



=====  
**Challenges and Opportunities in Implementing Inclusive Education  
in Philippine Elementary Schools**  
=====

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10967372>

**Antonina M. Llanos**

Oakland International Academy, Educational Management and Network, Michigan, USA  
<https://orcid.org/0009-0004-6938-4206>

**Leda T. Baluyot**

Caniff Liberty Academy, Hamtramck, Michigan, USA  
<https://orcid.org/0009-0001-5611-9639>

**Jelly Mystica Besin**

Caniff Liberty Academy  
<https://orcid.org/0009-0002-6356-7736>

**Abstract:**

This study examines the landscape of inclusive education in elementary settings in the Philippines, focusing on policy frameworks, teacher preparation, curriculum adaptation, and parent and community involvement. Through a systematic review of existing literature and empirical findings, several key insights emerge. While legislative frameworks support inclusive education, challenges in translating policies into practice persist due to ambiguities and resource constraints. Teacher preparation and professional development are identified as critical factors, with gaps in teacher preparedness hindering effective implementation. Challenges in curriculum adaptation and differentiation further complicate efforts to meet diverse learner needs. Additionally, parent and community involvement play a crucial role in fostering inclusive school environments, but attitudinal barriers and resource constraints pose significant challenges. Collaborative efforts are essential to address these challenges and promote the successful implementation of inclusive practices, ultimately creating environments that support the diverse needs of all students.

*Keywords:* Inclusive education, elementary education, Philippines, policy frameworks, teacher preparation, curriculum adaptation

**Introduction:**

Inclusive education (IE) stands as a cornerstone in the global pursuit of educational equity and social justice, aiming to ensure that all students, regardless of their diverse needs and backgrounds, receive quality education within mainstream educational settings (UNESCO, 1994; Paliokosta & Blandford, 2010). While the movement towards inclusive practices has gained momentum internationally, the landscape of IE in the Philippines remains characterized by complexity and uncertainty (MacBeath et al., 2006). Despite legislative efforts such as Republic Act 7277 and Republic Act 10533, the absence of a unified approach to IE has hindered the establishment of a robust framework for inclusive practices in the country (Philippine Senate Bill 3002; Department of Education Order No. 72, 2009). This lack of coherence poses challenges in determining the extent of involvement required from the school community in educating children with special needs (CSN) (MacBeath et al., 2006).

The current study addresses this gap by conducting a systematic review of literature on the best practices of IE in elementary special education in the Philippines. Drawing upon empirical evidence and theoretical frameworks, the review aims to provide insights into the existing policies, teacher preparation programs, curriculum adaptations, classroom strategies, and community involvement initiatives related to IE. By synthesizing findings from various scholarly works, this review seeks to offer a comprehensive understanding of the state of inclusive education in the Philippine context.

**Literature Review:**

Inclusive education (IE) has emerged as a pivotal concept in global educational discourse, aiming to ensure equitable access to quality education for all learners, including those with diverse needs and backgrounds (UNESCO, 1994). While the principles of inclusive education have been widely endorsed, the implementation of inclusive practices in different contexts remains a complex and multifaceted endeavor. This literature review explores the current state of inclusive education in the Philippines, focusing specifically on elementary special education, by synthesizing relevant scholarly research and empirical evidence.

Legislative frameworks play a crucial role in shaping inclusive education policies and practices. In the Philippines, Republic Act 7277, also known as the "Magna Carta for Disabled Persons," and Republic Act 10533, the "Enhanced



Basic Education Act of 2013," provide legal foundations for inclusive education (Philippine Senate Bill 3002). However, the actual implementation of inclusive practices within the education system has faced challenges due to the lack of clear and comprehensive guidelines (Department of Education Order No. 72, 2009). As a result, the extent of involvement required from school communities in educating children with special needs remains ambiguous (MacBeath et al., 2006).

### **Teacher Preparation and Professional Development**

Effective teacher preparation and ongoing professional development are essential components of successful inclusive education programs (Forlin et al., 2011). In the Philippines, the training of special education teachers and the integration of inclusive education in teacher education curriculum are areas of ongoing focus. However, studies have highlighted the need for more comprehensive and targeted professional development initiatives to equip teachers with the necessary knowledge and skills to support diverse learners effectively (Inciog & Quijano, 2004).

