

MUHAMMAD AL-XORAZMIY  
NOMIDAGI TATU FARG'ONA FILIALI  
FERGANA BRANCH OF TUIT  
NAMED AFTER MUHAMMAD AL-KHORAZMI

# “AL-FARG‘ONIIY AVLODLARI”

ELEKTRON ILMIY JURNALI | ELECTRONIC SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

## TA'LIMDAGI ILMIY, OMMABOP VA ILMIY TADQIQOT ISHLARI



4-SON 1(4)  
2023-YIL

TATU, FARG'ONA  
O'ZBEKISTON



## O'ZBEKISTON RESPUBLIKASI RAQAMLI TEXNOLOGIYALAR VAZIRLIGI

MUHAMMAD AL-XORAZMIY NOMIDAGI  
TOSHKENT AXBOROT TEXNOLOGIYALARI UNIVERSITETI  
FARG'ONA FILIALI



**Muassis:** Muhammad al-Xorazmiy nomidagi Toshkent axborot texnologiyalari universiteti Farg'ona filiali.

**Chop etish tili:** O'zbek, ingliz, rus. Jurnal texnika fanlariga ixtisoslashgan bo'lib, barcha shu sohadagi matematika, fizika, axborot texnologiyalari yo'nalishida maqolalar chop etib boradi.

**Учредитель:** Ферганский филиал Ташкентского университета информационных технологий имени Мухаммада ал-Хоразми.

**Язык издания:** узбекский, английский, русский. Журнал специализируется на технических науках и публикует статьи в области математики, физики и информационных технологий.

**Founder:** Fergana branch of the Tashkent University of Information Technologies named after Muhammad al-Khorazmi.

**Language of publication:** Uzbek, English, Russian. The magazine specializes in technical sciences and publishes articles in the field of mathematics, physics, and information technology.

2023 yil, Tom 1, №4  
Vol.1, Iss.4, 2023 y

ELEKTRON ILMIY JURNALI

ELECTRONIC SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

«Al-Farg'oniyl avlodlari» («The descendants of al-Fargani», «Potomki al-Fargani») O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti administratsiyasi huzuridagi Axborot va ommaviy kommunikatsiyalar agentligida 2022-yil 21 dekabrda 054493-son bilan ro'yxatdan o'tgan.

Jurnal OAK Rayosatining 2023-yil 30 sentabrdagi 343-sonli qarori bilan Texnika fanlari yo'nalishida milliy nashrlar ro'yxatiga kiritilgan.

Tahririyat manzili:  
151100, Farg'ona sh.,  
Aeroport ko'chasi 17-uy,  
202A-xona  
Tel: (+99899) 998-01-42  
e-mail: info@al-fargoniy.uz

Qo'lyozmalar taqrizlanmaydi va qaytarilmaydi.

FARG'ONA - 2023 YIL

## TAHRIR HAY'ATI

**Maxkamov Baxtiyor Shuxratovich,**

Muhammad al-Xorazmiy nomidagi Toshkent axborot texnologiyalari universiteti rektori, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

**Muxtarov Farrux Muhammadovich,**

Muhammad al-Xorazmiy nomidagi Toshkent axborot texnologiyalari universiteti Farg'ona filiali direktori, texnika fanlari doktori

**Arjannikov Andrey Vasilevich,**

Rossiya Federatsiyasi Sibir davlat universiteti professori, fizika-matematika fanlari doktori

**Satibayev Abdugani Djunosovich,**

Qirg'iziston Respublikasi, Osh texnologiyalari universiteti, fizika-matematika fanlari doktori, professor

**Rasulov Akbarali Maxamatovich,**

Muhammad al-Xorazmiy nomidagi TATU Farg'ona filiali Axborot texnologiyalari kafedrasida professori, fizika-matematika fanlari doktori

**Yakubov Maksadxon Sultaniyazovich,**

Muhammad al-Xorazmiy nomidagi TATU «Axborot texnologiyalari» kafedrasida professori, t.f.d., professor, xalqaro axborotlashtirish fanlari Akademiyasi akademigi

**G'ulomov Sherzod Rajaboyevich,**

Muhammad al-Xorazmiy nomidagi TATU Kiberxavfsizlik fakulteti dekani, Ph.D., dotsent

