Recent Advances in CFD Modeling of Multiphase Reactors

Dr. Rodney O. Fox Anson Marston Distinguished Professor

Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering Ames Laboratory US–DOE Center for Multiphase Flow Research & Education (CoMFRE) Iowa State University, USA

International Symposium "InPROMPT 2016" Berlin, Germany 2–3 June 2016

Outline



- 2 Gas–Solid Reactors
- Gas–Liquid Reactors
- Gas-Liquid-Solid Reactors



Outline



- 2 Gas–Solid Reactors
- 3 Gas–Liquid Reactors
- 4 Gas–Liquid–Solid Reactors
- 5 Conclusions

Center for Multiphase Flow Research & Education

Established

2014

Vision

 Integrate the activities and expertise of individual research leaders to accelerate knowledge transfer from fundamental scientific advances in multiphase flow to industrial applications.



Research focus

 Multiphase flow research for sustainable production of energy, chemicals, and fuels; manufacture of advanced materials and pharmaceuticals; and development of novel devices and treatments for human health

Center for Multiphase Flow Research & Education

Multiphase Reactor Design



unconventional feed stocks for energy and chemicals

R. O. Fox (Iowa State University - CoMFRE)

Multiphase Reactors - Modeling Challenges

- Continuous phase
- Disperse phase
- Size distribution
- Finite particle inertia
- Particle collisions
- Variable mass loading
- Chemical reactions
- Heat and mass transfer
- Multiphase turbulence



Bidisperse gas-solid flow (DNS of S. Subramaniam)

Multiphase Reactors

Bubble columns



Gas-liquid reactors



Gas-liquid-solid reactors



Himmelsbach et al., 2006

CFD Modeling of Multiphase Reactors



R. O. Fox (Iowa State University - CoMFRE)

Multiphase Reactors - CFD Modeling Challenges

- Multiphase flows with strong coupling between phases
- Wide range of phase volume fractions (even in same reactor!)
- Inertial particles/droplets with wide range of Stokes numbers
- Polydispersity (e.g. size, density, shape) is always present
- Chemical reactions in one (or all) phases
- Wide range of chemical and physical time scales

Need a robust and versitile CFD modeling framework!

Kinetic-Based Modeling Approach



Mesoscale model incorporates more microscale physics in closures!

R. O. Fox (Iowa State University – CoMFRE) CFD Modeling of Multiphase Reactors

Multiphase QBMM Framework Based on OpenFOAM

Kinetic-based multiphase models implemented in open-source CFD code

- Consistent and widely adopted framework
- Multi-platform
- Automatic parallelism: industrial-scale computations
- Growing suite of single and multiphase flow models
- Details at

www.openqbmm.org



Outline





- 3) Gas–Liquid Reactors
- 4) Gas–Liquid–Solid Reactors
- 5 Conclusions

Overview

Gas-Solid Reactors

- solid density \gg gas density
- fluid drag dominants momentum exchange
- particle diameter $\gg 1 \ \mu m$
- finite particle inertia (St \gg 1)
- inelastic collisions
- particle size distribution



Kinetic Theory of Granular Flow coupled to gas-phase continuity and momentum balances

Kinetic-Based Model for Gas–Solid Flows

Particle-phase kinetic equation

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial n}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \cdot (\mathbf{A}n) = \mathbb{C}$$

- $n(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$: velocity NDF
- v: particle velocity
- A: particle acceleration (drag, gravity, ...)
- C: rate of change of *n* due to particle–particle collisions

Fluid-phase equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho_{g} \alpha_{g} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho_{g} \alpha_{g} \mathbf{U}_{g} \right) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho_{g} \alpha_{g} \mathbf{U}_{g} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho_{g} \alpha_{g} \mathbf{U}_{g} \mathbf{U}_{g} \right)$$
$$= \nabla \cdot \alpha_{g} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{g} + \beta_{g} + \rho_{g} \alpha_{g} \mathbf{g}$$

•
$$\alpha_{\rm g} = 1 - \alpha_{\rm p}$$
: gas volume fraction

• β_g : mean particle drag

Equations coupled through moments of velocity NDF

Lagrangian vs. Eulerian Simulations

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial n}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \cdot (\mathbf{A}n) = \mathbb{C}$$