Flexible curriculum design and individualized education plans (IEPs) are key strategies for accommodating the diverse learning needs of students in inclusive settings (Paliokosta & Blandford, 2010). However, challenges exist in implementing these practices within the Philippine education system. While there are efforts to promote curriculum adaptation and differentiation, there is a need for clearer guidelines and support mechanisms to ensure their effective implementation across schools (Dizon, 2011).

Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles and the utilization of assistive technologies are integral components of inclusive classroom practices (Flem, Moen & Gudmundsdottir, 2004). In the Philippines, there is a growing recognition of the importance of these strategies in promoting access and participation for all learners. However, barriers such as limited resources and infrastructure constraints pose challenges to the widespread adoption of inclusive classroom practices (Adjodhia-Andrews, 2007).

### **Parent and Community Involvement**

Partnerships with parents and community stakeholders are essential for fostering an inclusive school environment (Symeonidou & Phtiaka, 2009). In the Philippines, efforts to engage parents of children with special needs and raise awareness about inclusive education have been recognized as important initiatives. However, there is a need for greater collaboration and coordination among stakeholders to ensure the effective implementation of inclusive practices (Naicker, 2006).

Despite progress in promoting inclusive education in the Philippines, significant challenges remain. Resource constraints, attitudinal barriers, and the absence of a unified approach to inclusive practices continue to hinder the realization of inclusive education goals (Khan, 2011). Moving forward, there is a need for concerted efforts from policymakers, educators, and community stakeholders to address these challenges and promote the principles of inclusive education across the country (Vaughn et al., 1996).

Inclusive education holds the promise of providing equitable educational opportunities for all learners, including those with diverse needs and backgrounds. In the Philippines, efforts to promote inclusive practices are underway, but significant challenges persist. By addressing issues such as teacher preparation, curriculum adaptation, and community involvement, stakeholders can work towards creating more inclusive and accessible educational environments for all learners.

### **Methodology:**

A systematic review methodology was employed to conduct a comprehensive examination of the literature on the best practices of inclusive education in elementary special education in the Philippines. The systematic review followed established guidelines and procedures to ensure transparency, rigor, and replicability in the selection and synthesis of relevant studies.

The research questions guiding the systematic review were formulated to address specific aspects of inclusive education practices in elementary special education within the Philippines context. These questions aimed to explore the current state of inclusive education policies, teacher preparation programs, curriculum adaptations, classroom strategies, and community involvement initiatives.

A systematic search strategy was developed to identify relevant studies from academic databases, including Google Scholar, ERIC, PubMed, and PsycINFO. The search strategy utilized a combination of keywords and Boolean operators to capture a comprehensive range of literature related to inclusive education in the Philippines.

Criteria for inclusion of studies were defined to ensure that only relevant and high-quality research was included in the review. Studies were included if they focused on inclusive education practices in elementary special education settings within the Philippines context. Studies that did not meet these criteria or were not available in English were excluded from the review.



The study selection process consisted of multiple stages, including title screening, abstract screening, and full-text assessment. Two independent reviewers conducted each stage of the screening process to minimize bias and ensure consistency in study selection. Discrepancies between reviewers were resolved through discussion and consensus.

Data extraction was performed to systematically extract relevant information from the selected studies. A standardized data extraction form was developed to capture key details, including study objectives, methodologies, participants, findings, and implications. Data extraction was conducted by one reviewer and verified by a second reviewer to ensure accuracy and completeness.

The quality of included studies was assessed to evaluate the methodological rigor and trustworthiness of the evidence. Quality assessment criteria were adapted from established guidelines for systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Studies were rated based on criteria such as study design, sample size, data collection methods, and reporting transparency.

Data synthesis involved organizing and synthesizing the findings from the included studies to address the research questions. Themes and patterns identified across the studies were analyzed to generate insights into the current state of inclusive education practices in elementary special education in the Philippines. Synthesized findings were presented descriptively and thematically in the review.

