Chewing as a Brainstem-Mediated Stress Modulator: An Evolutionary Hypothesis Linking Orofacial Neural Activation to Emotional Eating and Obesity

Author: Kira Christina Staggs, BSc, NBC-HWC
Email: KaeCeeEss_Health@outlook.com

Abstract

Although emotional eating is a well-documented contributor to obesity, the neurological basis for stress-induced chewing remains largely under-explored. This paper proposes a novel hypothesis: that chewing functions as an evolutionarily conserved mechanism to initiate parasympathetic modulation during stress recovery, mediated via cranial nerves, including the trigeminal (V), glossopharyngeal (IX), and hypoglossal (XII) that converge in the brainstem.

The act of chewing may serve as a neurosensory signal initiating the shift of autonomic tone from sympathetic arousal toward parasympathetic regulation. In ancestral environments, such orofacial activity may have played a subtle but adaptive role in recovery from acute stress. In modern contexts, this biological mechanism is activated not by survival behaviors, but by highly palatable, ultra-processed foods that simultaneously engage reward circuitry. The result is a mismatch: stress triggers an innate drive to chew, which modern food environments exploit, reinforcing patterns of compulsive eating and contributing to the obesity epidemic.

This hypothesis integrates neuroanatomical, behavioral, and evolutionary perspectives, emphasizing chewing as a transitional regulator rather than a direct inhibitor of stress. Future research should investigate the timing, pathways, and limits of this mechanism using neuroimaging, heart rate variability, and endocrine markers to assess how orofacial activity influences the stress-recovery process.

1. Introduction

The modern environment is saturated with stimuli that trigger sympathetic nervous system activation: from digital alerts to urban noise and social stressors. This constant activation places physiological strain on the body, contributing to chronic stress, metabolic dysregulation, and psychiatric vulnerability (McEwen, 1998). One frequently observed but under-theorized human behavior in response to stress is chewing. People under stress often eat, grind their teeth, chew gum, or engage in oral fidgeting. The prevailing view frames this as emotional eating or self-soothing, but this paper posits a deeper physiological explanation. Chewing itself serves as a neuroregulatory mechanism to initiate the parasympathetic nervous system and transition the autonomic state toward recovery.

While emotional eating is often characterized as a maladaptive habit, there is considerable evidence that humans are drawn to oral activity during distress, especially when engaging with high-fat, high-sugar foods, offering not only metabolic comfort but potentially activating a soothing sensory-motor loop (Dallman et al., 2003; Macht & Simons, 2000). This hypothesis argues that these behaviors may be vestiges of an evolved oral response that recruits multiple brainstem structures to modulate autonomic output.

2. Cranial Nerve Activation During Chewing

Chewing engages multiple cranial nerves, all of which have central connections in the brainstem:

- **Trigeminal nerve (CN V)**: innervates the muscles of mastication and relays sensory information from the teeth, jaw, and face.
- **Glossopharyngeal nerve (CN IX)**: involved in swallowing and relaying sensory signals from the tongue and pharynx.
- **Facial nerve (CN VII)**: controls facial muscles and contributes to oral-motor coordination.
- **Hypoglossal nerve (CN XII)**: governs tongue movement essential to chewing and swallowing.

All of these cranial nerves terminate centrally in or near the nucleus tractus solitarius (NTS) and adjacent structures within the brainstem, key hubs in the central autonomic network, that coordinate sympathetic and parasympathetic output (Benarroch, 1993; Saper, 2002). These connections suggest that mastication has direct access to neural circuits capable of modulating heart rate, respiratory rhythm, and visceral tone.

Importantly, this theory does not propose that chewing halts the sympathetic response, but rather that it activates parasympathetic pathways- particularly through the convergence of sensory-motor signals in brainstem nuclei that initiate a shift toward recovery and safety.

3. Evolutionary Rationale for Oral-Motor Regulation

From an evolutionary perspective, behaviors that enhance survival during threat while enabling recovery during safety would have been selectively advantageous. The autonomic nervous system's two branches the sympathetic (fight-or-flight) and the parasympathetic (rest-and-digest) evolved to help organisms navigate fluctuating environmental demands. However, efficient transitions between these states are equally vital. This paper proposes that chewing behavior, and its associated cranial nerve activity, evolved not only for nutrient acquisition but also as a mechanism to initiate state regulation following stress or arousal.