Lagrangian method

For large ensemble, particle positions and velocities are tracked

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^{(\alpha)}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{v}^{(\alpha)}$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}^{(\alpha)}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{A}^{(\alpha)} + \mathcal{C}^{(\alpha)}$$

Limited by statistical "noise" and coupling errors

Eulerian method Velocity moments are tracked

$$M^{0} = \alpha_{p} = \int n \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}$$
$$M_{i}^{1} = \alpha_{p} U_{pi} = \int v_{i} n \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}$$
$$M_{i,j}^{2} = \alpha_{p} \left(U_{pi} U_{pj} + P_{i,j} \right)$$

Moments closed with QBMM

•

Cluster-Induced Turbulence

Multiphase turbulence generated by momentum coupling

R. O. Fox (Iowa State University - CoMFRE)

CFD Modeling of Multiphase Reactors

CFD Model for Gas-Solid Flows

Particle phase

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho_{\mathrm{p}} \alpha_{\mathrm{p}} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho_{\mathrm{p}} \alpha_{\mathrm{p}} \mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{p}} \right) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho_{\mathrm{p}} \alpha_{\mathrm{p}} \mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{p}} \right) + \nabla \cdot \rho_{\mathrm{p}} \alpha_{\mathrm{p}} \left(\mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{p}} + \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathrm{p}} \right) \\ &= \rho_{\mathrm{p}} \alpha_{\mathrm{p}} \beta_{\mathrm{p}} + \rho_{\mathrm{p}} \alpha_{\mathrm{p}} \mathbf{g} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho_{\mathrm{p}} \alpha_{\mathrm{p}} \Theta_{\mathrm{p}} \right) + \nabla \cdot \rho_{\mathrm{p}} \alpha_{\mathrm{p}} \left(\mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{p}} \Theta_{\mathrm{p}} + \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{p}} \right) \\ &= -\rho_{\mathrm{p}} \alpha_{\mathrm{p}} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathrm{p}} : \nabla \mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{p}} - \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\Theta} \end{aligned}$$

Fluid phase

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho_{g} \alpha_{g} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho_{g} \alpha_{g} \mathbf{U}_{g} \right) = 0$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho_{g} \alpha_{g} \mathbf{U}_{g} \right) + \nabla \cdot \rho_{g} \alpha_{g} \left(\mathbf{U}_{g} \mathbf{U}_{g} + \boldsymbol{\tau}_{g} \right) \\ &= -\rho_{p} \alpha_{p} \beta_{p} + \rho_{g} \alpha_{g} \mathbf{g} \end{split}$$

•
$$\alpha_{\rm p} + \alpha_{\rm g} = 1$$

•
$$\beta_{\rm p} = \frac{1}{\tau_D} (\mathbf{U}_{\rm g} - \mathbf{U}_{\rm p})$$

- τ_D : drag time scale
- γ_{Θ} : granular energy dissipation

Coupled Navier–Stokes Eqs. \implies Fluidized-bed reactors

Electrostatics in Fluidized-Bed Reactors

- Chemical, petrochemical, polymer, food, pharmaceutical, agricultural, biochemical industries
- Polyethylene (PE), Polypropylene (PP), Styrenes, Vinyl chloride
- Different grades of PE (LLDPE, LDPE, HDPE)
- Fluidized-bed process
 - Low pressure and temperature
 - Low capital investment and operational costs
 - No solvent separation
 - Excellent heat removal
 - Capability to utilize different catalysts
 - Need to avoid agglomeration and sheeting

Electrostatics in Fluidized-Bed Reactors



Typical locations for fluidized-bed sheeting G. Hendrickson, CES **61**, 1041–1064 (2006)

R. O. Fox (Iowa State University - CoMFRE)

CFD Modeling of Multiphase Reactors

Classification of Particles Based on Electrostatic Behavior

- HDPE particles supplied by Univation Technologies
- Particles fluidized at given superficial gas velocity for set time period
- After fluidization period, fluidizing gas is turned off
- Elutriated Particles are collected in filter bag (FINES)
- Bed particles dropped into bottom Faraday cup (DROPPED)
- Particles stick to wall (WALL): thickness and height is measured
- Particle size distribution and charge measurements (FINES, WALL, DROPPED)