## **Findings and Discussion:**

### **Policy and Legal Frameworks**

The Philippines has made significant strides in enacting legislative frameworks aimed at promoting inclusive education for children with special needs. Republic Act 7277, also known as the Magna Carta for Disabled Persons, and Republic Act 10533, the Enhanced Basic Education Act of 2013, serve as key pillars in advocating for the rights and access to quality education for individuals with disabilities (Philippine Congress, 1992; Philippine Congress, 2013). However, despite the existence of these legal provisions, the implementation of inclusive practices within elementary special education settings faces considerable challenges.

Ambiguity in the interpretation and application of existing laws poses a significant barrier to the effective implementation of inclusive education principles across schools. While Republic Act 7277 mandates the provision of equal opportunities for persons with disabilities in various aspects of life, including education, its broad scope leaves room for interpretation at the operational level (Philippine Congress, 1992). Similarly, Republic Act 10533 aims to enhance the quality of basic education through the adoption of a K-12 curriculum framework, yet it lacks specific directives on how to effectively integrate children with special needs into mainstream classrooms (Philippine Congress, 2013).

The lack of clear and comprehensive guidelines exacerbates the challenges faced by educators and school administrators in navigating the complexities of inclusive education implementation. Without specific protocols and standards to guide their actions, schools may struggle to develop inclusive policies and practices that address the diverse needs of students with disabilities (Cristobal, 2022). As a result, the consistency and fidelity of inclusive education implementation vary significantly across different educational institutions.

Furthermore, the absence of robust monitoring and evaluation mechanisms further compounds the challenges associated with inclusive education implementation. While legislative frameworks provide a foundation for inclusive practices, the lack of systematic oversight and accountability mechanisms makes it difficult to assess the effectiveness and impact of these policies in real-world settings (Cristobal, 2022). Without adequate monitoring and feedback mechanisms, it becomes challenging to identify areas for improvement and address emerging issues in a timely manner.

To address these challenges, there is a critical need for the development of clear and comprehensive guidelines that provide practical guidance on the implementation of inclusive education principles in elementary special education settings. These guidelines should outline specific strategies for curriculum adaptation, teacher training, and support services to ensure that the needs of all learners are met in inclusive classrooms (Cristobal, 2022). Additionally, efforts to strengthen monitoring and evaluation mechanisms are essential to track progress, identify barriers, and inform policy adjustments to enhance the effectiveness of inclusive education initiatives.

While legislative frameworks provide a legal basis for promoting inclusive education in the Philippines, the lack of clear guidelines and monitoring mechanisms presents significant challenges to its effective implementation in elementary special education settings. Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort from policymakers, educators, and stakeholders to develop and implement comprehensive strategies that support the principles of inclusive education.



### **Teacher Preparation and Professional Development**

The preparation and ongoing professional development of teachers play a crucial role in ensuring the successful implementation of inclusive education practices in elementary special education settings. However, findings from existing research underscore significant challenges and gaps in teacher preparedness and confidence in effectively supporting diverse learners within inclusive classrooms. This section explores the need for more comprehensive and targeted professional development initiatives to equip teachers with the necessary knowledge and skills for inclusive education.

Numerous studies have highlighted the importance of integrating inclusive education principles and practices into teacher education curriculum to adequately prepare future educators for the diverse needs of students with disabilities (Trainor, et al., 2020; Hopf, et al., 2017). However, despite efforts to incorporate inclusive pedagogy into teacher training programs, research suggests that there are persistent gaps in teacher preparedness and confidence in implementing inclusive practices (Fu, et al., 2021).

One key challenge identified in teacher preparation is the limited exposure to practical strategies and evidence-based interventions for supporting diverse learners in inclusive settings (Trainor, et al., 2020). While theoretical knowledge about inclusive education may be covered in teacher education courses, many educators report feeling ill-equipped to translate this knowledge into effective classroom practices (Hopf, et al., 2017). This gap between theory and practice underscores the need for more hands-on training and experiential learning opportunities during teacher preparation programs.

