
Study
Figure
Gene Allele
Percentage PI Change (PPC) [95%−CI]
Akalal 2006 Figure 2−f rut2080;NP1131−gal4 −73.85 [−98.43 , −49.26]
Akalal 2006 Figure 2−e rut2080;NP1131−gal4 −70.69 [−82.44 , −58.94]
Akalal 2006 Figure 2−d rut2080;NP1131−gal4 −77.42 [−90.77 , −64.07]
Akalal 2006 Figure 2−c rut2080;h24−gal4 −59.09 [−71.93 , −46.26]
Akalal 2006 Figure 2−b rut2080;h24−gal4 −63.93 [−84.43 , −43.44]
Akalal 2006 Figure 2−a rut2080;h24−gal4 −49.30 [−69.07 , −29.52]
Akalal 2006 Figure 5−c rut2080;c739−gal4;H24−GAL4 −78.05 [−100.00 , −44.57]
Akalal 2006 Figure 5−b rut2080;c739−gal4;H24−GAL4 −70.91 [−88.53 , −53.28]
Akalal 2006 Figure 5−a rut2080;c739−gal4;H24−GAL4 −73.24 [−100.00 , −45.01]
Akalal 2006 Figure 3−c rut2080;c739−gal4 −49.21 [−81.36 , −17.06]
Akalal 2006 Figure 3−b rut2080;c739−gal4 −71.79 [−82.24 , −61.35]
Akalal 2006 Figure 3−a rut2080;c739−gal4 −66.22 [−87.71 , −44.73]
Akalal 2006 Figure 3−f rut2080;17d−gal4 −64.18 [−82.22 , −46.13]
Akalal 2006 Figure 3−e rut2080;17d−gal4 −71.43 [−84.37 , −58.49]
Akalal 2006 Figure 3−d rut2080;17d−gal4 −76.12 [−91.01 , −61.23]
Zars 2000 Figure 1 rut2080; UAS−rut+cDNA −36.60 [−64.70 , −8.50]
Zars 2000 Figure 1 rut2080 −43.14 [−71.21 , −15.07]
Mao 2003 Figure 5−a rut2080;12−1/UAS−rut on 2 (RU−) −71.49 [−97.30 , −45.67]
Mao 2003 Figure 3−a rut2080;12−1/UAS−rut on 2 (RU− 48 hrs) −47.78 [−77.69 , −17.87]
Han 1992 Figure 2−a rut2080 −70.48 [−100.00 , −40.29]
Buchanan 2010 Figure 2−a rut2080 −20.19 [−52.54 , 12.16]
Buchanan 2010 Figure 2−a rut2080 −29.60 [−48.89 , −10.31]
Buchanan 2010 Figure 2−a rut2080 −39.55 [−56.04 , −23.07]
Buchanan 2010 Figure 2−a rut2080 −39.70 [−80.06 , 0.66]
Buchanan 2010 Figure 2−a rut2080 −50.13 [−100.00 , 6.26]
Buchanan 2010 Figure 1−b rut2080 −31.66 [−38.32 , −25.00]
Blum 2009 Figure 1−a rut2080 −68.71 [−85.05 , −52.36]
Beck 2000 Figure 7 rut2080 −17.60 [−51.52 , 16.32]
Beck 2000 Figure 7 rut2080 −17.89 [−73.49 , 37.71]
Beck 2000 Figure 7 rut2080 −41.11 [−100.00 , 31.12]
Buchanan 2010 Figure 2−a rut2080 −9.55 [−36.64 , 17.54]
RE Model −57.59 [−64.25 , −50.92]
Scheunemann 2013 Figure 1−e/Figure 1−f rut1 −66.75 [−86.03 , −47.48]
Scheunemann 2013 Figure 1−e/Figure 1−f rut1 −37.29 [−74.94 , 0.36]
Scheunemann 2012 Figure 5−a rut1 −70.13 [−88.84 , −51.42]
Scheunemann 2012 Figure 1−d rut1 −58.63 [−85.29 , −31.98]
Han 1992 Figure 2−b rut1 −75.42 [−92.35 , −58.50]
Han 1992 Figure 2−a rut1 −66.67 [−89.61 , −43.72]
Guo 2000 Figure 2−a rut1 −45.97 [−64.59 , −27.34]
Cressy 2014 Figure 3−d rut1 −59.84 [−80.18 , −39.50]
Cressy 2014 Figure 3−b rut1 −53.74 [−65.20 , −42.27]
Cressy 2014 Figure 3−a rut1 −53.30 [−62.85 , −43.76]
Blum 2009 Figure 1−a rut1 −61.90 [−86.25 , −37.56]
Scheunemann 2013 Figure 1−e/Figure 1−f rut1 −13.17 [−79.50 , 53.16]
RE Model −59.05 [−65.02 , −53.09]
Han 1992 Figure 2−b rut2769 −57.63 [−84.79 , −30.46]
Han 1992 Figure 2−a rut2769 −57.14 [−80.44 , −33.85]
RE Model −57.35 [−75.03 , −39.66]
Han 1992 Figure 2−b rut1084 −55.93 [−86.40 , −25.47]
Han 1992 Figure 2−a rut1084 −54.29 [−81.30 , −27.28]
RE Model −55.01 [−75.22 , −34.80]
Han 1992 Figure 2−b rut178 −66.10 [−83.31 , −48.89]
Han 1992 Figure 2−a rut178 −64.76 [−84.16 , −45.37]
RE Model −65.51 [−78.38 , −52.64]
Tully 1985 Figure 10 rutPS511 −46.27 [−56.98 , −35.56]
FE Model −46.27 [−56.98 , −35.56]
Han 1992 Figure 2−b rut769/rut769 −23.73 [−52.17 , 4.71]
Han 1992 Figure 2−a rut769/rut769 −23.81 [−52.31 , 4.69]
RE Model −23.77 [−43.90 , −3.64]
Han 1992 Figure 2−b rut1951/rut1951 −20.34 [−40.04 , −0.64]
Han 1992 Figure 2−a rut1951/rut1951 −20.95 [−37.22 , −4.68]
RE Model −20.70 [−33.25 , −8.16]
Blum 2009 Figure 1−a rut1/rut2080 −52.38 [−79.53 , −25.24]
FE Model −52.38 [−79.53 , −25.24]
Han 1992 Figure 2−b rut178/rut1 −61.86 [−95.47 , −28.26]
FE Model −61.86 [−95.47 , −28.26]
Han 1992 Figure 2−b rut1084/rut1 −66.10 [−89.79 , −42.42]
FE Model −66.10 [−89.79 , −42.42]
Han 1992 Figure 2−b rut2769/rut1 −72.88 [−96.41 , −49.35]
FE Model −72.88 [−96.41 , −49.35]
−55.92 [−60.52 , −51.31]
RE Model
Heterogeneity: I−squared= 67.06 % , tau−squared= 13.27 , p−val= 0.00
−100.00
−50.00
0.00
25.00