Oral-motor behaviors are strongly conserved across mammalian species and appear early in development. Suckling, for example, serves both a nutritive and a regulatory function, producing measurable calming effects in infants, partly mediated by endogenous opioid release (Blass &

Hoffmeyer, 1991). In adult mammals, non-nutritive chewing, such as gnawing or object manipulation, increases during stress and appears to modulate physiological stress markers (Hashimoto et al., 2023).

These behaviors may reflect an evolutionarily conserved neuromodulatory function. Chewing activates multiple cranial nerves that converge on brainstem circuits responsible for autonomic regulation. This redundancy suggests evolutionary pressure to maintain oral-motor input as a signaling system for safety. Research has shown that chewing during stress can reduce cortisol and other stress markers, supporting the idea that oral behavior is not merely habitual but deeply integrated with the nervous system (Kubo et al., 2015).

4. Stress Behaviors and the Chewing Response

Across species, stress-induced behaviors often include repetitive oral-motor actions: lip licking, bruxism, gnawing, or chewing on non-food objects. These patterns are not easily explained by nutritional need or classical coping models alone. Instead, they may represent an evolutionarily conserved mechanism of autonomic modulation through cranial nerve activation.

In rodent studies, chewing during exposure to stressors such as immobilization or noise has been shown to blunt hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis activity, reduce circulating corticosterone, and normalize gastrointestinal function which are all disrupted during a stress response (Hashimoto et al., 2023). In humans, chewing gum during acute psychological stress has been associated with lower self-reported stress, reduced salivary cortisol, and improved mood (Scholey et al., 2009).

These findings support the idea that chewing is not merely a conditioned habit but a neuromotor act with regulatory properties. It may serve as a behavioral switch, initiating parasympathetic tone during or immediately after sympathetic arousal. Importantly, these benefits appear to be tied not to the nutritional content of what is chewed, but to the mechanical and neural aspects of the act itself. This suggests the therapeutic potential of mastication-like behavior, even in the absence of food for stress regulation.

The increasing prevalence of teeth grinding (bruxism), nail biting, pen chewing, and oral fidgeting may be modern expressions of this ancestral regulation system. Rather than viewing them solely as stress symptoms, such behaviors might reflect the nervous system's attempt to self-regulate through cranial feedback mechanisms.

5. Chewing, Obesity, and Modern Dopaminergic Traps

In the context of modern life, the ancient regulatory function of chewing may be hijacked by the availability of hyperpalatable foods, contributing to stress-eating cycles and the obesity epidemic. When individuals experience stress, they often reach for foods that are high in sugar, fat, or salt - compounds known to drive strong dopaminergic responses (Volkow et al., 2013).

These choices are commonly interpreted as emotional or reward-seeking behaviors. However, this framework misses the possibility that the chewing behavior itself (especially when paired with energy-dense foods) serves as a biologically embedded attempt to transition the nervous system from arousal to recovery.

Stress alters eating behavior through both physiological and psychological pathways. Cortisol increases appetite and shifts food preference toward high-calorie foods. At the same time, oral motor activity may provide neural signals that partially counterbalance the state of distress by activating parasympathetic-linked cranial nerve pathways. This creates a feedback loop in which the individual seeks both dopaminergic relief from palatable food and neuroregulatory feedback from chewing. The synergy between these systems may explain the compulsive nature of stress eating.

Modern food environments exacerbate this dynamic. Processed foods are designed for high palatability and ease of chewing, often requiring little oral effort. This may blunt the regulatory effects of mastication by limiting cranial nerve recruitment. In contrast, foods that require more effortful chewing such as raw vegetables, whole grains, or fibrous meats may provide greater parasympathetic signaling, suggesting a possible therapeutic angle in dietary interventions.