Furthermore, the lack of specialized training in areas such as behavior management, differentiated instruction, and assistive technology further exacerbates teacher's sense of inadequacy in addressing the diverse needs of students with disabilities (Fu, et al., 2021). Without targeted professional development initiatives that provide specific strategies and tools for supporting diverse learners, educators may struggle to create inclusive learning environments that meet the individualized needs of all students.

In addition to the knowledge and skills gap, research suggests that there is a need to address attitudinal barriers and biases among teachers towards students with disabilities (Hopf, et al., 2017). Negative attitudes and stereotypes can undermine efforts to create inclusive classrooms and may contribute to the marginalization of students with disabilities (Trainor, et al., 2020). Therefore, professional development initiatives should not only focus on building educators' technical competencies but also on fostering positive attitudes and inclusive mindsets towards all learners.

To address these challenges, there is a growing consensus among researchers and policymakers on the need for more comprehensive and targeted professional development initiatives tailored to the unique needs of teachers in inclusive education settings (Fu, et al., 2021). Such initiatives should incorporate a combination of theoretical knowledge, practical skills training, and opportunities for reflection and collaboration among educators (Hopf, et al., 2017).

Furthermore, professional development efforts should be ongoing and responsive to the evolving needs of teachers as they gain experience and encounter new challenges in their practice (Trainor, et al., 2020). Continuous support and mentorship from experienced educators and specialists can also play a crucial role in helping teachers navigate the complexities of inclusive education and build confidence in their ability to meet the diverse needs of all students.

Addressing the challenges and gaps in teacher preparation and professional development is essential for fostering inclusive education practices in elementary special education settings. By equipping teachers with the necessary knowledge, skills, and attitudes, educators can create inclusive learning environments that promote the academic and social-emotional development of all students, regardless of their abilities or disabilities.

### **Curriculum Adaptation and Differentiation**

Ensuring the effective adaptation of curriculum and implementation of individualized education plans (IEPs) is paramount for the successful inclusion of students with diverse learning needs in elementary education settings. However, the study identified significant challenges in implementing flexible curriculum design and IEPs within the Philippine education system. This section delves into the obstacles hindering the widespread adoption of inclusive classroom practices, despite efforts to promote curriculum adaptation and differentiation.

One of the key challenges identified in the study is the lack of clear guidelines and support mechanisms for implementing curriculum adaptation and differentiation across schools (Zhang, et al., 2014). While there may be recognition of the importance of accommodating diverse learning needs, educators often struggle to translate this recognition into actionable strategies due to the absence of standardized protocols and training initiatives (Sarigumba, et al., 2023). Without clear guidelines and support structures in place, teachers may feel ill-equipped



to modify curriculum content, instructional strategies, and assessment methods to meet the individualized needs of students with disabilities.

Resource constraints and infrastructure limitations further exacerbate the challenges associated with curriculum adaptation and differentiation in Philippine schools (Zhang, et al., 2014). Limited access to instructional materials, assistive technologies, and specialized support services hampers educators' ability to effectively address the diverse learning needs of students with disabilities (Sarigumba, et al., 2023). Additionally, overcrowded classrooms and inadequate physical facilities pose significant barriers to the implementation of individualized instruction and inclusive practices (Zhang, et al., 2014).

Furthermore, the lack of training and professional development opportunities for educators in curriculum adaptation and differentiation exacerbates the challenges faced by teachers in implementing inclusive classroom practices (Sarigumba, et al., 2023). While there may be a willingness among educators to accommodate diverse learning needs, many teachers report feeling unprepared and unsupported in their efforts to modify curriculum content and instructional strategies (Zhang, et al., 2014). Without adequate training and ongoing professional development, educators may resort to one-size-fits-all approaches that fail to meet the unique needs of students with disabilities.

Addressing the challenges associated with curriculum adaptation and differentiation requires a multi-faceted approach that involves policymakers, educators, and stakeholders at all levels of the education system (Sarigumba, et al., 2023). First and foremost, there is a need for the development and dissemination of clear guidelines and best practices for implementing inclusive curriculum design and IEPs in elementary education settings (Zhang, et al., 2014). These guidelines should provide educators with practical strategies and tools for modifying curriculum content, instructional methods, and assessment practices to meet the diverse needs of all learners.