**G'aniyev Abduxalil Abdjalilovich,**

Muhammad al-Xorazmiy nomidagi TATU Kiberxavfsizlik fakulteti, Axborot xavfsizligi kafedrasida t.f.n., dotsent

**Zaynidinov Hakimjon Nasritdinovich,**

Muhammad al-Xorazmiy nomidagi TATU Kompyuter injiniringi fakulteti, Sun'iy intellekt kafedrasida texnika fanlari doktori, professor

**Bo'taboyev Muhammadjon To'ychiyevich,**

Farg'ona politexnika instituti, Iqtisod fanlari doktori, professor

**Abdullayev Abdujabbor,**

Andijon mashinosozlik instituti, Iqtisod fanlari doktori, professor

**Qo'ldashev Abbosjon Hakimovich,**

O'zbekiston milliy universiteti huzuridagi Yarimo'tkazgichlar fizikasi va mikroelektronika ilmiy-tadqiqot instituti, texnika fanlari doktori, professor

**Ergashev Sirojiddin Fayazovich,**

Farg'ona politexnika instituti, elektronika va asbobsozlik kafedrasida professori, texnika fanlari doktori, professor

**Qoraboyev Muhammadjon Qoraboevich,**

Toshkent tibbiyot akademiyasi Farg'ona filiali fizika matematika fanlari doktori, professor, BMT ning maslahatchisi maqomidagi xalqaro axborotlashtirish akademiyasi akademigi

**Polvonov Baxtiyor Zaylobiddinovich,**

Muhammad al-Xorazmiy nomidagi TATU Farg'ona filiali Ilmiy ishlar va innovatsiyalar bo'yicha direktor o'rinbosari

**Zulunov Ravshanbek Mamatovich,**

Muhammad al-Xorazmiy nomidagi TATU Farg'ona filiali Dasturiy injiniring kafedrasida dotsenti, fizika-matematika fanlari nomzodi

**Saliyev Nabijon,**

O'zbekiston jismoniy tarbiya va sport universiteti Farg'ona filiali dotsenti

**Abdullaev Temurbek Marufovich,**

Muhammad al-Xorazmiy nomidagi TATU Axborot texnologiyalari kafedra mudiri, texnika fanlar bo'yicha falsafa doktori

**Zokirov Sanjar Ikromjon o'g'li,**

Muhammad al-Xorazmiy nomidagi TATU Farg'ona filiali Ilmiy tadqiqotlar, innovatsiyalar va ilmiy-pedagogik kadrlar tayyorlash bo'limi boshlig'i, fizika-matematika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori

Jurnal quyidagi bazalarda indekslanadi:



*Eslatma! Jurnal materiallari to'plamiga kiritilgan ilmiy maqolalardagi raqamlar, ma'lumotlar haqqoniyligiga va keltirilgan iqtiboslar to'g'riligiga mualliflar shaxsan javobgardirlar.*

