

## Study

## Figure

## Delta PI[95%-CI]

Tully 1985	Figure 10	dnc1		-66.42 [ -79.73 , -53.11 ]
Tully 1993	Figure 1-b	dnc1 (XY)		-68.72 [ -84.78 , -52.66 ]
Tully 1993	Figure 1-a	dnc1/dnc1 (XX)		-62.50 [ -78.59 , -46.41 ]
Scheunemann 2013	Figure 1-d/Figure 1-f	dnc1		-62.69 [ -81.76 , -43.62 ]
Scheunemann 2013	Figure 1-d/Figure 1-f	dnc1		-71.10 [ -85.17 , -57.03 ]
Scheunemann 2013	Figure 1-d/Figure 1-f	dnc1		-71.58 [ -91.39 , -51.77 ]
Scheunemann 2013	Figure 1-d/Figure 1-f	dnc1		-81.60 [ -100.00 , -54.23 ]
Scheunemann 2013	Figure 1-d/Figure 1-f	dnc1		-89.76 [ -100.00 , -35.01 ]
Scheunemann 2012	Figure 4-c	dnc1		-66.85 [ -78.70 , -55.00 ]
Scheunemann 2012	Figure 4-b	dnc1		-61.76 [ -75.51 , -48.02 ]
Scheunemann 2012	Figure 4-a	dnc1		-52.87 [ -72.58 , -33.17 ]
Scheunemann 2012	Figure 2-c	dnc1		-59.26 [ -67.52 , -51.00 ]
Scheunemann 2012	Figure 2-b	dnc1		-52.10 [ -68.81 , -35.38 ]
Scheunemann 2012	Figure 1-d	dnc1		-60.36 [ -77.02 , -43.69 ]
<b>RE Model</b>				<b>-63.43 [ -67.44 , -59.42 ]</b>

Tully 1993	Figure 1-b	dnc2 (XY)		-85.78 [ -96.08 , -75.48 ]
Tully 1993	Figure 1-a	dnc2/dnc2 (XX)		-79.91 [ -93.15 , -66.67 ]
Asztalos 1991	Figure 1	dnc2		-61.88 [ -81.92 , -41.83 ]
<b>RE Model</b>				<b>-78.33 [ -90.28 , -66.38 ]</b>

Tully 1993	Figure 1-b	dncM11 (XY)		-75.83 [ -89.03 , -62.63 ]
Tully 1993	Figure 1-a	dncM11/dncM11 (XX)		-68.75 [ -79.60 , -57.90 ]
Asztalos 1991 Figure 1 dncM11				-20.00 [ -34.12 , -5.88 ]
<b>RE Model</b>				<b>-71.61 [ -79.99 , -63.22 ]</b>

Tully 1993	Figure 2	dncM11/dnc1 (XX)		-71.70 [ -77.36 , -66.03 ]
<b>FE Model</b>				<b>-71.70 [ -77.36 , -66.03 ]</b>

Tully 1993	Figure 2	dncM11/dnc2 (XX)		-76.60 [ -87.13 , -66.08 ]
<b>FE Model</b>				<b>-76.60 [ -87.13 , -66.08 ]</b>

RE Model

Heterogeneity:  $I^2=43.86\%$ ,  $\tau^2=5.79$ ,  $p=0.03$ 

-68.74 [ -72.72 , -64.75 ]

-100.00 -50.00 0.00

Percentage Change of PI Score