R. G. Rokkam et al., CES 92, 146-156 (2013)

Model for Particle Size Distribution

• Continuity

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\mathrm{s}n} \alpha_{\mathrm{s}n}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \rho_{\mathrm{s}n} \alpha_{\mathrm{s}n} \mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{s}n} = 0$$

Momentum

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{sn} \alpha_{sn} \mathbf{U}_{sn}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \rho_{sn} \alpha_{sn} \mathbf{U}_{sn} \mathbf{U}_{sn} = -\alpha_{sn} \nabla p_{g} - \nabla p_{sn} + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_{sn} - f_{gn} + \sum_{m=1}^{N} f_{nm} + \rho_{sn} \alpha_{sn} \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{F}_{qsn}$$

 ε_{sn} : volume fraction of n^{th} solid phase τ_{sn} : stress tensor of n^{th} solid phase U_{sn} : velocity of n^{th} solid phase F_{asn} : electrostatic force on n^{th} solid phase

Electrostatic Model

• Gauss law for electric field

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\alpha_{g} \left(\frac{2.17}{\varepsilon_{g}} - 1.20 \right) \nabla \varphi \right] = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_{sn} \alpha_{sn}$$

- α_{g}, α_{sn} is gas-phase and n^{th} solid-phase volume fraction
- φ is electric potential
- q_{sn} is n^{th} solid-phase charge

$$\mathbf{F}_{qsn} = -q_{sn}\alpha_{sn}\nabla\varphi$$

• Electrostatic model coupled with CFD model

Rokkam et al., Powder Technology, 203, 109-124 (2010)

Algorithm

- Step 1: solve multi-fluid model at every grid point to find α_{g} , α_{sn}
- Step 2: solve Poisson equation

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\alpha_{\rm g} \left(\frac{2.17}{\alpha_{\rm g}} - 1.20 \right) \nabla \varphi \right] = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \sum_{n=1}^N q_{\rm sn} \alpha_{\rm sn}$$

• Step 3: evaluate electrostatic force

$$\mathbf{F}_{qsn} = -q_{sn}\alpha_{sn}\nabla\varphi$$

• Step 4: add electrostatic force to CFD model in Step 1 and repeat Steps 1, 2, 3, 4 for next iteration

Simulation Parameters

- Cylindrical column
- Eulerian–Eulerian KTGF model for fluidized bed
- Gidaspow drag model for gas-solid interaction
- Electrostatic model as user-defined function
- Gas-phase properties (air)
 - density: 4.93 kg/m³
 - viscosity: 1.8×10^{-5} kg/(ms)
- Particle-phase properties (HDPE)
 - density: 920 kg/m³
 - restitution coefficient: 0.8
 - charge distribution q_{sn} measured as function of size

Slug Flow Regime



Gas volume fraction (i) 0.22 s (ii) 0.62 s (iii) 1.02 s (iv) 1.62 s (v) 1.82 s

Electric Potential and Electric Field



(l) electric potential (V) (r) radial component of electric field (V/m)

R. O. Fox (Iowa State University – CoMFRE)

CFD Modeling of Multiphase Reactors

Simulation results

Bubbling Flow Regime



Gas volume fraction (i) 2.30 s (ii) 2.70 s (iii) 3.30 s (iv) 5.50 s (v) 5.70 s

Electric Potential and Electric Field



(l) electric potential (V) (r) radial component of electric field (V/m)

Simulation results

Dropped Particles



Volume fraction of DROPPED particles (1) no charge (r) charged

Wall Particles



Volume fraction of WALL particles (l) no charge (r) charged

R. O. Fox (Iowa State University - CoMFRE)

CFD Modeling of Multiphase Reactors

Berlin, Germany 2–3 June 2016 29 / 48

Simulation results

Near Wall Behavior



WALL particles (l) charged (c) zoom (r) different range

Outline





Gas-Liquid Reactors

Gas–Liquid–Solid Reactors

5 Conclusions

Polydisperse Bubbly Flow







CFD Model for Bubbly Flow

Model must account for

- Liquid-phase continuity and momentum
- Gas-phase continuity and momentum
- Coupling due to buoyancy, drag, virtual mass, lift, wall force, ...
- Bubble size distribution (with size-dependent velocity)
- Coalescence, breakage, mass transfer, ...

