

Momentum Viewpoint of Quantum Bound State Part II

Francesco R. Ruggeri Hanwell, N.B. May 29, 2024

We have suggested that $\exp(ipx)$ is a mathematical probability function such that: $\exp(i p_1 \cdot r) \exp(p_2 \cdot r) = \exp(i (p_1 + p_2) \cdot r)$ and $\int \exp(-ip_1 x) \exp(ip_2 x) dx = 0$ unless $p_1 = p_2$. In other words, $\exp(ipx)$ is a special probability function which ensures that momentum is conserved, but it comes at the price of introducing a second variable x and introduce a wavelength \hbar/p . Such a wavelength does not exist in Newtonian mechanics and we have suggested that this probabilistic approach is more general than Newtonian mechanics. It matches Newtonian mechanics when \hbar/p reaches the size of dx which is considered to be almost zero.

Given the form $\exp(ipx)$ and the wavelength \hbar/p , one might ask if this causes problems for velocity which is constant, but one may simply use Δx which is larger than \hbar/p . Special relativity, which is consistent with $\exp(ipx)$ through $\text{Action} = -Et + px$, suggests that a rest mass viewed from a frame moving at constant $-v$ has both energy $m_0 c / \sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$ and momentum p . In classical physics, $V(x)$ (potential energy) indicates that at a given x one knows p and energy $m_0 c / \sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2} \approx m_0 c + .5 m_0 v^2$ (nonrelativistic limit), but that given that p changes in x , $V(x)$ accounts for the extra energy such that total energy is constant. It seems to be a tallying value as the energy is possibly spread out in fields.

Given the \hbar/p length, one cannot talk about a point x . If one wishes to retain $V(x)$, it is at best an average. We argued that $\exp(ipx)$ is needed for momentum conservation, but given that p is well defined, so too is $m_0 c / \sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$. Neither contain x . We suggested that a bound state is a statistical collection of p values with $a(p)$ weights such that $a^*(p)a(p)$ represents $P(p)$ through: $\int W^*(x)W(x)dx$, where $W(x) = \sum_p a(p)\exp(ipx)$. Given that one can no longer view $V(x)$ as acting at a point or p acting at a point x and receiving an impulse hit, there are some things one may retain.

$V(x)$ is ultimately associated with force which changes one p to another. Each p is associated with energy, not just $pp/2m$, but some energy linked to $V(x)$. Given that one cannot think in terms of energy at x , one may note that $V(x)$ is associated with delivering k momenta values to p , but must do so in a manner consistent with the probability scheme, i.e. $\exp(ikx)\exp(ipx) = \exp(i(k+p)x)$. This suggests $V(x) = \sum_k V_k \exp(ikx)$. Thus, if $V(x)$ delivers k momentum to any p value, it is linked with a V_k energy existing in a field (like in the electromagnetic case). Thus, $V(x)$ can change different p - k momenta to p bringing in different $V_k a(p-k)$ values. If $\int W^*(x)W(x)dx = 1$, one may also suggest that $\int dx W^* (\hbar^2/2m (-d/dx)d/dx + V(x)) W$ is the average energy E . $E = \int W^* W dx$, so one may create an equation for each $\exp(ipx)$ or a differential equation for W .

This raises the question: Why is total energy used? Given $V(x)$, one might just as well use: velocity average $= v(x) = \sqrt{2m(E - V(x))}$. At first glance, there may be no reason to treat energy as special. We argue that given the form $\exp(ipx)$ for momentum conservation, a bound state has a negative energy which indicates the tightness of binding and this should also be a conserved quantity, given by the probability $\exp(-iEt)$. One may argue that since one uses a differential operator $-i\hbar d/dx$ on $\exp(ipx)$ to bring in the conserved quantity p , to be consistent one should use the operator $i\hbar d/dt$ on $\exp(-iEt)$ to obtain the conserved quantity E .

Classical Mechanics and the Conserved Momentum Probability

Classical mechanics follows a particle in $x(t)$, which matches experimental observations. The particle changes velocity in a tiny dx and so has an energy $m_0 c^2 / \sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}$ from special relativity at x and if the overall total energy is E , there is leftover energy $V(x)$. This potential energy may exist in a field whereas kinetic energy and m_0 seem to be tied directly to the particle. Thus, it is perhaps a little misleading to note that one has potential energy at x . This energy is possibly spread out in a field, but $V(x)$ is used for tallying and $-dV/dx$ does give the force.

We suggest that a key idea of classical physics is momentum conservation and desire a probability function which shows this conservation and also $P_{\text{cons}}(p_1)P_{\text{cons}}(p_2) = P_{\text{cons}}(p_1+p_2)$. Unfortunately, one cannot create a function in only p . A second variable is needed and one requires orthogonality in this variable (x), which means there must be a length, \hbar/p . (\hbar is a constant which yields proper units). It follows from considerations of a photon.

The problem now is that $\exp(ipx)$, which serves as momentum conserving probability, requires a region of space. For example, $\int \exp(-ip_1x) \exp(ip_2x) dx$ requires $\hbar/|p_1-p_2|$ for establishing orthogonality. One can no longer have physics happening at an x point. Classical mechanics, however, does just this and matches observation. In such a case, \hbar/p may be very small as to appear as a point, but in other cases may be large compared to system lengths.