**MUNDARIJA | ОГЛАВЛЕНИЕ | TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Muxtarov Farrux Muhammadovich, TARMOQ TRAFIGI ANOMALIYALARINI IDENTIFIKATSIYA QILISHNING STATIK USULI	4-7
Daliyev Baxtiyor Sirojiddinovich, Abelning umumlashgan integral tenglamasini yechish uchun Sobolev fazosida optimal kvadratur formulalar	8-14
Umarov Shuxratjon Azizjonovich, KRIPTOBARDOSHLI KRIPTOGRAFIK TIZIMLAR VA ULARNING KLASSIFIKATSIYASI	15-21
Zulunov Ravshanbek Mamatovich, PYTHONDA NEYRON TARMOQNI QURISH VA BASHORAT QILISH	22-26
Djalilov Mamatisa Latibdjanovich, IKKI QATLAMLI NOELASTIK PLASTINKANING KO'NDALANG TEBRANISHI UMUMIY TENGLAMASINI TAHLIL QILISH	27-30
Erkin Uljaev, Azizjon Abdulkhamidov, Utkirjon Ubaydullayev, A Convolutional Neural Network For Classification Cotton Boll Opening Degree	31-36
Seytov Aybek Jumabayevich, Xusanov Azimjon Mamadaliyevich, Magistral kanallarda suv resurslarini boshqarish jarayonlarini modellashtirish algoritmini ishlab chiqish	37-43
Abdullayev Temurbek Marufjonovich, Algorithm of functioning of intellectual information-measuring system	44-49
Odinakhon Sadikovna Rayimjanova, Usmonali Umarovich Iskandarov, Reaserch of highly sensitive deformation semiconductor sensors based on AFV	50-53
S.S.Radjabov, G.R.Mirzayeva, A.O.Tillavoldiyev, J.A.Allayorov, BARG TASVIRI BO'YICHA MADANIY O'SIMLIK LARNING FITOSANITAR HOLATINI ANIQLASH ALGORITMLARI	54-59
Эргашев Отабек Мирзапулатович, Интеллектуальный оптоэлектронный прибор для учета и контроля расходом воды в открытых каналах	60-65
Xomidov Xushnudbek Rapiqjon o'g'li, Nurmatov Sardorbek Xasanboy o'g'li, Yo'ldashev Bilol Iqboljon o'g'li, O'lmasov Farrux Yorqinjon o'g'li, Konus setkali chang tozalovchi qurilma uchun chang namunalarning dispers tarkibi tahlili	66-69
Akhundjanov Umidjon Yunus ugli, VERIFICATION OF STATIC SIGNATURE USING CONVOLUTIONAL NEURAL NETWORK	70-74
Лазарева Марина Викторовна, Горовик Александр Альфредович, Цифровизация и цифровой менеджмент в современном управлении	75-81
D.X.Tojimatov, KIBERTAHDIDLARNI OLDINI OLIHDA KIBERRAZVEDKA AMALIYOTI VA UNING USTUVOR VAZIFALARI	82-85
Muxtarov Farrux Muhammadovich, Rasulov Akbarali Maxamatovich, Ibroximov Nodirbek Ikromjonovich, Kompyuter eksperimenti orqali kam atomli mis klasterlarining geometrik tuzilishini o'rganish	86-89
Umurzakova Dilnoza Maxamadjanovna, BOSHQARISH QONUNLARINI ADAPTATSIYALASH ALGORITMLARINI ISHLAB CHIQLASH	90-94
Muxamedieva Dildora Kabilovna, Muxtarov Farrux Muhammadovich, Sotvoldiev Dilshodbek Marifjonovich, JAMOAT TRANSPORTI MARSHRUTLARINI QURISH INTELLEKTUAL ALGORITMLARI	95-103
Нурдинова Разияхон Абдихаликовна, Перспективы применения элементов с аномальными фотовольтаическими напряжениями	104-108
Bozarov Baxromjon Pخomovich, UCH O'LCHOVLI FAZODAGI SFERADAANIQLANGAN FUNKSIYALARNI TAQRIBIY INTEGRALLASH UCHUN OPTIMAL KUBATUR FORMULALAR	109-113
Улжаев Эркин, Худойбердиев Элёр Фахриддин угли, Нарзуллаев Шохрух Нурали угли, РАЗРАБОТКА КОНСТРУКЦИИ И ФУНКЦИОНАЛЬНОЙ СХЕМЫ ПОЛУЦИЛИНДРИЧЕСКОГО ЁМКОСТНОГО ПОТОЧНОГО ВЛАГОМЕРА	114-122
Mamirov Uktam Farkhodovich, Buronov Bunyod Mamurjon ugli, ALGORITHMS FOR FORMATION OF CONTROL EFFECTS IN CONDITIONS OF UNOBSERVABLE DISTURBANCES	123-127
Sharibayev Nosirjon Yusubjanovich, Jabborov Anvar Mansurjonovich, YURAK-QON TOMIR KASALLIKLARI DIAGNOSTIKASI UCHUN TEXNOLOGIYALAR, ALGORITMLAR VA VOSITALAR	128-136
Marina Lazareva, Estimating development time and complexity of programs	137-141
Asrayev Muhammadmullo, ONLINE HANDWRITING RECOGNITION	142-146
Norinov Muhammadyunus Usibjonovich, SPEKTR ZONALI TASVIRLARGA INTELLEKTUAL ISHLOV BERISH USULLARI TAHLILI	147-152
Xudoynazarov Umidjon Umarjon o'g'li, PARAMETRLI ALGEBRAGA ASOSLANGAN EL-GAMAL SHIFRLASH ALGORITMLARINI GOMOMORFIK XUSUSIYATINI TADQIQ ETISH	153-157
D.M.Okhunov, M.Okhunov, THE ERA OF THE DIGITAL ECONOMY IS AN ERA OF NEW OPPORTUNITIES AND PROSPECTS FOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT BASED ON CROWDSOURCING TECHNOLOGIES	158-165