Describe bubble phase using Population Balance Equation

Generalized Population Balance Equation

• GPBE has 4-D phase space: bubble velocity v and bubble size s

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial n}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \cdot [\mathbf{A}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, s)n] + \frac{\partial}{\partial s} [G(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, s)n] = \mathbb{C}$$

with known acceleration A, growth G and coalescence \mathbb{C} functions

- In principle, a 4-D reconstruction of $n(\mathbf{v}, s)$ is required
- However, bubbles have small inertia relative to liquid
- Use a monokinetic NDF approximation (unique velocity for each size)

34/48

• Complex momentum coupling with drag, virtual mass, lift, ...

CFD with Population Balance Equation



Close moment equations by reconstructing density function

Application to Bubble Column

Volume fraction, bubble-phase velocity, and liquid-phase velocity

Application to Bubble Column

Volume fraction, mean bubble diameter, and standard deviation

Application to Stirred Reactors



Application to Stirred Reactors



CFD can predict the flow regime as observed in experiments

R. O. Fox (Iowa State University - CoMFRE)

CFD Modeling of Multiphase Reactors

Berlin, Germany 2–3 June 2016 39 / 48

Summary of CFD Models for Gas-Liquid Reactors

- Generalized PBE includes the velocity of the bubble phase
- Monokinetic NDF approximation valid due to small inertia of bubbles
- Quadrature-Based Moment Methods applied to reconstruct the NDF
- CFD solver modified to treat size-dependent velocity of bubble phase
- Applications to bubble columns and stirred tanks yield good results

Outline



- 2 Gas–Solid Reactors
- 3 Gas–Liquid Reactors
- Gas-Liquid-Solid Reactors

5 Conclusions

Slurry flow

Sparged Slurry Reactor



3-fluid CFD model implemented in OpenFOAM:

• Gas phase

- Monomer with mass transfer to liquid
- Injected from a sparger

• Liquid phase

- Solvent containing catalyst
- Density similar to water

• Solid phase

- Polymer (growing on catalyst particle)
- Density slightly larger than water
- Average particle diameter 150 microns

Interested in solid and gas distribution in reactor and catalyst residence time distribution

Average Phase Distribution at Centerline



- Gas phase
 - Above sparger 10–15%
 - Similar to gas-liquid system

• Solid phase

- Mostly well mixed: 20%
- Slightly larger below impellers
- Does not settle on bottom

Impellers work as designed to suspend solids

0.19

_____0.12

=0.06

Average Velocity Distribution at Centerline







downflow



1.30

Summary of CFD for Gas-Liquid-Solid Reactors

- Gas-liquid flow dominates momentum coupling
- Impeller placement keeps solid phase suspended
- Phase-specific RTD computed in post-processing step
- Complex geometry near impellers requires high-quality mesh
- General flow patterns, power input agree with plant measurements

Outline



- 2 Gas–Solid Reactors
- 3 Gas–Liquid Reactors
- 4) Gas–Liquid–Solid Reactors



Final remarks

Final Remarks

- CFD modeling capabilities have grown enormously in last 25+ years
- Kinetic-based modeling approach uses mesoscale models
- CFD models for multiphase reacting systems solve a Generalized PBE
- Quadrature-based moment methods lead to tractable CFD models
- Simplified electrostatic model for fluidized beds was developed to investigate sheeting
- Predictive multiphase turbulence models are still an open problem
- Efficient and accessible computational framework is now available

Principal Collaborators and Funding Sources

- Gas–Solid Flow: J. Capecelatro, O. Desjardins, B. Kong
- Gas-Liquid Flow: B. Kong, D.L. Marchisio, C. Yuan
- Gas–Liquid–Solid Flow: X. Hu, A. Passalacqua
- US National Science Foundation
- US Department of Energy
- SABIC Global Technologies B.V.

Computational Models for Polydisperse Particulate and Multiphase Systems



R. O. Fox (Iowa State University - CoMFRE)

CFD Modeling of Multiphase Reactors

Berlin, Germany 2–3 June 2016 48 / 48