This idea of wavelength causes problems for the notion of a bound particle. Certainly, one cannot have one p value given an average $V(x)$. What about the notion of velocity? Even though there is \hbar/p , $\Delta x / \Delta t = \text{constant}$ may still hold if $\Delta x > \hbar/p$ (and $\Delta t > \hbar/E$, where $E = m_0 c^2 / \sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}$). We argued in part II that the potential must create other p values and that in this statistical approach one has weight $a(p) \exp(ipx)$ such that:

$$\int dx W^*(x)W(x) = 1 \quad \text{where } W(x) = \sum_p a(p) \exp(ipx) \quad ((1))$$

Using Average Energy

In Part II, we suggested using operators such as $\langle W^* \hbar^2/2m (-d/dx d/dx) W \rangle$ to find average nonrelativistic kinetic energy. An immediate question which may arise is: Why should one calculate average energy? Given $V(x)$, which is an average, one has the notion of energy, but also:

$$v(x) = \text{average velocity} = \sqrt{2m (E - V(x))} \quad ((2))$$

Given that $p = mv(x)$ (nonrelativistic), why doesn't one calculate average p , i.e. $\int dx W^* |(-i\hbar d/dx) W|$? This would lead to a different result. For example, for the ground state of an oscillator, an energy approach yields $W = C \exp(-bx^2)$ so:

$$-1/2m \frac{d}{dx} \frac{d}{dx} W = -1/2m C (2b-4bbxx) W \quad ((3a))$$

$$\text{while : } \dots m v_{ave} v_{ave} = \dots m_0 4bbxx W \quad ((3b))$$

((3a)) and ((3b)) are not the same.

We suggest using an energy centric approach because for a bound system, total energy is conserved quantity. Overall, there should be an E associated with the bound state and one may use the probability $\exp(-iEt)$ to show it is conserved. This suggests an $\partial/\partial t$ operator (partial) like the $(-\partial/\partial x)$ used for $\exp(ipx)$.

Bound State Using Energy Ideas

We consider energy average values as in Part II based on the argument in the previous section. Given that one describes statistically the bound system by a set of weighted p values, i.e. $a(p)\exp(ipx)$, these automatically have an movement-rest mass energy of $m_0 c^2 / \sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}$ form special relativity which is consistent with $\exp(ipx)$ through the action $= -Et + px$ (for a free particle, both relativistically and nonrelativistically). Originally $V(x)$ represented the excess field energy spread throughout space, but linked to x , the point at which p exists classically. Now with $\exp(ipx)$, there is no $\exp(ipx)$, but there may still be changing p values due to $V(x)$ which is an average result.

Given that one uses a probability scheme $\exp(ipx)$ and that p is changed by $V(x)$ and $\exp(ip_1x)\exp(ip_2x) = \exp(i(p_1+p_2)x)$, it seems that $V(x)$ must be written in this formalism, i.e.

$$V(x) = \text{Sum over } k \quad V_k \exp(ikx) \quad ((4))$$

This suggests that $V(x)$ can deliver a momentum k , but automatically brings V_k of energy along with it. Thus, one may have:

$$\int dx W^* (-1/2m \frac{d}{dx} \frac{d}{dx} W + V(x) W) dx = \text{average Energy} \quad ((5))$$

Given that: average energy = average energy $\int W^* W dx$, because the integral is 1, one may write ((5)) as a differential equation:

$$-1/2m \frac{d}{dx} \frac{d}{dx} W + V(x) W = E_n W \quad ((6))$$

With wavelengths, there are no sharp classical turning points anymore, but W^*W should tend to zero as one moves to $\pm\infty$ as a bound particle should be localized.

As a result, one uses $\exp(ipx)$ s to indicate momentum conservation and does not worry about $\exp(-i m_0 c^2 / \sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}) t$ because one is only interested in an overall energy, not elastic scattering. Overall, however, E_n is conserved and one is interested in $\exp(-iE_n t)$.

As a result, the quantum approach is a little nebulous in space, and represents averaging using p values which have received a hit from the potential, i.e. one averages in time.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we argue that one may introduce a momentum conserving probability, $\exp(ipx)$, such that $\int dx \exp(-ip_1x)\exp(ip_2x) = 0$ if $p_1 \neq p_2$, but this comes at the cost of introducing the variable x and defining a length \hbar/p . In the above integral $\hbar / |p_1 - p_2|$ is needed to establish orthogonality. Thus, the idea of interactions and changes at points from Newtonian mechanics is gone, unless \hbar/p is very tiny, i.e. of the order of dx .

We argue, however, that one may still have a bound, i.e. localized state, and still consider $V(x)$, the classical potential, as an average. It is still the case that one has a set of p values with different weights, but no x information, i.e. $\int W^*(x)W(x)dx=1$, with $W(x)=\sum_p a(p)\exp(ipx)$. Given $V(x)$, one may consider averages of energy, but one may also consider an average momentum through $v(x)$ average = $\sqrt{E-V(x)}$. The average energy approach would yield a $v_{rms}(x)$. We suggest that one should use the energy approach, because even though one does not consider energy conservation for $\exp(ipx)$, the average E for a bound state should be a conserved quantity. We thus suggest that it should be linked to a probability $\exp(-iEt)$ and the operator $i\hbar d/dt$ partial $W(x,t)$.

Given that $V(x)$ must change p values from one to another, one should describe this using probabilities. This seems to force one to write: $V(x)=\sum_k V_k \exp(ikx)$, so that any delivery of k momentum is linked with V_k of potential energy existing spread out in fields. In such a case: $\int dx W^* (-1/2m d/dx d/dx) W + \int dx W^* V(x)W$ should yield an average energy which is a number. This may be multiplied by $\int dx W^*W$ and one may pull out $\int dx W^*$ to obtain a differential equation.