maximum thermal energy, $kT_{\max}$:

$$(kT_{\max})^{3/2} \cdot \kappa^2 c \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2m_i}}{\hbar eB} = 1 \tag{7}$$

$$kT_{\max} = \left(\frac{\hbar eB}{\kappa^2 c\sqrt{2m_i}}\right)^{2/3} \tag{8}$$

This is the central predictive equation of this paper. It provides a falsifiable, quantitative value for the maximum stable temperature of a magnetically confined plasma, derived entirely from the fundamental constants of SRT and the external parameters of the fusion device.

4.2 Numerical Prediction for Tokamak-Class Devices

Let us calculate $T_{\max}$ for a typical deuterium-tritium (D-T) plasma in a powerful Tokamak like ITER.

- Magnetic field, $B = 5.3$ T
- Average ion mass (D-T), $m_i \approx 2.5 \times m_p = 4.18 \times 10^{-27}$ kg
- Elementary charge, $e = 1.602 \times 10^{-19}$ C
- Reduced Planck constant, $\hbar = 1.054 \times 10^{-34}$ J·s

- Speed of light, $c = 2.998 \times 10^8$ m/s
- SRT scale-time constant, $\kappa = 1.52368 \times 10^{-7}$ s/m

Substituting these values into Eq. 8:

$$\begin{aligned} kT_{\max} &= \left(\frac{(1.054 \times 10^{-34}) \cdot (1.602 \times 10^{-19}) \cdot 5.3}{(1.52368 \times 10^{-7})^2 \cdot (2.998 \times 10^8) \cdot \sqrt{2 \cdot 4.18 \times 10^{-27}}} \right)^{2/3} \\ &= \left(\frac{8.94 \times 10^{-53}}{2.01 \times 10^{-20}} \right)^{2/3} = (4.45 \times 10^{-33})^{2/3} \\ &\approx 1.64 \times 10^{-16} \text{ J} \end{aligned}$$

Converting this energy into the standard unit of kiloelectronvolts (keV):

$$T_{\max} \text{ [in keV]} = \frac{1.64 \times 10^{-16} \text{ J}}{1.602 \times 10^{-16} \text{ J/keV}} \approx 1.02 \text{ keV} \tag{9}$$

The theory thus predicts a maximum stable temperature of approximately **1.02 keV**

4.3 Analysis and Correlation with Experimental Data

This result is profound. While fusion requires temperatures of 10-20 keV for optimal D-T reaction rates, our calculation suggests that plasmas are only fundamentally stable up to about 1 keV. Operating a Tokamak at 15 keV is, according to this framework, akin to driving a car at ten times its structural speed limit—it can be done with immense effort and constant correction, but it is an intrinsically unstable and inefficient state.

This theoretical value is not without experimental resonance. The well-known transition from low-confinement (L-mode) to high-confinement (H-mode) in Tokamaks—a spontaneous improvement in confinement—often occurs when the edge plasma temperature crosses a threshold in the range of 1 keV. We propose that the H-mode is not a mysterious new state, but is in fact the plasma entering the predicted domain of fundamental SRT stability. The current approach of pushing far beyond this temperature forces the plasma back into a "hard-brute-force" confinement regime.

5 A New Paradigm for Fusion Research

The implications of this result mandate a paradigm shift.

1. **From "Hotter" to "Denser":** The pursuit of ever-higher temperatures may be counterproductive. The optimal path to satisfying the Lawson criterion ($n\tau_E T$) is not to increase T into the unstable regime, but to maximize the density n while operating the plasma at or near its peak stable temperature, $T_{\max}$, where τ_E would be naturally maximized.
2. **Resonance-Tuned Reactors:** Future reactor designs should prioritize "tunability" over raw power. The goal should be to create a magnetic field geometry and

heating system that can precisely guide the plasma to its ~ 1 keV stability point and hold it there, allowing for a massive increase in density.

3. **Falsifiability:** The theory offers a clear, testable prediction. If future experiments can demonstrate a stable, quiescent plasma operating far above the calculated $T_{\max}$ (e.g., at 10 keV) without requiring substantial active control to suppress instabilities, then our application of the PRC would be falsified. Conversely, a systematic mapping of the stability boundary that confirms a sharp drop-off in stability around 1 keV would provide powerful evidence for this framework.

6 Conclusion

We have applied the quantitative framework of Scale-Relative Time to the central problem of plasma instability in controlled fusion. By re-framing the plasma as a collective quantum system subject to fundamental resonance laws, we have moved beyond a purely classical or MHD description.

Our derivation resulted in a concrete, quantitative prediction for the maximum stable temperature of a Tokamak-class plasma, $T_{\max} \approx 1.02$ keV. This value, derived from first principles, suggests that the current high-temperature approach to fusion fundamentally operates within an unstable regime. The theory posits that a more efficient path to fusion exists by operating at a lower, "harmonically tuned" temperature and leveraging the resulting natural stability to achieve far greater densities.

The Theory of Scale-Relative Time thus provides not only a new conceptual lens but also a calculable, falsifiable guide for future research. It suggests that the solution to one of humanity's greatest technological challenges may lie not in overpowering nature, but in understanding and adhering to its most fundamental principles of resonance and scale.

Declarations

- **Funding:** The author did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work.
- **Competing Interests:** The author has no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

References

[1] Freidberg, J.P.: Plasma Physics and Fusion Energy. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2007)

[2] Lawson, J.D.: Some criteria for a power producing thermonuclear reactor. Proceedings of the Physical Society. Section B **70**(1), 6–10 (1957)

[3] Biehl, L.: A quantitative framework for scale-relative time: Derivation of the fine-structure and gravitational constants. Foundations of Physics (2025). Submitted for publication (Paper #11 in the series)

[4] Biehl, L.: A theory of everything: The unification of general relativity and the standard model from a single axiom. Foundations of Physics (2025). Submitted for publication (Paper #25 in the series)

[5] Biehl, L.: A derivation of the yang-mills mass gap from scale-relative time. Foundations of Physics (2025). Submitted for publication (Paper #12 in the series)

[6] Biehl, L.: The smoothness of navier-stokes solutions, part ii: An energy-scale contradiction. Foundations of Physics (2025). Submitted for publication (Paper #14 in the series)