Furthermore, the decline in traditional chewing behaviors (due to soft modern diets, dental degradation, or food processing) may be subtly undermining the body’s ability to self-regulate stress. This opens the door to reexamining dietary recommendations not only for their nutritional content but for their neurophysiological properties in stress modulation.

6. Implications for Dental Interventions and Oral Sensory Deprivation

If chewing plays a critical role in autonomic regulation through cranial nerve signaling, then modern dental interventions, particularly those that diminish oral sensory input may have unintended consequences for stress modulation (Tasaka et al., 2018). Procedures like root canals, full-mouth extractions, and the use of dental prosthetics can reduce or alter the mechanical stimulation of teeth and surrounding structures, potentially limiting input to the trigeminal and associated cranial nerves.

The trigeminal nerve (CN V) is not only central to mastication but also among one of the most richly innervated cranial nerves, with direct connections to the brainstem and limbic structures. Loss of sensory input from the periodontal ligament or dental pulp following procedures like root canals could, in theory, diminish the richness or fidelity of oral-motor feedback involved in regulating autonomic tone. This might explain, in part, why some individuals experience changes in stress reactivity, emotional regulation, or even mood after extensive dental work (Hauge et al., 2023).

Furthermore, dental pain or occlusal instability may inhibit chewing altogether, thereby reducing the likelihood of engaging in a self-regulatory behavior during times of stress. This may create a compounding effect, where individuals are not only dealing with the physical consequences of dental intervention but also the neurological side effects of diminished oral-motor signaling.

These implications suggest that dentists, prosthodontists, and other oral health professionals might benefit from considering the neurosensory role of the oral cavity, not merely as a mechanical or aesthetic concern, but as a functional component of brainstem-autonomic regulation. Interdisciplinary collaboration between dentistry, neurology, and psychiatry may help clarify whether preservation or restoration of chewing capacity could serve not just biomechanical ends but also psychophysiological health.

7. Applications in Neurodivergent Populations and Sensory Modulation

Neurodivergent individuals, particularly those with autism spectrum disorder (ASD), ADHD, or sensory processing disorders, frequently engage in repetitive oral behaviors such as chewing on objects, gum, clothing, or specialized sensory tools. These behaviors are often interpreted as self-stimulatory (“stimming”) or regulatory responses to overload. Within the framework proposed in this paper, these oral-motor behaviors may reflect an intuitive use of chewing to access autonomic downregulation through cranial nerve activation.

Studies in sensory integration therapy have recognized that deep pressure and rhythmic input can modulate arousal and improve focus (Bodison & Parham, 2017). Chewing may offer a portable, socially acceptable version of such input (one that activates the trigeminal, glossopharyngeal, and hypoglossal nerves) all of which interface with brainstem centers involved in autonomic regulation. This mechanism may help explain why many neurodivergent individuals report improved concentration, reduced anxiety, and better emotional regulation when allowed to chew during cognitively or emotionally demanding tasks.

The potential regulatory benefits of chewing in these populations suggest new therapeutic avenues. For example, structured use of crunchy or resistant-texture foods might be studied as a non-pharmacological intervention for improving sensory integration or attention in children with ADHD. Similarly, personalized oral sensory tools could be optimized not just for sensory satisfaction but for maximal cranial nerve activation.

In institutional or educational settings, these insights challenge the stigma often placed on oral-motor behaviors and open the door to accommodating chewing as a valid and potentially therapeutic form of sensory regulation.

8. Proposed Study Design - Chewing and Autonomic Recovery After Acute Stress

To begin testing the hypothesis that chewing serves as a regulatory cue following autonomic arousal, we propose an experimental study examining the physiological and psychological effects of different chewing conditions in the aftermath of an acute stressor.

Objective

To assess whether chewing, particularly sensorimotor-rich chewing facilitates faster and more complete recovery of autonomic balance (i.e., parasympathetic reactivation) following psychological stress.