**MUNDARIJA | ОГЛАВЛЕНИЕ | TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Солиев Бахромжон Набиджонович, Путеводитель по построению веб-API на Django - Шаг за шагом с Django REST framework — от моделей до проверки работоспособности	166-171
Sevinov Jasur Usmonovich, Boborayimov Okhunjon Khushmurod ogli, ALGORITHMS FOR SYNTHESIS OF ADAPTIVE CONTROL SYSTEMS WITH IMPLICIT REFERENCE MODELS BASED ON THE SPEED GRADIENT METHOD	172-176
Mamatov Narzullo Solidjonovich, Jalelova Malika Moyatdin qizi, Tojiboyeva Shaxzoda Xoldorjon qizi, Samijonov Boymirzo Narzullo o'g'li, SUN'IY YO'LDOSHDAN OLINGAN TASVIRDAGI DALA MAYDONI CHEGARALARINI ANIQLASH USULLARI	177-181
Обухов Вадим Анатольевич, Криптография на основе эллиптических кривых (ECC)	182-188
Turdimatov Mamirjon Mirzayevich, Sadirova Xursanoy Xusanboy qizi, AXBOROTNI HIMOYALASHDA CHETLAB O'TISHNING MUMKIN BO'LGAN EHTIMOLLIK XOLATINI BAHOLASH USULLARI	189-193
Musayev Xurshid Sharifjonovich, TRIKOTAJ MAHSULOTLARIDA NUQSONLI TO'QIMALARNING ANIQLASHNING MATEMATIK MODELI VA UNING ALGORITMLARI	194-196
Kodirov Ahkhmadkhon, Umarov Abdumukhtar, Rozaliyev Abdumalikjon, ANALYSIS OF FACIAL RECOGNITION ALGORITHMS IN THE PYTHON PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE	197-205
Suyumov Jorabek Yunusalievich, METHODOLOGICAL PROBLEMS OF QUALIMETRY IN CONDUCT OF PEDAGOGICAL EXPERIMENT-EXAMINATION	206-211
Хаджаев Саидакбар Исмоил угли, АКТУАЛЬНОСТЬ ПРОБЛЕМЫ ЗАЩИТЫ ИНФОРМАЦИОННЫХ СИСТЕМ МАЛОГО И СРЕДНЕГО БИЗНЕСА ОТ КИБЕРАТАК	212-217
M.M.Khalilov, Effect of Heat Treatment on the Photosensitivity of Polycrystalline PbTe Films AND PbS	218-221
Тажибаев Илхом Бахтиёрвич, ПОЛНОСТЬЮ ВОЛОКОННЫЙ СЕНСОР, ОСНОВАННЫЙ НА КОНСТРУКЦИИ ИЗ МАЛОМОДОВОГО ВОЛОКОННОГО СМЕЩЕНИЯ С КАСКАДНЫМ СОЕДИНЕНИЕМ ВОЛОКОННОЙ РЕШЕТКИ С БОЛЬШИМ ИНТЕРВАЛОМ, ИСПОЛЬЗУЕТСЯ ДЛЯ ОПРЕДЕЛЕНИЯ ИСКРИВЛЕНИЯ И ПРОВЕДЕНИЯ АКУСТИЧЕСКИХ ИЗМЕРЕНИЙ	222-225
Sharibaev Nosir Yusubjanovich, Djuraev Sherzod Sobirjanovich, To'xtasinov Davronbek Xoshimjon o'g'li, PRIORITIES IN DETERMINING ELECTRIC MOTOR VIBRATION WITH ADXL345 ACCELEROMETER SENSOR	226-230
Mukhammadjonov A.G., ANALYSIS OF AUTOMATION THROUGH SENSORS OF HEAT AND HUMIDITY OF DIFFERENT DIRECTIONS	231-236
Эрматова Зарина Кахрамоновна, АКТУАЛЬНОСТЬ ПРЕПОДАВАНИЯ ЯЗЫКА ПРОГРАММИРОВАНИЯ C++ В ВЫСШИХ УЧЕБНЫХ ЗАВЕДЕНИЯХ	237-241
Saparbaev Rakhmon, ANALOG TO DIGITAL CONVERSION PROCESS BY MATLAB SIMULINK	242-245
Садикова М.А., Авазова Н.К., САМООБУЧЕНИЕ ИСКУССТВЕННОГО ИНТЕЛЛЕКТА, БАЗОВЫЕ ПРИНЦИПЫ РАБОТЫ ИСКУССТВЕННОГО ИНТЕЛЛЕКТА НА ПРОСТОМ ПРИМЕРЕ	246-250
Abduhafizov Tohirjon Ubaydullo o'g'li, Abdurasulova Dilnoza Botirali kizi, DEVELOPMENT OF ALGORITHMS IN THE ANALYSIS OF DEMAND AND SUPPLY PROCESSES IN ECONOMIC SYSTEMS	251-256
Kayumov Ahror Muminjonovich, CREATING MATHEMATICAL MODELS TO IDENTIFY DEFECTS IN TEXTILE MACHINERY FABRIC	257-261
Mirzakarimov Baxtiyor Abdusalomovich, Xayitov Azizjon Mo'minjon o'g'li, BIOMETRIC METHODS SECURE COMPUTER DATA FROM UNAUTHORIZED ACCESS	262-266
Soliyev B., Odilov A., Abdurasulova Sh., Leveraging Python for Enhanced Excel Functionality: A Practical Exploration	267-271
Жураев Нурмахамад Маматович, Системы Электроснабжения Оборудования Предприятий Связи: Надежность и Эффективность	272-276
Rasulova Feruzaxon Xoshimjon qizi, Isroilov Sharobiddin Mahammadyusufovich, OLIY TA'LIM MUASSASALARIDA MUTAXASSISILIK FANLARINI O'QITISHDA MULTIMEDIALI MOBIL ILOVADANDAN FOYDALANISHNING STATISTIK TAHLILI	277-280
Muxtarov Farrux Muxammadovich, Toshpulatov Sherali Muxamadaliyevich, SUN'IY INTELLEKT YORDAMIDA IJTIMOYIY TARMOQ MONITORINGI TIZIMINI YARATISH, AFZALLIKLARI VA MUHIM JIXATLARI	281-285
Sadikova Munira Alisherovna, APPLICATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE DEVICES IN MANUFACTURING	286-290
Mamatov Narzullo Solidjonovich, Ibroximov Sanjar Rustam o'g'li, Fayziyev Voxid Orzumurod o'g'li, Samijonov Abdurashid Narzullo o'g'li, SUN'IY INTELLEKT VOSITALARINI TA'LIMNI NAZORAT QILISH VA BAHOLASHDA QO'LLASH	291-297