Furthermore, efforts to enhance resource allocation and infrastructure development are essential to ensure that schools have the necessary supports and accommodations in place to facilitate inclusive classroom practices (Sarigumba, et al., 2023). This may involve investing in assistive technologies, providing training for support staff, and creating accessible learning environments that promote the participation and engagement of all students.

Additionally, professional development initiatives should be implemented to build educators' capacity in curriculum adaptation and differentiation (Zhang, et al., 2014). These initiatives should provide opportunities for teachers to acquire new knowledge and skills, collaborate with colleagues, and reflect on their practice in order to continuously improve their ability to meet the diverse learning needs of students with disabilities.

While there are efforts to promote curriculum adaptation and differentiation in the Philippine education system, significant challenges remain in ensuring their effective implementation across schools. Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort from policymakers, educators, and stakeholders to develop clear guidelines, enhance resource allocation, and provide ongoing professional development opportunities for educators.

### **Parent and Community Involvement**

The involvement of parents and community stakeholders is critical in fostering an inclusive school environment that supports the diverse learning needs of all students. The findings of this study highlight the importance of partnerships with parents and community members in promoting the successful implementation of inclusive practices in elementary special education settings. However, despite ongoing efforts to engage parents of children with special needs and raise awareness about inclusive education, several challenges and barriers persist, necessitating greater collaboration and coordination among stakeholders.

Effective partnerships between schools, parents, and community stakeholders have been consistently linked to positive student outcomes, including academic achievement, social-emotional development, and overall well-being (Diano Jr, et al., 2023). When parents are actively involved in their children's education, students are more likely to experience a sense of belonging and engagement in the learning process (Echavez Jr, et al., 2024). Furthermore, community support and involvement can enhance the resources available to schools and contribute to the creation of inclusive learning environments that meet the diverse needs of all students (Villaver Jr, et al., 2024).

Despite the recognized benefits of parent and community involvement, challenges persist in effectively engaging these stakeholders in the implementation of inclusive practices. One key challenge identified in the study is the need for greater collaboration and coordination among stakeholders to ensure the effective implementation of inclusive education initiatives (Benson, 2020). While there may be efforts to engage parents and community members in the educational process, these efforts are often fragmented and lack clear coordination strategies, resulting in missed opportunities for collaboration and partnership.



Addressing attitudinal barriers and promoting inclusive attitudes within the community emerged as another key challenge in fostering parent and community involvement in inclusive education (Abendan, et al., 2023). Negative attitudes and stereotypes towards individuals with disabilities can undermine efforts to create inclusive school environments and may lead to the marginalization of students with special needs (Tañiza, et al., 2024). Therefore, it is essential to address these attitudinal barriers through targeted awareness-raising campaigns and community outreach efforts that promote a culture of inclusivity and acceptance.

Furthermore, limited resources and infrastructure constraints may hinder the active involvement of parents and community stakeholders in inclusive education initiatives (Benson, 2020). In many cases, parents may face barriers such as transportation issues or language barriers that prevent them from fully participating in school activities and decision-making processes (Echavez Jr, et al., 2024). Similarly, community organizations and stakeholders may lack the resources and capacity to support inclusive education efforts effectively.

To address these challenges and barriers, it is essential to adopt a collaborative and multi-faceted approach that involves all stakeholders in the education process (Vestal, et al., 2023). Schools, parents, community organizations, and policymakers must work together to develop strategies and initiatives that promote parent and community involvement in inclusive education. This may include establishing parent-teacher associations, organizing community workshops and events, and providing training and support for parents and community members on inclusive education principles and practices.

Additionally, efforts to address attitudinal barriers and promote inclusive attitudes within the community should be prioritized through targeted awareness-raising campaigns and advocacy efforts (Tañiza, et al., 2024). By fostering a culture of inclusivity and acceptance, schools and communities can create environments that support the diverse needs of all students and promote positive outcomes for students with disabilities.