Study Design

Participants:

- 80-100 healthy adults, aged 18-50
- Exclusion: Known neurological or cardiac conditions, medications affecting autonomic function, recent dental surgery

Procedure:

1. Baseline phase: Resting HRV, cortisol, skin conductance, and mood ratings are recorded.
2. Stress induction: Participants complete a validated acute stressor task (e.g., timed public speaking or math challenge).
3. Recovery phase: Immediately after the stressor, participants are randomized into one of four 10-minute conditions:

- A: Crunchy food (e.g., raw carrots or celery)
- B: Soft food (e.g., applesauce)
- C: Gum chewing (sugar-free gum)
- D: No chewing (quiet sitting — control)

Measurements:

- Heart Rate Variability (HRV) – Primary outcome, measured continuously
- Galvanic Skin Response (GSR) – As a sympathetic arousal marker
- Salivary Cortisol – Collected pre-stressor, post-stressor, and post-recovery
- Subjective Stress – Visual Analog Scale and short-form questionnaires pre- and post-recovery

Hypotheses

- Participants in Condition A (crunchy food) will show greater parasympathetic recovery (i.e., higher HRV) and lower subjective stress than those in other conditions.
- Condition C (gum) may provide some regulatory benefit, but less than textured food.
- Condition B (soft food) is expected to be least effective among chewing groups due to lower proprioceptive input.
- The control group (D) will demonstrate the slowest recovery, providing a baseline for comparison.

Significance

This study would offer a direct, physiological test of the theory that chewing functions as a sensorimotor transition cue, evolved to help the nervous system shift from sympathetic activation to parasympathetic recovery. Unlike top-down cognitive regulation, this mechanism would operate below conscious awareness, making it accessible to diverse populations including children, neurodivergent individuals, or those in high-stress environments.

Findings could inform:

- Stress recovery strategies
- Behavioral interventions for emotional eating
- Low-barrier support tools for focus and regulation in education and mental health settings

9. Conclusion and Future Directions

The hypothesis proposed in this paper: that chewing acts as an evolutionarily conserved sensorimotor signal for autonomic transition following stress, offers a novel reinterpretation of a universal behavior. Rather than framing chewing during stress solely as a maladaptive or hedonic response, this model positions it as a biologically embedded mechanism designed to support homeostasis and emotional recovery.

Evidence from cranial nerve anatomy, brainstem neurophysiology, and behavioral observations all converge to suggest that the trigeminal, glossopharyngeal, and hypoglossal nerves, activated during mastication, send powerful afferent signals directly to autonomic control centers in the brainstem. These signals may act as bottom-up cues that “permission” the shift from sympathetic arousal to parasympathetic recovery, particularly following threats or stressors where the body is mobilized for action.

Importantly, this theory does not assert that chewing terminates the stress response or acts as a primary regulator of threat perception. Instead, it posits that chewing provides a transitional neural input: a subtle, embodied signal of safety and satiation similar in role to deep pressure touch, rhythmic motion, or slow exhalation. This distinction is critical, as studies that fail to show reductions in acute cortisol or stress during chewing may still support its use in post-threat regulation rather than threat interruption.

This framework may also help explain why modern humans, faced with chronic psychological stressors, increasingly turn to foods that are crunchy, processed, and high in sugar. These foods offer not only dopaminergic reward, but also powerful orofacial stimulation, a surrogate for natural regulatory mechanisms that may otherwise be absent. In combination with the addictive properties of sugar and the pervasiveness of low-nutrient foods, this could contribute to patterns of emotional eating and, more broadly, to the obesity epidemic.

The implications of this hypothesis extend beyond nutritional psychology:

- Dental interventions, especially those that remove or alter oral sensory feedback (e.g., root canals), may have downstream effects on emotional regulation and autonomic tone.
- Neurodivergent individuals, who often rely on oral sensory input for regulation, may benefit from structured chewing interventions that leverage this pathway.
- Public health models may consider promoting textural variety and functional chewing not only for digestive or orthodontic benefits, but for neural regulation as well.

The proposed study outlined in Section 8 will serve as a starting point to test the physiological underpinnings of this idea. If confirmed, this work could pave the way for future research into chewing as a low-cost, widely accessible, and under recognized mechanism for supporting stress recovery and emotional self-regulation.