## Estimating development time and complexity of programs

**Marina Lazareva,**

associate professor of the Fergana branch of the  
Tashkent University of Information Technologies named  
after Muhammad al-Khorazmi

**Abstract:** The main problem of modern methods of evaluation of labor is the difficulty of adapting them to each specific project. This paper proposes a fundamentally new, non-classical genetic approach to the problem, the main principles of which is providing qualitative and quantitative assessment of labor-intensive software development projects

**Keywords:** assessment of labor input; COCOMO model; the PERT technique; the object point; the assessment by analogy; function points; the genetic algorithm evaluation; assessment code volume

### INTRODUCTION

The exact calculation of the resources necessary to implement this software product with the specified quality requirements is one of the main problems in the field of project management. However, with such a calculation, it becomes difficult to take into account a huge number of factors that affect the software life cycle. Find out the hidden patterns and relationships between these parameters, as well as make an analytical calculation in the vast majority of cases is not possible. In any software project, you have to balance between the cost, time, quality and volume of the functionality being realized. As a result, to date, many companies face serious problems in the event of incorrect calculations of the required timing:

- while underestimating - unforeseen expenditure of additional funds, dissatisfied in customer defaults on time, "sleepless nights" of employees, the complexity of the "avalanche" of management about the poor quality of the final product, underdeveloped system functions, and so on.;
- the revaluation - a useless race course resources involved in the project, from the customer from the contract with the data by the conditions (due to possible loss of jobs is), etc.