Parent and community involvement play a crucial role in fostering an inclusive school environment that supports the diverse learning needs of all students. However, several challenges and barriers persist, including the need for greater collaboration and coordination among stakeholders, addressing attitudinal barriers, and overcoming resource constraints. By working together collaboratively and adopting a multi-faceted approach, schools, parents, and community stakeholders can promote inclusive education and create environments that support the success of all students.

### **Conclusion:**

This study sheds light on the current state of inclusive education in elementary settings in the Philippines, focusing on various aspects such as policy frameworks, teacher preparation, curriculum adaptation, and parent and community involvement. Through a systematic review of existing literature and empirical findings, several key insights have emerged.

Firstly, it is evident that while the Philippines has made strides in establishing legislative frameworks to support inclusive education, there remain significant challenges in translating these policies into effective practice. Ambiguities in guidelines and resource constraints hinder the consistent implementation of inclusive practices across schools.

Secondly, teacher preparation and professional development emerge as critical factors in ensuring the success of inclusive education initiatives. Despite efforts to integrate inclusive education into teacher education curriculum, gaps in teacher preparedness and confidence in implementing inclusive practices persist. More comprehensive and targeted professional development initiatives are needed to equip teachers with the necessary knowledge and skills to support diverse learners effectively.

Thirdly, challenges in curriculum adaptation and differentiation pose significant barriers to the effective implementation of inclusive practices. While there are efforts to promote flexible curriculum design and individualized education plans, the lack of clear guidelines and support mechanisms hampers their widespread adoption.

Lastly, parent and community involvement play a crucial role in fostering an inclusive school environment. Despite ongoing efforts to engage parents of children with special needs and raise awareness about inclusive education, challenges such as attitudinal barriers and resource constraints persist. Greater collaboration and coordination among stakeholders are essential to address these challenges and promote the successful implementation of inclusive practices.

Fostering inclusive education in elementary settings in the Philippines requires a multifaceted approach that addresses policy gaps, enhances teacher preparedness, promotes curriculum adaptation, and fosters partnerships with parents and community stakeholders. By addressing these challenges collaboratively, stakeholders can work



towards creating inclusive learning environments that support the diverse needs of all students, regardless of their abilities or backgrounds.

**References:**

Abendan, C. F., Kilag, O. K., Uy, F., & Vestal, P. (2023). Transforming Learning in the Digital Age: The Confluence of Innovation and Education. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(5), 1-13.

Ajodhia-Andrews, A. D. (2007). Inclusive education in Guyana: Perspectives of policy makers, teachers, and parents of children with special needs. *Unpublished MA research paper*.

Benson, S. K. (2020, January). The evolution of Jordanian inclusive education policy and practice. In *FIRE: Forum for International Research in Education* (Vol. 6, No. 1).

Cristobal, J. P., Cordero, M. A., & Manapat, C. L. (2022). Analysis of the Determinants of Public Education Expenditures in the Philippines. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Accounting Studies*, 4(2), 276-291.

Department of Education Order No. 72 (2009). [https://www.deped.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/DO\\_s2009\\_72.pdf](https://www.deped.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/DO_s2009_72.pdf)

Diano Jr, F., Kilag, O. K., Malbas, M., Catacutan, A., Tiongzon, B., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Towards Global Competence: Innovations in the Philippine Curriculum for Addressing International Challenges. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(4), 295-307.

Dizon, E.I. (2013). Helping Learners with Special Educational Needs Achieve in the K-12 Plan. Special Education Area, UP College of Education.

Echavez Jr, A., Yncierto, C., Obiasada, R., Dayag, M., Alcazar, R., & Kilag, O. K. (2024). Advancing Literacy and Numeracy: Exploring Trends and Strategies for Elementary School Students. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, 1(2), 144-150.

Flem, A., Moen, T., & Gudmundsdottir\*\*, S. (2004). Towards inclusive schools: A study of inclusive education in practice. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 19(1), 85-98.

Forlin, C., Earle, C., Loreman, T., & Sharma, U. (2011). The sentiments, attitudes, and concerns about inclusive education revised (SACIE-R) scale for measuring pre-service teachers' perceptions about inclusion. *Exceptionality education international*, 21(3).