Chewing is a ubiquitous human behavior, yet its deeper neurological and evolutionary significance has been largely overlooked in modern research. This paper proposes that chewing serves not merely as a mechanical or nutritional action, but as a sensorimotor signal to the brainstem, initiating the shift from sympathetic arousal to parasympathetic recovery. This reframing aligns anatomy, behavior, and physiology into a coherent hypothesis: that mastication is not a byproduct of stress responses, but an integral part of the recovery process itself.

By drawing attention to the cranial nerve pathways involved in chewing and their direct connection to the brainstem's autonomic centers, this hypothesis opens new directions for interdisciplinary research. It also provides a plausible mechanism for phenomena ranging from emotional eating to neurodivergent oral sensory needs, and may help explain the evolutionary origins of why we are drawn to chew, particularly in times of stress.

The study proposed here marks the first step in empirically validating this model. If supported by data, this research could lead to innovative interventions in nutrition, dentistry, psychology, and public health. It could reframe chewing not as a coping mechanism to be suppressed, but as a built-in regulatory tool that can be intentionally leveraged for emotional and physiological recovery.

In a world increasingly shaped by chronic stress and sensory overwhelm, understanding the ancient signals of the body may help us build better tools for resilience. Chewing, in this context, may be more than a habit. It may actually be a message from our nervous system that it's time to come home to safety.

References

Benarroch E. E. (1993). The central autonomic network: functional organization, dysfunction, and perspective. Mayo Clinic proceedings, 68(10), 988–1001. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0025-6196(12)62272-1

Blass, E. M., & Hoffmeyer, L. B. (1991). Sucrose as an analgesic for newborn infants. Pediatrics, 87(2), 215–218.

Bodison, S. C., & Parham, L. D. (2017). Specific sensory techniques and sensory environmental modifications for children and youth with Sensory Integration Difficulties: A systematic review. The American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 72(1). https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2018.029413

Dallman, M. F., Pecoraro, N., Akana, S. F., La Fleur, S. E., Gomez, F., Houshyar, H., Bell, M. E., Bhatnagar, S., Laugero, K. D., & Manalo, S. (2003). Chronic stress and obesity: a new view of "comfort food". Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 100(20), 11696–11701. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1934666100

Hauge, M.S., Willumsen, T. & Stora, B. Changes in symptoms of anxiety, depression, and PTSD in an RCT-study of dentist-administered treatment of dental anxiety. BMC Oral Health 23, 415 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-023-03061-4

Hashimoto, A., Nozaki, A., Inoue, H., & Kuwano, T. (2023). High masticatory ability attenuates psychosocial stress: A cross-sectional study. PloS one, 18(1), e0279891. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0279891

Kubo, K. Y., Iinuma, M., & Chen, H. (2015). Mastication as a stress-coping behavior. *BioMed Research International*, 2015, 876409. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/876409

Macht, M., & Simons, G. (2000). Emotions and eating in everyday life. Appetite, 35(1), 65–71. https://doi.org/10.1006/appe.2000.0325

McEwen B. S. (1998). Stress, adaptation, and disease. Allostasis and allostatic load. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 840, 33–44. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1998.tb09546.x

Saper C. B. (2002). The central autonomic nervous system: conscious visceral perception and autonomic pattern generation. Annual review of neuroscience, 25, 433–469. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.25.032502.111311

References

Scholey, A., Haskell, C., Robertson, B., Kennedy, D., Milne, A., & Wetherell, M. (2009). Chewing gum alleviates negative mood and reduces cortisol during acute laboratory psychological stress. Physiology & behavior, 97(3-4), 304–312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2009.02.028

Tasaka, A., Kikuchi, M., Nakanishi, K., Ueda, T., Yamashita, S., & Sakurai, K. (2018). Psychological stress-relieving effects of chewing — relationship between masticatory function-related factors and stress-relieving effects. Journal of Prosthodontic Research, 62(1), 50–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpor.2017.05.003

Volkow, N. D., Wang, G. J., Tomasi, D., & Baler, R. D. (2013). Obesity and addiction: neurobiological overlaps. Obesity reviews : an official journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity, 14(1), 2–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-789X.2012.01031.x