In today's market of large software systems, losses can amount to millions of dollars.

Accurate estimates of the costs of production of software are important to both the developers and the customer (the client). They can be used in contact negotiations, planning, monitoring etc. Thus, there is

real need to develop methods and tools that allow the manager to assess the required time and human resources based on all available project characteristics: the history of previous similar projects, experience and Employee productivity, company specifics, etc. In addition, it is necessary to recalculate and refine the time and resources already at the development stage of the system, taking into account the current trends observed during the project implementation. This will help the manager to timely detect deviations from the established schedule and take appropriate measures in project management.

### SOLUTION METHOD

The immediate efforts of producers (their work) provide the bulk of the cost of software development, and as a rule, methods for estimating the necessary funds (as a consequent, and time) focus on this aspect and given estimates in persons-months that can subsequently be converted into duration Project or cost.

In practice, there are often three problems that are of fundamental importance:

1. Which model Assessments choose?
2. Some metrics on the Use Call size (number of lines of code (LOC – Lines Of Code), «functional point» (FP - Function Points) or "Points properties" (feature points)?
3. What can be considered a good assessment?  
Choosing an evaluation model

A widely used method of estimating labor costs is an expert evaluation. However, this approach is fraught with many problems:



- The grounds for obtaining an assessment are not explicit;
- difficult to find of highly qualified experts for each new about the project;
- connection between the size of the system and the labor doztratami nonlinear. Efforts by WHO will melt exponentially with the increase in volume. Therefore, expert evaluation is obtained adequate only if the current and previous projects of approximately the same size;
- management policy aimed at reducing costs, as a rule, casts doubt about the real experience of the previous projects and makes the share of "blind optimism"

Over the past three decades, many different models of quantifying labor costs have been developed.

They range from models based on empirical data (for example, the model of "COCOMO" by Bohm [1]) to purely analytic ones. Empirical models use the data from previous projects to evaluate the current one (by analyzing patterns observed in previous projects). On the other hand, analytical models are based on global assumptions about the relationship of various parameters, such as the speed with which the developer fixes defects and their number at a certain point in time. Each model has its advantages and disadvantages, but the key factor in its consideration is, of course, accuracy.

#### Selecting the size metric

Most models (both empirical and analytical) are based on the use of various metrics of the size of the system being developed (LOC, FP, etc.). The accuracy of the evaluation of labor costs directly depends on the accuracy of the size estimate. Regardless of the size metric chosen, it is not possible to accurately determine it in advance, so it is necessary to refine it (and accordingly perform a general reassessment of labor costs) already directly in the development process. The effect of this factor is noticeably reduced by using a sufficiently rigorous development methodology and detailed elaboration of the system requirements. Due to the special importance of this issue for solving the initial problem, it will be considered in more detail in the section "Comparison of Software Size Metrics".

Criteria for good evaluation

According to Royce [2], a good estimate of software production costs should be:

- understood and supported by the Smart rum project and development team;
- Approved by all stakeholders as feasible;
- based on a clear model with credible bases, as well as data on such a project (with similar business processes, technologies, environment, people and the requirements);
- as defined in detail what the key risk areas are clear, and The probability of success objectively evaluated.

#### Comparing Software Size Metrics

The size of software is the most important factor determining the complexity of software implementation, Next, the author will describe five software size metrics used in practice. The number of lines of source code and function points are the most popular metrics from the five considered.

#### Number of lines of code

LOC (Lines Of Code) - the number of non-empty lines of source text, excluding comments [4]. Despite the fact that this metric essentially depends on the chosen programming language, it still remains the most used software size metric. The exact number of LOCS can only be obtained after the project has been completed. Therefore, estimating the size of the program code prior to its creation is not much simpler than estimating real labor, for example, in man-months.