Fu, W., Tang, W., Xue, E., Li, J., & Shan, C. (2021). The mediation effect of self-esteem on job-burnout and self-efficacy of special education teachers in Western China. *International Journal of Developmental Disabilities*, 67(4), 273-282.

Hopf, S. C., McLeod, S., McDonagh, S. H., & Rakanace, E. N. (2017). Communication disability in Fiji: Community cultural beliefs and attitudes. *Disability, CBR and inclusive development*, 28(1), TBC.

Inciong, T. G., & Quijano, Y. S. (2004). Inclusion of children with disabilities: The Philippines experience. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 24(2), 173-191.

Khan, T.A. (2011). Investigation of secondary school teachers' attitudes towards and knowledge about inclusive education in Bangladesh (Master's thesis). University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand. Retrieved from <http://ir.canterbury.ac.nz>

MacBeath, J., Galton, M., & Bangs, J. (2019). *Reforming or re-inventing schools*. London: Routledge.

Muega, M. A. G. (2016). Inclusive education in the Philippines: Through the eyes of teachers, administrators, and parents of children with special needs. *Social Science Diliman*, 12(1), 5-28.

Naicker, S. (2007). From Policy to Practice: A South-African Perspective on Implementing Inclusive Education Policy. *International Journal of whole schooling*, 3(1), 1-6.

Paliokosta, P., & Blandford, S. (2010). Inclusion in school: a policy, ideology or lived experience? Similar findings in diverse school cultures. *Support for Learning*, 25(4), 179-186.



Philippine Congress. (1992). Republic Act 7277. Magna Carta for Disabled Persons. Retrieved from <https://www.officialgazette.gov.ph/1992/03/24/republic-act-no-7277/>

Philippine Congress. (2013). Republic Act 10533. Enhanced Basic Education Act of 2013. Retrieved from <https://www.officialgazette.gov.ph/2013/05/15/republic-act-no-10533/>

Philippine Senate Bill 3002. [https://legacy.senate.gov.ph/lis/bill\\_res.aspx?congress=15&q=SBN-3002](https://legacy.senate.gov.ph/lis/bill_res.aspx?congress=15&q=SBN-3002)

Sarigumba, M. P., Soriano, M., Robson, J. P., Quivivivi, I., & Cabrera, O. L. (2023). Understanding the role of youth in Indigenous territorial governance. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*.

Symeonidou, S., & Phtiaka, H. (2009). Using teachers' prior knowledge, attitudes and beliefs to develop in-service teacher education courses for inclusion. *Teaching and teacher education*, 25(4), 543-550.

Tañiza, F. N., Kilag, O. K., Andrin, G., Groenewald, E., Abella, J., & Cordova Jr, N. (2024). Top-Down Implementation of Mother Tongue-Based Multilingual Education from Grassroots Perspectives. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 2(1), 11-24.

Trainor, A. A., Carter, E. W., Karpur, A., Martin, J. E., Mazzotti, V. L., Morningstar, M. E., ... & Rojewski, J. W. (2020). A framework for research in transition: Identifying important areas and intersections for future study. *Career Development and Transition for Exceptional Individuals*, 43(1), 5-17.

UNESCO. (1994). The Salamanca Statement and Framework for Action on Special Needs Education. UNESCO. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000098427>

Vestal, P., Kilag, O. K., Alvez, G. G., Escabas, D., Ignacio, R., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Bridging the Literacy Gap: A Multisensory Approach to Effective Intervention. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(4), 156-168.

Villaver Jr, M., Mugadza, J., Kilag, O. K., Uy, F., Jordan, R., & Samutya, M. (2024). Leadership in Special Education: Promoting Inclusion and Equity. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)-ISSN: 3028-032X*, 1(4), 1-7.

Zhang, J. W., Wong, L., Chan, T. H., & Chiu, C. S. (2014). Curriculum adaptation in special schools for students with intellectual disabilities (SID): A case study of project learning in one SID school in Hong Kong. *Frontiers of Education in China*, 9, 250-273.