A typical method of implementation of this assessment uses a combination of expert assessments technique called PERT [8], is as follows: suppose there are  $n$  experts, each  $i$ -th expert expresses three assumptions about the final size:  $L_i$  - lower bound on the size;  $H_i$  - the size of the upper bound;  $M_i$  - the most likely size. Then the size of  $S$  can be computed as the accuracy of the assessment can be significantly improved by applying PERT not to the project as a whole, but to its individual components. In this case, a general estimate of the size can be obtained as the sum of "local" estimates.

$$S = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{L_i + H_i + 4M_i}{6}, \quad (1)$$

The Halstead Metrics



M. Halsted proposed such metrics as code length and volume [7]. The length of the code is defined as

$$N = N_1 + N_2, \quad (2)$$

where  $N_1$  - the total number of occurrences of the operators in the program;  $N_2$  - total number of operands. The amount of code corresponds to the amount of memory required to store the program, and is calculated by the formula:

$$V = N \log(n_1 + n_2), \quad (3)$$

where  $n_1$  - number of different operators;  $n_2$  - number of different operand appearing in a program.

Obviously, it is usually more difficult to estimate the total number of operators and their operands before the end of the project than to evaluate the LOC, so a lot of comments were made on the metrics proposed by Halstead. Support for this approach has been steadily declining in recent years.

#### Function points

The most successful replacement of the number of lines of code to become functional size measurement point (function points), first proposed by IBM employee A. Albrecht in 1979 [8]. The application of functional points is based on the evaluation of the volume of the functionality being realized by studying the requirements, so that the evaluation of the required labor can be performed at the earliest stages of the project and will be further refined along the life cycle, and the explicit relationship between the requirements for the system being created and the resulting estimate allows The customer to understand what he is paying for, and what the change in the initial task will result in.

The author will briefly review the basic principles of this method. The total number of functional points of the program depends on the number of elementary processes of five types (Figure 1):

1. incoming transaction (External inputs (EI)) - Receive data from user;
2. outgoing transaction (External outputs (EO)) - Transmit data user;
3. user interaction (External inquiries (EQ)) - Interactive Dialogues from user (requiring from Him any - any actions);

4, files internal logic (Internal logical files (ILF)) - files (logical Groups information), used in Within these systems interact;

5. files external interactions (External Interface files (EIF)) - Participate in External Interactions from Other SIS topics.

In this terminology, a transaction is an elementary, indivisible, closed process that is important to the user and transfers the product from one consistent state to another.

Each of the five types is assigned one of three levels of complexity (1 = simple, 2 = medium, 3 = complex), and each pair (type, difficulty level) is assigned a weight that is the number of unaligned function points (UFP) From 3 (for a simple incoming transaction) to 15 (for complex internal files). The total size estimate in UFP is calculated as (4):

$$UFP = \sum_{i=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^3 N_{ij} W_{ij}, \quad (4)$$

where  $N_{ij}$   $W_{ij}$  Respectively, the number and weight of elements of a system of class / with complexity/.

For example, if the system easy entry 2 ( $W_{ij} = 3$ ) 2 complex output ( $W_{ij} = 7$ ) and a complicated interior file ( $W_{ij} = 15$ ), whereas

$$UFP = 2 * 3 + 2 * 7 + 1 * 15 = 35$$

This is the number of function points can be directly used to estimate the cost / labor intensity and refined with the help of alignment factor (VAF), which is calculated on the basis of the characteristics




Figure 1. Types of elementary processes used in the FP method

Total project, such as: degree of distribution of processing and storage of data, system performance requirements, security requirements, etc. In this case,



the final estimate of the size in the aligned function points is calculated as

$$AFP = (UFP + CFP) * VAF, \quad (5)$$

Where CFP - additional functional points, which will be required, for example, to install or migrate data. The general scheme of the evaluation procedure is shown in Fig. 2.

The number of UFP and the number of lines of code (LOC) are connected linearly:

$$LOC = a * UFP + b, \quad (6)$$

The parameters a and b can be obtained by

Project	The simulated date of the prediction	Actual release date	COCOMO II	COCOMO II + Genetic	Increase in accuracy, %
Firefox 49.0.2	15.08.2021	20.10.2021	24.10.2021	26.10.2021	2,7
Fedora 25	10.08.2021	11.10.2021	03.10.2021	14.10.2021	8,1
KDE 5.7.2	04.06.2021	19.07.2021	28.07.2021	29.07.2021	1,3

linear regression on the basis of available data on the project.

1. Basic model of COCOMO. Project Size S Measured at LOC (KLOC), and spent labor - in person-months. Created on the basis of statistical data analysis 63 projects (mostly US Ministry of Defense CTBA) of various types. There are three sets of parameters {a, to} in Dependencies from difficulties to develop relevant software:

a. for simple, easily understood about the projects and = 2,4, b = 1.05;

b. for complex systems and = 3,0, b = 1.15;

c for embedded systems, and = 3,6, b = 1, 20.

The model was easy to use, but did not provide the required accuracy.

2. Detailed model COCOMO. Refined set of parameters {a, to}, except of total formula Accepted the form:

$$E = M + a + Sb$$

where M - Specifying the coefficient is calculated as the product of 15 correction factors of 4 the categories of (final product factors, the computational environment, personnel, project), in the range of 0.7 to 1.66, which can be found in the special table. These changes are a basic fashion if allowed to

significantly improve the point of evaluation, especially in the case applies the method of the individual components, and not to the system as a whole.

## RESULTS

Table 1 .Estimates obtained through the method in question

The ideas described allowed the development of a prototype of a system for the refinement of labor estimates based on the COCOMO II model. According to the databases of the bugtrackers of three open source projects (Firefox, Fedora, KDE), estimates were made that averaged 4% more accurately than the estimates obtained with the help of COCOMO II, without clarification through the method considered (Table 1). In the future it is planned to supplement the developed system with simplified versions of existing methods, which will make it possible to use it as a full-fledged independent software package. Increased accuracy assessment for some opensource-projects.

## CONCLUSION

To date, there is a sufficient number of advanced tools for analyzing project repositories (for example, SolidSTA), however, they do not make any predictions about the actual deadlines, usually only adapted for use by managers or analysts and, in fact, provide only the collection of statistics and visualization, Not doing a detailed analysis, since it also makes it difficult to take into account a huge number of factors that fluctuate from project to project.

The goal of further research and development is to create an extension for the IDE, which must meet the following requirements:

- Provide the ability to control the performance of each individual developer, and the entire team as a whole;
- based on the analysis of design reposer signal the deviations from the planned schedule and Regis IU one - click produce Recalculation Terms Putting project (and/or A separate module/assembly) in operation at the moment;
- possess a sufficient degree of universality (should not rely on any particular model of the gap processing, programming language, etc.);
- it shall be calculated not only on the project management, but also on the ordinary



developers, as well as all those who The interested in getting the idea of those pace of development for the planned schedule (for self-control, various forms of analytics and others);

- provide an opportunity to visualize the results of the analysis.

### References

1. Albrecht J., Gaffney JE. Software function, source lines of codes, and development effort prediction: a software science validation, IEEE Trans Software Eng. SE-9, 1993. pp. 639-648.
2. Aron J. Estimating Resource for Large Programming Systems Rome, NATO Science Committee, 2009.
3. Boehm B. Software engineering economics. New Jersey, Prentice-Hall, 2001.
4. Fenton N. Software Metrics: A Rigorous and Practical Approach, London, Chapman and Hall, 2011.
5. Futrell Software Project Management. Achieving optimal quality at minimum costs / R.T. Futrell, D.F. Shafer, L.I. Best man. – M.: AD 2020.
6. Gorovik A., Lazareva M., Estimation of the difficulty and time of program development for specific quality requirements based on the genetic approach, Journal «Mathematical and information modeling», 2017, pp.79-87.
7. Halstead M. Elements of software science, NY, Elsevier, 2017.
8. Jones C. Applied Software Measurement, Assuring Productivity and Quality, NY, McGraw-Hill, 2019.
9. Kaner C., Bach J., Pettichord B., Lessons Learned in Software Testing. Wiley. 2019.
10. Lazareva M., Gorovik A., Analysis of methods for qualitative assessment of software complexity, Journal «CAD software and modeling in modern electronics», 2018, pp. 54-57.
11. Quantitative methods in economic research (edited by Yu.V. Cheremnykh and others), Moscow, UNITI, 2021.
12. Sinitsyn S., Nalyutin N. "Software Verification". "Binom. Knowledge Laboratory,

Internet University of Information Technologies", 2020.

